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Preface

Each year, the aquaculture industry experiences significant economic losses as a result of pathogens that cause disease, pests that render product unmarketable, operational mishaps, adverse weather events, and closures of harvest areas due to the presence of organisms with the potential to cause human illness. Collectively, we refer to these as aquaculture production hazards, which present considerable risk to operations. Massive loss of farmed product and human illness caused from ingestion of unknowingly contaminated product both adversely impact profitability, trade, and public perception.

The ability of professionals to respond to problems and assist farmers is often limited by a lack of farm-level monitoring, record keeping, and farmer knowledge of hazards and hazard management strategies. Frequently, the causes of mortality events remain unknown or are identified when it is too late to prevent, control, correct or mitigate. Often, key pieces of information are missing from farmers’ requests to identify and correct the hazard, limiting the response from the extension and aquatic health professional community.

To respond to this problem, the Northeast Aquaculture Extension Network (NAEN), a group of extension professionals from universities and industry associations across the northeastern U.S., together with researchers, aquatic animal health professionals, and experienced industry members has developed this comprehensive publication that identifies strategies to address aquaculture production hazards. The manual includes science-based information about major production hazards facing farmers, including: predators, diseases, parasites, organisms that have the potential to cause aquatic animal illness and human illness (e.g. toxic algae), biofouling, spread of invasive species, and other operational and environmental hazards. The manual also includes guidelines for environmental monitoring, evaluation and sampling of stocks, record-keeping procedures, and state-by-state contact information for whom to call when a problem occurs. The manual incorporates best management practices and biosecurity measures developed through research and outreach efforts funded by the USDA Northeastern Regional Aquaculture Center (NRAC) and others.

Improved knowledge of hazards associated with aquaculture production is the first step towards developing or improving risk management strategies. Use of appropriate farm monitoring protocols and record keeping will help aquatic animal health professionals respond better and more efficiently to animal illness or mortality events. If the causes of such events are identified quickly and definitively, future losses may be minimized or prevented, leading to increased production and profitability. The potential for realized economic benefits is significant; operators who plan proactively to minimize production hazards may have a competitive advantage in the marketplace.
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Introduction

Purpose

This manual is intended to help prospective farmers to identify, record, monitor, and manage production hazards, and ultimately minimize production-related risk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identify</th>
<th>Identify potential hazards or threats to the operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Record</td>
<td>Record parameters that might help to indicate a problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor</td>
<td>Monitor and review records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manage</td>
<td>Manage for when parameters fall outside of optimum or acceptable levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ask</td>
<td>Ask for assistance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Included is information on types of risk, production hazards, and hazard management strategies for major aquaculture crops cultivated in the northeastern U.S. While the region is defined as Maryland to Maine, some variation in hazards and management strategies exist and are noted within the text.

Unique to the Northeast region, the manual includes information on a variety of shellfish, finfish, and seaweed species. It does not describe all species under cultivation, but instead highlights species that are common and for which, until now, complete information on the subject has not been available. The manual does not cover shellfish or finfish processing-related hazards or their management. These issues are addressed in the Fish and Fishery Products Hazards and Control Guidance of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 4th Edition, April 2011\(^1\). Though research is underway, knowledge on processing hazards associated with seaweed culture is limited and will not be covered in this volume.

This publication is a collaborative effort of extension agents and aquatic health professionals in the Northeast region, and is one of many resources available for aquaculturists. A list of reference materials and contact information for these resource providers is contained at the end of the document.

Information presented in this document is up-to-date as of January 2014. Considerable effort has been made to ensure that the text is based on the best available information. Periodic updates will be made available in the online version of this publication.

The document has color-coded tabs for ease of use.

How to Use This Manual

The document is divided into several sections; the first reviews basic considerations for starting an aquaculture business; the second introduces the types of risk involved in aquaculture and strategies to minimize risk; and the third covers the basics of record keeping. This information is essential for prospective and new farmers.

Individual chapters focus on shellfish, finfish, and seaweed aquaculture and provide a practical function: to acquaint the reader with production hazards and management strategies. Each chapter begins with an overview of basic morphology and life cycles for each group. Next, there is a pictorial overview of husbandry practices and systems. The bulk of the text includes information on the major types of production hazards, including: environmental conditions, biofouling organisms, predators, diseases, invasive species, and operational conditions. Each section is presented in a similar manner and includes:

- **Introduction to hazard**
- **Explanation of why the hazard exists**
- **How to measure/monitor for the hazard**
- **Hazard management strategies**

While this manual provides hazard management strategies based upon applied research, nothing can substitute for field experience. As the farmer becomes familiar with the cultivation site, it is likely that personal observations and written records will aid significantly in future planning. Where appropriate, national- and geographically-relevant best management practices (BMP) have been incorporated into the text. References and further reading are included at the end of each chapter.

