Businesses (including farms) and non-profits that provide or receive donated food are generally well-protected by laws designed to provide immunity from liability related to such donations. The federal Bill Emerson Good Samaritan Food Donation Act provides liability protection for food donors, and Rhode Island’s Immunity from Liability for Food Donations law provides additional liability protection to businesses and nonprofits in the state.

The Bill Emerson Good Samaritan Act

The Bill Emerson Good Samaritan Food Donation Act (the Emerson Act) provides a federal baseline of protection for food donors.1 The Emerson Act covers individuals, businesses, non-profit organizations, and the officers of businesses and non-profit organizations. It also covers gleaners—individuals that harvest donated agricultural crops to a non-profit organization that distributes to the needy.2 The Emerson Act protects most but not all donations of qualifying food. In order to receive protection, donors and distributors must meet the following four requirements:

1. The donor donates to a non-profit organization.3

2. The food must meet all federal, state, and local quality and labeling requirements, even if it is not “readily marketable due to appearance, age, freshness, grade, size, surplus, or other conditions.”4

   If all quality and labeling requirements are not met, the food must be reconditioned to meet all quality and labeling requirements before it can be donated,5 which include:
   - The donor informs the non-profit of the nonconforming nature of the product;
   - The non-profit agrees to recondition the item so that it is compliant; and
   - The non-profit knows the standards for reconditioning the item.6

3. The non-profit organization that receives the donated food must distribute it to needy individuals.7 Direct donations from the donor to needy individuals are not protected by the Act.8

4. The needy individuals receiving the food may not pay for it.9 However, if one non-profit donates food to another non-profit for distribution, the Act allows the first non-profit to charge the distributing non-profit a nominal fee to cover handling and processing costs.10

So long as these criteria are met, the Emerson Act is quite protective of donors, and does not hold a donor liable unless the donor acts with gross negligence or intentional misconduct.11

- **Gross Negligence** involves “voluntary and conscious conduct (including a failure to act)” by a person or organization that knew when the donation was made that the donated food was likely to have harmful health impacts.12
- **Intentional Misconduct** is when a person or organization donates “with knowledge . . . that the conduct is harmful to the health or well-being of another person.”13
In other words, one should not donate or facilitate the distribution of donated food that one knows is likely to be harmful or dangerous. Unfortunately, the Act gives little guidance on what activities qualify as gross negligence or intentional misconduct. However, the House of Representatives Report associated with the Emerson Act has indicated that each case must be analyzed individually, and that, for example, donating food past the sell-by date generally will not impact liability protections because such labeling is not federally required and generally does not correspond to food safety.\textsuperscript{14}

**Liability Protection for Food Donation in Rhode Island**

In addition to the federal liability protections, there are two ways in which Rhode Island’s state law is relevant to liability protection for food donations.

- **The Emerson Act:** The Emerson Act indicates that donated food must meet all applicable state and local food quality and labeling standards in addition to federal requirements.\textsuperscript{15} This means that Rhode Island laws regarding food labeling and safety must be followed for a food donor to receive protection under the federal Emerson Act.
- **State Authority:** States are free to enact laws that are more protective of donors than the federal Emerson Act, which sets a floor on liability protection.\textsuperscript{16} Rhode Island has passed such legislation.

Rhode Island law protects any person or organization that in good faith donates food to a bona fide charitable or non-profit organization for use or distribution by that non-profit, as well as non-profits that collect donated food and prepare and distribute it as long as three requirements are met.\textsuperscript{17}

1. The food is “maintained at a safe temperature” and appears “to be fit for human consumption” at the time it is donated.\textsuperscript{18}
2. The charitable or non-profit organization distributes the food to needy individuals without charge.\textsuperscript{19}
3. Any injury or illness resulting from the food donation cannot be the result of donors or the organization’s intentional misconduct or recklessness.\textsuperscript{20} The law does not define what constitutes intentional misconduct or recklessness.

So long as these criteria are met, food donors in Rhode Island are provided civil and criminal liability protection for any injury or illness “resulting from the nature, age, condition, or packaging of the donated food.”\textsuperscript{21}

**Conclusion**

Federal law and Rhode Island state law provide ample liability protection for food donors and the non-profits and charitable distributors to which they donate, so long as they do not act with intentional misconduct or recklessness, and the food is donated and distributed in good faith.
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