Members in Attendance:
Don DeHayes (Chair), Christina L. Valentino (Vice Chair), Linda Barrett, Faye Boudreaux-Bartels, Sharon Bell, Wendy Bucci, Lori Ciccomascolo, Steven D’Hondt, Tom Dougan, John Kirby, Trish Morokoff, Ann Morrissey, Bahram Nassershariif, Ellen Reynolds, Gerry Sonnenfeld, Devon Swanson, Naomi Thompson, Kim Washor

Members Absent: Rachel DiCioccio, Ken Kermes, Joseph Maynard, Thorr Bjorn,

See the complete list of member information at the Strategic Budget and Planning Council website at: [http://www.uri.edu/budget/sbtc.html](http://www.uri.edu/budget/sbtc.html)

1) Chair distributed last year’s results of Council’s CIP recommendation to the President for members use as they review this year’s projects.

2) CIP Review (Ryan Carrillo)
   a) Five categories that will be used by members as they rate projects, which is the same as last year.
   b) Biological Resources Lab (Vivarium) – replace all rodent vivarium on campus; all are sub-par; to provide new animal space that will meet accreditation. Identified 6600 sq. ft.; 3300 each in CBLS and Pharmacy. Working with a team on a 1,000 sq. ft. project. We may need all or some of the space. $8.7M relates to the 6600 sq. ft. Facilities are very outdated; need to update to be competitive.

      a. Research funding affiliated with the facility? In neuroscience institute, everyone who will be affiliated will need this facility; recent recruiting of faculty who will use it; probably $10M per VP Sonnenfeld and could include the $15M from the Ryan gift; will enable us to yield more grants from corporate. (Gerry responded by email on April 24th with the following clarification: The numbers were my best estimate of the revenue that would involve rodent related studies after we hire the 55 new faculty. I estimated that 10 of the new faculty would be extensive animal researchers, which is a strong possibility. No award will have its entire amount used for rodent work but the study could not be completed out without it. So the Ryan gift of $15M requires rodent studies in order to develop the neuroscience center. No matter how much is used, the $15M would not be here without rodent work. No matter how much they actually spend, we would not have the whole gift without rodent studies. The 10s of millions was my estimate of where we will be when the facility is completed with existing faculty, new faculty recruited for the neurosciences center, and other new faculty. It includes programs such as the current and future INBRE program from NIH, which is multi million and multi-year. URI is an outlier without accreditation. This would have to become a cost center per the Chair. Cost center will not carry the debt; ~$700K annually.)

      b. No one spoke with John Kirby about the Vivarium and John has concerns about it being on first floor of CBLS.

   c) Utility Infrastructure Ph 1 -$6.5M (Ph 2 is $18M) – cover major steam, sewer, electrical, under campus. It could be included in a GO Bond project; however, we just received $125M and $80M
is in future for Fine Arts. In FY18, $39M Ph 3 for Ocean Engineering and $125M for historic quad. In next two submissions for GO Bonds, URI is requesting significant amounts.

a. Seems like steam tunnel digging annually. Is it possible for a longer term planning? This is a dedicated funding source so that long term planning can occur. Piecemeal due to using Asset Protection funding each year. There has not been a formal analysis of annual maintenance. At the scale of $6.5M for Ph1 and $18M for Ph2, we are doing long term improvements.

b. Ph 1 and 2 can stand alone. Ph1 reflects the critical areas. We would see benefits even if Ph2 did not occur for years.

d) Horn Building – scientific lab building; will be doing a master plan for Bay Campus in FY16 and determine if will be rebuilt or renovated. GO Bond project for GSO campus anticipated after master plan is completed. Relationship of this to the master plan and the A&E of Horn. In FY13 awarded $2.5M of grant revenue related to Horn Building.

e) Storm Water Management Project – comprehensive look at deficient areas on campus; trying to retain water at source; $6.3M with $2.8M from auxiliary and remainder from RICAP. Intention is seven (7) key places of known issues. Portion of work that is around the football stadium; upon completion it would provide synthetic turf in the field; could use the field and capacity under it for water storage.

f) Public Safety Building – in 3 locations, 44 Lower College Rd, police station on Briar Lane and 177 Plains Road near the Ryan Center; study in 2012 with outside consultant; $6.5M RICAP request brings all three sites together;

a. This received a low priority last year and there was a recommendation to come up with an alternative to building a new building; we are setting up an internal competition for RICAP $; need alternative model; should not keep submitting Plan A; a lot of work went into this for location given the type of building and the emergency services. Bahram fully supports reallocation of another space; sends wrong message to build a new building for a police force.

b. Christina would like to re-visit the history and logic. Should be willing to look at it. Would like to re-visit based on comments.

c. Funding for Briar Lane location historical info should be reviewed. Is there another model?

d. Need to leave it on here.

g) Athletics & Recreation Facilities – Advanced Planning – rebuilding of grandstand and press box; pool and Mackal. $250K from university funds for consultant in athletic facilities for small master plan.