This compilation can serve as a reference or may be used to complete a production-related hazard analysis for the aquaculture operation. Instructions and forms for the hazard analysis process can be found in Appendices 1-3.
CHAPTER 1
Types of Risk in Aquaculture

Overview

Every prospective farmer should develop a comprehensive business plan. That business plan should include a description of the business and aquaculture product, a market analysis for the product, a business implementation strategy, and a multi-year enterprise budget. That plan should include a hazard analysis and a risk management plan. While the focus of this text is on risk related to production, all other types of risk should be considered prior to starting an aquaculture business.

There are five basic types of agricultural risk:

- Production
- Marketing
- Financial
- Legal
- Human Resource Management

Production Risks

Production risks relate to the possibility that yield will be lower than anticipated. Major sources of production risks arise from adverse environmental conditions and inclement weather events (such as drought, excessive rainfall, extreme temperatures), but may also result from damage due to predators, biofouling organisms, disease, and invasive species. Farmers should review the entire operational flow, and consider the potential hazards at each production step (Figure 1.).
Production Flow Chart

1. Site and Species Selection
2. Source Water Management
3. Seed/Fry/Fingerling Source Management
4. Produce or Receive Seed/Fry/Fingerling
5. Deploy or Plant
6. Gear and Product Maintenance
7. Harvest
8. Process
9. Transport or Storage
Tools and strategies:

- Develop and implement an environmental management plan that includes: a) careful selection of cultivation site(s) that is appropriate for the species to be cultivated; b) routine monitoring and record keeping of environmental parameters important to the cultivation of the target species; c) establish tolerance levels for environmental parameters and have a written plan for what to do when parameters are outside of acceptable tolerance levels.
- Be aware of other threats that may exist (predators, disease, biofouling organisms) and develop and implement a plan that includes: a) the use of prevention and avoidance techniques that have been field-tested and proven economically-viable; b) routine monitoring and record keeping of gear and animals for the presence of these threats and damage resulting from them; c) establishment of tolerance levels for numbers of pests, predators, and biofouling organisms.
- Adopt local and disease resistant strains.
- Inspect and keep on file all import, transport and disposal licenses or certificates. Reject any shipments without proper licenses or certificates.
- Diversify by growing different crops.
- Be aware of, and adhere to, any government policies regarding import/export of seed and the disposal of non-target organisms and material.
- Inspect all shipments of product entering or leaving the facility. Properly dispose of all non-target organisms and material.
- Insurance: Purchase disaster assistance or crop insurance coverage to stabilize income.

Marketing/Price Risks

Marketing risks relate to the possibility that the farmer will lose the market for his/her products or that the price received will be less than expected. Common sources of marketing risk include lower prices due to increased supply or decreased consumer demand; loss of market access due to the relocation or closing of a processor or other buyer; and, lack of marketing power due to the small size of farm sellers relative to others in the market.

Tools and Strategies:

- Develop a marketing plan with realistic sales forecasts and target prices.
- Form or join a marketing cooperative to enhance prices and guarantee a market.
- Increase direct marketing efforts to capture a higher price.
- Market through multiple channels or outlets to reduce reliance on a single market.
- Enter into sales or price contracts with buyers.
- Spread harvest and sales over the season by scheduling planting.
- Conduct basic market research and survey customers.
Financial Risks

Financial risks relate to the possibility of having insufficient cash to meet expected obligations, lower than expected profits, and loss of net worth. Sources of financial risk commonly result from the production and marketing risks described earlier. In addition, financial risks may also be caused by increases in key input costs, increases in interest rates, excessive borrowing, lack of adequate cash or credit reserves, and changes in exchange rates.

Tools and Strategies:

- Develop a comprehensive business plan identifying mission, objectives, and goals.
- Monitor financial ratios and benchmarks related to liquidity, solvency and profitability.
- Conduct a trend analysis to assess what is happening with farm income and net worth over time.
- Purchase whole farm revenue insurance, such as Adjusted Gross Revenue (AGR) or AGR-Lite, to provide a safety net.
- Communicate with suppliers and lenders to review and renegotiate exiting contracts and loan terms.
- Evaluate the possibility of business expansion (getting larger) or contraction (reducing size).
- Use non-farm investments such as IRAs or mutual funds to diversify the business asset portfolio.

Legal and environmental risks

In part, legal risks relate to fulfilling business agreements and contracts. Another major source of legal risk is tort liability, i.e., causing injury to another person or property due to negligence. Legal risk is also related to environmental liability and concerns about water quality, erosion, and pesticide use.

Tools and strategies:

- Review business insurance policies and be certain to carry sufficient liability coverage.
- Evaluate the type of business legal structure; a sole proprietorship is not always the best business organization.
- Understand business contracts and agreements; ask questions if unsure.
- Take time to develop good relationships with neighbors and address their concerns.
- Use best management practices to limit environmental risk.
- Know and follow local, state, and federal regulations related to the farming operation.
Human resource management risks:

Human resource risks pertain to risks associated with individuals and their relationships to each other, their families and the farm business. Sources of human resource risk include the three D's — divorce, death, or disability of a business owner, manager, employee or family member. It also includes risks arising from poor communications and people-management practices.

Tools and strategies:

- Develop and practice good “people skills” for family as well as employees.
- Evaluate alternative sources of labor.
- Provide a safe working environment for all employees and customers who may be on site.
- Provide adequate training for employees.
- Communicate with employees and family members.
- Recognize and reward good performance.
- Review estate and business transfer plans to help insure the farm continues.
- Consider long-term care and life insurance needs.

Managing risk starts with identifying the most crucial risks; understanding the potential impacts and likelihood of undesirable outcomes; and identifying and taking possible steps to mitigate or lessen the impacts. For help with risk management planning, seek assistance from the local Extension office. Contact information appears in Appendix 4.

Adapted and modified, with permission, from the Northeast Vegetable Management Guide, written by Michael Sciabarrasi, Extension Professor, Agricultural Business Management, UNH Cooperative Extension.
CHAPTER 2
Record Keeping

Introduction

Any analysis of the aquaculture business is dependent upon sound information. Accurate, detailed, and complete records can help the farmer to:

- Provide control over the business and improve the management and efficiency of the farm.
- Provide a basis for farm credit and financing.
- Determine the relative profitability of various production techniques or systems.
- Provide information for government programs such as grants, loans, and insurance.
- Provide information for tax purposes.

For the purpose of this manual, the focus is specifically on production-related record keeping. Financial record keeping is not covered in this manual; however, detailed financial records are critical to the aquaculture operation.

Production Records

Two general categories of production records exist. Resource inventory records consist of assessing what materials and products are on hand at the time of the inventory and need only be considered on a periodic basis. Operations records include items monitored on the day-to-day performance of the farm and should be kept on a weekly or daily basis.
1. Resource inventory records include:

- **Lists of machinery and equipment owned:** Having an updated listing of all resources available in one place makes it much easier to determine the farmer’s preparedness for upcoming production needs and allows him/her to plan for future purchases, should more equipment be needed.

- **List of property and property utilization schedule:** If a farm has a number of leases, systems, or ponds or multiple species in production, records should be kept of the use of each.

- **List of seed/fingerling/fry source(s) and strain(s):** This is particularly important if there are multiple sources or multiple purchases of varying size classes.

- **Inventory of seed/fingerling/fry purchased:** Document the amount, size, and distribution of each category purchased.

- **Inventory of seed/fingerling/fry planted and product transplanted/harvested:** When continuous stocking and harvesting is practiced, the change (increase or decrease) in the number and value of inventory should be calculated. Therefore, a record of beginning and ending inventory is necessary. Routine inventory of product amounts, size and holding location will provide, over the long term, critical data on survival and growth of specific groupings of product. In addition, generating diagrams or maps of product placement on the farm will reduce confusion as to source and year class of individual groups. Keeping specific lots of animals separate and routinely recording the condition of those stocks will provide important information as to performance of each group that will aid in making future decisions about purchases and placements.

- **Financial Transactions:** The intent of these records is to provide management with an accurate list of products bought and sold. As each lease, pond, or system is seeded or transplanted then harvested, the following items should be recorded – date of seeding/harvest, species seeded/harvested, amount seeded/harvested, price charged/received per unit, and the disposition of the product. Gross revenue of the production should include the cash and credit sales of the products and the imputed values of the quantities consumed on the farm. These records are essential not only for husbandry decisions, but are necessary to be eligible for any type of disaster assistance or crop insurance coverage.

2. Operations records include information such as:

- **Water quality measurements:** Information such as temperature, salinity, alkalinity, hardness, transparency, tidal stage, and a variety of other parameters that may be important to the operation should be recorded on a daily basis, and on occasion, more frequently.

- **Weather conditions:** Weather plays an important role in the performance of most aquaculture stocks. Having records allow the farmer to interpret potential influences of weather on production.

- **Stocking density for hatchery/nursery/growout systems:** What works best in one system or geographic area may not be applicable for all areas. It may take several years of observation to determine what stocking is best for the operation.

- **Daily feed consumption:** Recording daily feed consumption is an essential step in understanding the best feeding strategy for the shellfish. Determining the feed conversion efficiency allows the farmer to adjust feed type and amounts.

- **Predators (presence/absence; level):** Making observations of predator activity may allow the farmer to modify husbandry practices to avoid predation. Some predators may present a seasonal or otherwise predictable threat and can be managed or accounted for.
- **Fouling (presence/absence; level):** Water supply and food flux to the aquaculture organism can be restricted if fouling becomes extensive. Additionally, fouling may affect the appearance of the product and, subsequently, consumer acceptance. Fouling development, however, varies with environmental conditions so documenting the patterns of fouling development can help the farmer plan his/her work schedule to allow for adequate fouling control.

- **Disease (organism observations that would indicate a potential problem):** Disease is a common problem when rearing aquaculture organisms in high-density monocultures. Unexplained mortality or growth suppression may require outside assistance from an animal health professional. Without a detailed accounting of the situation along with details of the growing environment, however, assistance to aid in a diagnosis or recommend a solution to the problem will be difficult.