a. When we did the A&E for Engineering and for bay campus and Horn; both Engineering and GSO co-shared the advanced planning. Should continue with this model; Athletics has potential to bring other funding sources to the table; suggest that this be the model

b. A portion is recreation which is separate from Athletics

h) NBC Hazmat Storage – storage of hazardous waste for pick up. Current is nearing end of life; pursuing RICAP funding; many universities have a surcharge for purchase of chemicals and cost would go towards funding; it is not all from chemicals; but, for maintenance, etc. surcharge should be for purchase of hazardous materials

a. Was this cited in EPA inspection? Results of last week visit not available.

b. Could be a safety issue.

i) Hope Commons Expansion – NE corner would bump out seating area; would provide additional areas and better service for catering events. Second space front side on Butterfield Rd; provide options until about 3:00am and could do this without opening the larger facility.
a. How relate to Butterfield expansion? Currently have RFP out for 500 bed apartment hall which generates some dining usage; part driven by additional services; investment in facilities that students use for many hours.

FY2018

j) Facilities Service Sector Upgrade (w/o L&G/Auto) - Ph1 ($10.3M) was removed from CIP and Ph2 was maintained on CIP $9.7M; could look to doing L&G first; represents some of the larger facility. Recycle or waste management infrastructure; storage for HRL and general warehouse; mail services; printing services; upgrade of spaces within Sherman Building; steam electrical, plumbing; some is new building and some upgrades to existing building
   a. Some are old metal buildings; some are new like recycling
   b. A brief description of each project was provided to Council members
   c. Ryan will include a copy of a master plan where appropriate in future years

k) Fraternity Circle – Ph 1 approved RIHEBC in FY2016 budget. This second phase of $2.9M would be paid for by fraternity association; is all amenities after infrastructure (e.g. basketball courts).
   a. Do not have a signed commitment from fraternities
   b. Local fraternities/sororities are working with their alumni boards, etc.
   c. If association fees ok but not sure URIF can accept donations
   d. Timeline to complete phase 1 – could be mid FY18; would overlap design in ph2 with construction completion of ph1.

l) Edwards Hall Renovation ($3.8M) RICAP – did life safety improvements and historic renovations; infrastructure utility repairs, elevator, roof repairs, A/C, new windows, HVAC system. Will any of this undue recent renovation? Some will e.g. lobby to accommodate elevator; have had issues with mold growth and will help to preserve it.
   a. Could any theatre productions find a home in Edwards? – does not have the acoustics nor the technical aspects for theatre; probably not in ongoing

m) Repaving, hardscape and landscape – roadways, walkways; many areas need rebuilding.
   a. Parking does provide funds for renovation of parking lots; no co-share for this
   b. If add this to bond we have now will not finish – need it constantly with the weather we have, always need rehab
   c. $14M bond received five years ago; helped us to complete features of the north district; have approached state; will reconstruct Flagg Rd and we are in the queue with other municipalities; Uper College Rd does not qualify for state highway $  
   d. Description is lacking; as you fix should think about how make better; speeding and traffic issue on Upper College Rd; traffic patterns on campus, particularly at end of day; while we think of the $ for repairing
   e. Parking and transportation master plan in process to look at better methods of transportation; pending these results will have more targeted projects
   f. Deferred maintenance of campus is $540M; how much of this request is maintenance vs new?
   g. Combination of investments in replacements, improvements showed a steady state of our assets for ten years
   h. Debt service is $800K annually from Parking Services which would include parking charges to faculty and staff; about 80% of unrestricted is from students; we compete with ourselves for new funding generated from tuition

n) Shepard Building Improvements -
   a. $4.7M RICAP – building owned by DOA; it is in our CIP because if we don’t they won’t – this project is for roofs, infrastructure; restroom improvements, elevator improvements; façade including safety issues
b. It was noted that we do not have an official document requesting state to request 50%.
c. Should we wait until school of education is finalized? Not related to curriculum.
d. It is an approved project in Governors budget.
e. Are more requests likely? Yes as we do more evaluation of the façade.
f. Qualitative statements without backup data; Lori said more data is becoming available.
g. Next year, Ryan hoping to provide more data.
h. State in our proposal that we are requesting 50% and state that DOA is 50%.

o) Utilities Infrastructure – Phase 2
a. Completion of known deficiencies, $18M RIHEBC, annual debt service $1.5M annually.
b. Is there a Ph3 and Ph4 in future? No consistent funding source to meet infrastructure needs to support the plant. With proper planning should not see this surge of need at this level. Water tower $2M to repaint; must be done to maintain integrity.
c. Difference between Ph1 and 2? Description appears identical. Ph1 address the most urgent needs; dealing with overall system; level of urgency is the difference.
d. Can we really spend all Ph1 in FY17 and go to Ph2 in FY18. If knew $ were forthcoming could plan for economies of scale.

3) Council to complete their rating forms; only members in attendance will complete the forms; forms to be sent to Linda Barrett for compilation by noon on Friday, April 24th.

4) Meeting adjourned at 11:40am.

Minutes submitted by:
Linda Barrett, Budget & Financial Planning Office