- **Growth:** The farmer should know the optimum growth rate and time to market for their product. Growth should be measured or estimated on a periodic basis. For all species, marking and measuring individuals allows the farmer to assess how the product is growing. A high variation between individuals could be an indication of food limitation. This information allows the grower to respond by increasing flow, decreasing stocking density, or changing food distribution methods (in fed cultures such as finfish). Though it provides only an estimate, measuring volume (with any graduated container) is easier and faster than individual measurements. This can be done every few weeks or monthly depending on the size/volume of the product.

- **Condition Index (CI) is a measure of the general well being of the animal):** The CI is a tool that can be used to assess the general health, meat quality, or yield of an organism using basic measurements (e.g. length-whole weight, whole weight-shell depth, etc.) that depend upon the organism in culture. CI can also be used to estimate the effect of environmental factors on the cultured organism. Farmers should be familiar with common condition factor measurements for their species.

- **General observations:** The farmer should note any changes in normal behavior (e.g. signs of stress) or if growth slows or stops. They should recognize seasonal (e.g. temperature effects) or predictable changes (e.g. reproductive growth) in animal growth or condition. The farmer should be aware of optimum or acceptable levels for environmental or operational parameters and make note when deviations occur. If there is any indication of a problem, contact the local aquatic health professional (see Appendix 3).

Preparing data collection sheets will help the farmer to be better organized and save time when record keeping. Printing out multiple copies of these documents and placing them in a loose-leaf notebook makes information entry relatively straightforward and self-explanatory, especially if there are multiple individuals recording data on the farm. Examples of record sheets are included at the end of this chapter.

*Excerpted, with permission, from Aquaculture Record Keeping written by Robert Pomeroy, and published by Connecticut Sea Grant.*

*Excerpted, with permission, from Record Keeping for Aquatic Farm Management by Dale Leavitt and Gef Flimlin, and published by the Northeastern Regional Aquaculture Center.*
Record Keeping Log Examples

Note that the detail on any given form is dependent on the aquaculture product, the individual farm practices, the complexity of the business, and the production level.

Example 1. Shellfish Inventory Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lease/Lot No and Location:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intended Use: seed/live in-shell/shucked or processed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed Source</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed Purchase Date:</td>
<td>Quantity Purchased:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed Size:</td>
<td>Seed Certification/License on file: yes/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Transaction on file: yes/no</td>
<td>Original Plant Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated Harvest Date:</td>
<td>Anticipated Animal Harvest Size:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated Harvest Value Per Unit:</td>
<td>Anticipated % Cumulative Mortality:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description of Activity</th>
<th>Quantity planted or removed</th>
<th>Mortality count</th>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(initial stocking, grade, transplant to/from, gear maintenance, harvest, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 2. Environmental Conditions Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lease/Lot No.</th>
<th>Month:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Air Temp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And so on...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 3. Fish Production System Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System No:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Check (✓) the following activities undertaken:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 3

Shellfish Aquaculture in the Northeastern U.S.

Overview

Bivalve shellfish production is the largest segment of marine aquaculture in the U.S. The five major species cultivated include:

- eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica*
- northern quahog *Mercenaria mercenaria*
- blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*
- bay scallop *Argopecten irradians*
- softshell clam *Mya arenaria*

Two other species, the Atlantic razor clam *Ensis directus* and the European flat oyster *Ostrea edulis*, are cultured on a small scale. While shellfish are grown primarily for human consumption, a portion is produced for fisheries stock enhancement and habitat restoration programs.

Shellfish aquaculture is comprised of three stages: hatchery, nursery, and growout. Farmers rely upon either hatchery seed production or procurement of wild seed. In some geographic locations, large natural beds exist solely for the latter purpose.

Hatcheries are typically land-based facilities that draw seawater from a local water body or a saltwater well. Wild or cultivated adult shellfish are brought into the facility and placed in tanks for broodstock conditioning. Gametes are collected, fertilized, and then maintained in static tanks or recirculating systems and reared through metamorphosis. Some hatcheries are experimenting with flow-through production methods that allow high-density larval culture. Post-set shellfish may remain in the hatchery for a period of time to achieve a larger size or may be moved into the nursery and growout stages.
Nursery culture can continue on land or can involve seeding the shellfish in floating or submerged containers or gear (e.g. upwellers, bags, trays, cages, longlines, etc.) or directly to the bottom. While many farmers choose to rear their product in containers, a large proportion of the industry plants significantly larger seed (presumably less vulnerable to predation) directly on the bottom, or use some combination of both gear and bottom culture. While some privately owned shellfish grounds exist, the majority of the industry cultivates shellfish on public grounds through municipal or state leasing or licensing programs.

This chapter includes an overview of production-related risks for the major species and includes an example of shellfish morphology and the life cycle (Figure 1-2), as well as images of cultivation gear (Figures 3-14). Detailed information on hatchery production and growout practices are documented in a number of references listed at the end of this manual (Appendix 5).
Shellfish Morphology

Figure 1. External and internal morphology of the northern quahog, Mercenaria mercenaria.
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Shellfish Life Cycle

Figure 2. Life cycle of the eastern oyster, Crassostrea virginica.
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Shellfish Cultivation Systems

Figure 3. Hatchery
Tessa Getchis

Figure 4. Upweller
Dale Leavitt

Figure 5. Taylor float
John Supan

Figure 6. Rack and bag
Robert Rheault
Figure 7. Floating bag
Tessa Getchis

Figure 8. Pearl/Lantern net
Jon Rowley

Figure 9. Oyster Gro system
Robert Rheault

Figure 10. Stacked tray
Tessa Getchis
Figure 11. Bottom cage
   Diane Murphy

Figure 12. Bottom plant/predator netting
   Joseph Buttner

Figure 13. Bottom cultivation and dredging
   Stacey Salce

Figure 14. Bottom harvesting
   Robert Rheault
Potential Shellfish Production Hazards

- Environmental Conditions
- Biofouling Organisms
- Predators
- Diseases and Parasites
- Invasive Species
- Operational Procedures
Environmental Conditions

Environmental conditions play a significant role in the productivity of the farm. Therefore, it is important for the farmer to understand the role that key environmental parameters may play in crop production, and the potential risks when those parameters fall outside of acceptable limits for the cultivated species.

Much of the information included in this section also applies to hatcheries, but the tolerance ranges in the early life stages of shellfish may be less than for adults.

The environmental variables listed are important to consider when locating and managing a farm. When outside of acceptable limits, these are considered “hazards” to the aquaculture operation.

Often, the local aquaculture extension program has the capacity to measure environmental parameters. See Appendix 4 for contact information.

Potential hazards:
- temperature
- dissolved oxygen
- salinity
- pH
- metabolic waste products
- turbidity
- harmful algal blooms
- adverse weather
TEMPERATURE

Shellfish are ectothermic, meaning that their body temperature is essentially the same as the temperature of their environment. Because their metabolism is governed to a large degree by temperature, so is their growth and survival. In open water systems, the farmer cannot control the ambient temperature. However, there are numerous farm strategies noted below that can be employed to minimize the risk of extreme environmental temperatures.

Why is it a Potential Hazard?

All ectothermic animals have an temperature range within which they function and grow at their optimal rate. If the temperature increases or decreases to levels outside those tolerated by the animals, stress will ensue and the animals may die. The ranges of optimal and extreme temperature tolerances are unique to each species (see Tables 1-5) and are influenced by the normal temperature range that the animal experiences, e.g. southern oysters can function in a higher temperature range than northern oysters of the same species.

Some shellfish can withstand extended periods (days or weeks) at near-freezing temperatures. Few species are tolerant to subzero temperatures unless insulated by snow or some sort of temporary storage cover. Ice flows in intertidal or shallow subtidal areas can also severely damage aquaculture crops and gear (Figure 1).

While processing is not a focus of this document, it seemed pertinent to include a brief overview of how suboptimal temperatures can also present a hazard when shellfish product is harvested and processed for market. While water quality in shellfish harvesting areas is highly regulated, naturally occurring bacteria (e.g. *Vibrio* spp.) can still present a human health risk. These bacteria multiply more rapidly in warm water and moderate salinity, and in the northeastern U.S.; *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in particular tends to be present in higher numbers in late spring through fall. Therefore, if the harvested shellfish are exposed to high temperatures, e.g. left to sit in the sun between harvest and transport to the processing facility, the bacteria can proliferate at a rapid rate and result in increased risk of the shellfish pathogens reaching levels that may cause human illness.

How to Measure/Monitor for the Hazard:

Water and air temperature can be monitored using a thermometer and inexpensive continuous temperature monitoring devices are also available. Temperature measurements should be entered into the daily logbook. It is important that any equipment be calibrated.

Hazard Management:

For land-based hatcheries, the use of alarm systems that will notify the farmer of any fluctuations in water temperature is the simplest way to prevent problems.

For open water operations, temperature fluctuations can be addressed either through site selection and handling strategies for the shellfish. Site selection involves gathering information on the temperature range of the proposed growing area and reconciling that with the temperature ranges for the species of interest.

If the site is prone to extreme low water temperatures or ice in the winter, the farmer should consider either moving the shellfish to deeper waters or removing them from the flats to an alternative holding area (e.g. “pitting” oysters). The same is true on the opposite end of the temperature spectrum. When transplanting product during extreme temperatures, handling and exposure should be kept to a minimum to avoid further stress to the animal.
There are some simple ways to manage temperature during the harvest and processing of shellfish. Keeping harvested shellfish out of direct sunlight using tents or tarps can reduce heat stress. It is important to keep adequate airflow above and between bags or baskets of product to allow for even cooling. A good alternative is to have ice available for packing shellfish until they can be transported to the dealer or to the processing facility where they should be refrigerated and reduced to an internal temperature less than 50°F. The time allowed to get product into refrigeration and cooled to the appropriate temperature varies according to state/federal risk assessments. In recent years, an increase in illness outbreaks in some states due to certain strains of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* has resulted in more stringent time-temperature controls to reduce the risk of human illness.

Due to the potential for human health risk, all states follow federally recommended guidelines for handling shellfish from harvest to processing, based on the National Shellfish Sanitation Program (NSSP) Model Ordinance of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration\(^1\). It is incumbent upon the farmer to be aware of, and adhere to, their state regulations for handling/monitoring shellfish and following these guidelines as they are handling their shellfish. Consult with the State Aquaculture Coordinator for this information (See Appendix 3).

---

DISSOLVED OXYGEN

Oxygen is dissolved in seawater, and the solubility changes with water temperature and salinity such that there is less oxygen in the water with increasing temperature and salinity.

Shellfish remove oxygen from the seawater by pumping seawater across their mantle and gills. Some shellfish can extract oxygen directly from the air, and many species can survive for extended periods without oxygen by reverting to anaerobic metabolism. These abilities, however, are species-specific and highly dependent upon environmental conditions.

Why is it a Potential hazard?
Exposure to low oxygen or anoxic conditions may stress the shellfish, and prolonged exposure may result in depressed growth rates or death.

How to Measure/Monitor for the Hazard:
It is important to be aware of oxygen levels on the farm and to measure them regularly so that the farmer can manage for daily (e.g. related to tidal cycle, diel changes) and seasonal fluctuations. Measuring dissolved oxygen in seawater is relatively simple. Test kits are available from marine aquarium suppliers. Inexpensive continuous dissolve oxygen monitoring devices are also available.

Hazard Management:
If an area is prone to hypoxia, it should be avoided. Often, there can be a vertical stratification of the water column with surface waters having higher levels of dissolved oxygen than at depth. If that is the case, bringing the shellfish to the surface, if feasible, is another possible strategy to counter chronic hypoxia. If hypoxia is confined to a small embayment or cove then it may be possible to install large aerators to counter nighttime low oxygen events.

SALINITY

Salinity is a measure of the total salts in the water and is reported as a dimensionless unit. Historically it has been measured in parts per thousand (ppt) or reported as practical salinity units (psu).

Freshwater = salinity of less than 0.5
Brackish = salinity typically between 0.5 and 17 (but up to 30)
Seawater = salinity average of 35

Shellfish are osmoconformers and will adjust the salinity of their body fluids to mimic external conditions, often very rapidly, as they are exposed to variations in environmental salinity. Tolerance of environmental salinity fluctuations is species-specific and is also dependent upon concomitant exposure to other stressors, e.g. temperature and hypoxia.
Why is it a Potential Hazard?
If shellfish are exposed to suboptimal salinities for prolonged periods, they may not be capable of adjusting their internal salinity properly, resulting in cellular damage and mortality. Even short-term exposure may result in some stress, depending upon the species.

As is true of temperature and dissolved oxygen, the response of an animal to changing environmental salinity varies around some level that is considered optimal. Normal ranges of salinity tolerance for major shellfish species are listed in Tables 1-5.

How to Measure/Monitor for the Hazard:
Most farmers measure salinity with an inexpensive handheld refractometer. Units should be calibrated on occasion (i.e. use freshwater to ensure a salinity of zero).

Hazard Management:
Larval shellfish are highly sensitive to suboptimal changes in environmental parameters. If the hatchery is located on a water body that may have transitory drops in salinity, farmers can restrict flow to the hatchery during those times of low salinity. Another strategy is to adjust the salinity by addition of synthetic sea salts, commonly available from aquarium suppliers.

Adult shellfish typically exhibit a wider range of environmental tolerances than larvae and juveniles, and these are species specific.

**pH**

The pH of seawater is usually within the range of 7.6 – 8.4, and can vary depending upon temperature, salinity, freshwater source, and other factors.

Why is it a Potential Hazard?
Acidification in the marine environment has received considerable attention, particularly with reference to marine shellfish. Recent evidence suggests that increasing levels of CO$_2$ will induce significant changes in the marine environment. The pH of both the interstitial waters and those at the sediment-water interface may be impacted by increased loading of organic material and the subsequent decomposition of that material.

The potential threat of decreasing pH (acidification) is primarily associated with the ability of the shellfish to calcify their shell, although it can also have deleterious impacts on the overall physiological function of the animals. Normal shell is formed when the animal manufactures a protein matrix and the mantle lays down new shell along the growing edge. The formation of the crystalline shell structure is highly dependent on the pH of the surrounding environment, as the shellfish needs to extract calcium and carbonate ions from the seawater and chemically combine them during shell formation.

Recent research has demonstrated that a decrease in seawater pH impacts shell formation in larval shellfish resulting in malformed shells leading to failed metamorphosis and death. Documenting this phenomenon in the field has, however, proven more difficult.
How to Measure/Monitor for the Hazard:
Specialized protocols for monitoring pH in hatcheries have been developed and utilize commercially available pH meters.

Hazard Management:
While it is possible to adjust the pH of incoming water in a hatchery by the addition of soda ash, it is not a simple adjustment. Suboptimal pH is a relatively uncommon issue in the northeast.

**METABOLIC WASTE PRODUCTS**
Shellfish produce soluble nitrogenous waste products, mainly in the form of ammonia, into the surrounding waters.

Why is it a Potential Hazard?
Ammonia (NH₃) and nitrite (NO₂⁻), and to a much lesser degree nitrate (NO₃⁻), can be very toxic to marine animals if they build up in a static system, e.g. in a hatchery or recirculating system. Excess levels of nitrogenous wastes can be lethal to larval shellfish.

How to Measure/Monitor for the Hazard:
There are a number of simple inexpensive kits available for monitoring for ammonia, nitrite and nitrate in seawater.

Hazard Management:
The nitrogen levels should be monitored regularly. The immediate response to excess levels is to undertake a large volume water change in the system. If the high nitrogen levels are a recurring problem, more frequent water changes may be necessary.

**TURBIDITY**
Turbidity is a measure of the clarity of the water and can be influenced by a number of factors including the concentration of phytoplankton and suspended organic and inorganic particles in the water (seston).

High turbidity may be beneficial if it is primarily a result of high chlorophyll concentrations which provide nutrition for the shellfish.

Why is it a Potential Hazard?
High turbidity due primarily to suspended sediment may have a negative impact on shellfish. The greatest hazards associated with excess turbidity are potential clogging of gills, a reduction in food quality, potential burial of epifaunal shellfish, and smothering of siphons of infaunal shellfish.

How to Measure/Monitor for the Hazard:
A simple and common method to measure for turbidity is with a Secchi Disc, a weighted plastic disc with black and white quarters (Figure 2). The disk is lowered into the water until the user loses sight
of the disk. It is then slowly raised until it comes back into sight. The depth at which the user regains sight of the disc is termed the “Secchi depth” and is dependent upon the turbidity of the water.

One thing to keep in mind when measuring turbidity is that the measurement indicates the overall density of suspended particles in the water column, but it does not inform the user as to the composition of the suspended material.

**Hazard Management:**

- Avoid culture in areas known to have high inorganic sediment loads and sedimentation rates.
- If adverse weather or other events result in extreme sedimentation, excess silt should be washed from cultivation gear and animals. Planted oyster beds may need to be dredged to remove excess silt.
- Hatcheries should employ use of mechanical filtration to remove suspended solids.

---

**HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOMS**

Microalgae (phytoplankton) are typically single-celled algal species that are very small (3-50µm) and are the primary food source for shellfish. In the wild, numerous microalgal species exist together in the phytoplankton community. Certain environmental conditions sometimes trigger one species of microalgae to reproduce to a level that outcompetes the other algal cells in the community. When this happens, the exceedingly high density of algae is referred to as a bloom. Some bloom species also produce potent neurotoxins that may impact the shellfish themselves and can render them as vectors of toxins when consumed by higher trophic level species including humans. If the algal species is one that causes harm either to the ecosystem or humans it is termed a harmful algal bloom (HAB). Impacts of harmful algal species on shellfish are species specific and dependent upon the algal species-shellfish species pairing in question.

**Mode of Action:** HAB affect bivalves in several ways. Algae can produce toxins that cause destruction and necrosis of the tissue, e.g. gills and mantle, or digestive and vascular tissues. Some bivalve species can close their valves for extended periods of time to prevent exposure to the toxic algae. Though harmful algae may be edible, they are not necessarily nutritious which may result in the bivalve starving, again, a species-specific phenomenon.

HAB in the Northeast usually occur over a limited time period of several days to weeks, and there are a few immediately noticeable signs that cultured shellfish are affected. Again, impacts vary depending on the HAB species and shellfish species in question.
Why is it a Potential Hazard?
A breakdown of the potential consequences of HAB that exist in this region include:

1) HAB with adverse affects on humans:
   a) Diatoms
      i) Amnesic Shellfish Poisoning – caused by domoic acid produced by some species of the diatom *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp., it can cause gastrointestinal and neurological problems, including short-term memory loss, in humans. Can be fatal.
   b) Dinoflagellates
      i) Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning – caused by a suite of potent neurotoxins (saxitoxins and derivatives) that are produced in the Northeast by the dinoflagellate *Alexandrium fundyense*. This neurotoxin can lead to death within 24 hours due to respiratory arrest.
      ii) Diarrhetic Shellfish Poisoning – caused by okadaic acid produced by *Dinophysis* spp. This causes severe gastrointestinal distress.

2) HAB with adverse effects on culture organism or culture environment:
   a) Eutrophication
      i) Not attributable to any specific group of microalgae. If environmental conditions are such that it supports a rapid expansion of the population of a single or multiple species of microalgae, the end result is often a substantial scale die-off (bloom crash) delivering large amounts of organic material to the sediment surface. The resulting decomposition of the organic material can result in reduction or depletion of dissolved oxygen on the water overlying the area.
      ii) A crash of an algal bloom can affect shellfish through low dissolved oxygen as described above.
      iii) If the bloom is intensive, the high density of microalgal particles can physically clog or irritate gills.
   b) Impairment of shellfish
      i) Some species of microalgae that are not health hazards to humans have negative direct impacts on the shellfish. Brown Tide (caused by *Aureococcus anophagefferens*) and Rust Tide (caused by *Cochlodinium polykrikoides*) have been implicated in suppressing shellfish growth and mortalities when present in high densities in coastal waters.

How to Measure/Monitor for the Hazard:
For areas with a history of HAB that can result in human health risks, states are required to implement a regular HAB monitoring program.

These microalgae can reach levels resulting in human health impairment without being visible in the water. Stations are routinely sampled and analyzed by state natural resource programs.

For those HAB that are not a direct human health risk, monitoring is not regularly undertaken so the first indication that there is a problem will probably be noticed by the farmer as a reduction or cessation of growth in their shellfish or even mortalities. Sometimes, the bloom is dense enough to be noticeable in coastal waters. Often, even with that information, it is problematic to assign reduced growth to a HAB. Only after close monitoring is it possible to assign growth problems to microalgal dynamics. Therefore, if the farmer suspects that there is a HAB problem then the aquaculture health professional and extension personnel should be consulted (Appendices 4, 5).
Hazard Management:
There are no means available to control algal blooms, nor can they be easily predicted. The scale of impact of a HAB is much larger than the location of the shellfish farm, thus, there is little a shellfish farmer can do to prevent or adjust for an HAB event in the vicinity of their farm other than to mitigate around the presence. Farmers should also avoid relocating shellfish from affected areas as harmful algae can be transported with the shellstock.

ADVERSE WEATHER

Weather is an uncontrollable aspect of farming that requires the farmer to think ahead as to what to expect, and to act on that knowledge by designing and managing the farm to minimize the impacts of the weather.

Why is it a Potential Hazard?
Many weather-related factors have been addressed in descriptions of other potential hazards in this manual, e.g. temperature and salinity. The one aspect not mentioned is the physical intensity of weather. The energy contained in wind-driven waves or the weight of a mass of ice being pushed by the tidal current are forces that will far exceed the farmer’s ability to protect any structures that are placed in the water or on the tidal flats. Nonetheless, the farmer must adopt strategies that minimize the risk of damage or loss to the crop from adverse weather conditions.

How to Measure/Monitor for the Hazard:
Developing an awareness of the range of weather conditions at the farm site is a necessary first step in establishing the farm. The primary weather factors that can impact the crop include wind force and direction, temperature range, and extent of rainfall. Historical records of these factors are routinely available from a number of sources, including an array of weather buoys maintained by the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) with other agencies and the National Weather Service (another NOAA Office). The agency websites provide records of weather conditions at local stations so farmers can fine-tune their weather awareness to those sites nearest to the farm site.

Monitoring upcoming weather conditions is an essential component to managing the farm. Short-term management strategies can be implemented to protect farms from damaging weather conditions if those conditions are anticipated. While weather forecasting is an inexact science, the overall capacity of weather forecasters is steadily improving and the current attitude is to have the populace prepare for the worst.

NOAA Weather Radio continually broadcasts marine weather information with forecasts up to 7 days in advance. In addition, there are numerous other sources of weather forecasting that will alert farmers to upcoming adverse weather conditions either through public media (radio or television) or web-based.

Hazard Management:
Advanced planning is primarily associated with initial site selection. Consideration of the prevailing weather conditions, e.g. the fact that winter storms primarily come from the northeast, or summer wind patterns are primarily from the southwest, when selecting and setting up the farm site is essential to minimizing the overall risk from weather. Farmers should seek areas that provide some degree of protection from severe wind conditions. Farmers should understand the normal patterns of ice development and movement within the local water body. Long-term weather patterns are
available from NOAA or local environmental agencies. Having a contingency plan for short-term weather events is necessary to minimize risks. If possible, prior to predicted storms or the formation of major ice flows, vulnerable structures should be moved to deeper water or provided additional anchorage. Development of a written emergency preparedness plan and regular review of that plan is the best approach to protecting the farm from adverse weather conditions.
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Table 1. Environmental parameters to consider for eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEMPERATURE Culture Stage</th>
<th>Temperature Range</th>
<th>Optimal Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>°F</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonad Development</td>
<td>60.4 - 86.0</td>
<td>15.8 - 30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>&gt;68.0</td>
<td>&gt;20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;61.0</td>
<td>&gt;16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>68.0 - 86.0</td>
<td>20.0 - 30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;63.5</td>
<td>&gt;17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile to Adult</td>
<td>33.8 - 96.8</td>
<td>10.0 - 36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.9 - 96.8</td>
<td>-17.0 - 36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.4 - 96.8</td>
<td>-2.0 - 36.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SALINITY Culture Stage</th>
<th>Salinity Range</th>
<th>Optimal Salinity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproductive development</td>
<td>&gt;6.0</td>
<td>Butler 1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.0 - 41.0</td>
<td>Anemiya 1926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>&gt;7.5</td>
<td>Loosanoff 1948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>100.0 - 270.0</td>
<td>Calabrese &amp; Davis 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.0 - 33.0</td>
<td>Anemiya 1926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.1 - 30.6</td>
<td>Carriker 1951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;7.5</td>
<td>Davis 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile to Adult</td>
<td>Highest limit of tolerance: 34 - 40</td>
<td>Galtsoff 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.0 - 30.0</td>
<td>Galtsoff 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.0 - 27.0</td>
<td>Davis 1958, Loosanoff 1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0 - 42.0</td>
<td>Quast et al. 1988, Shumway 1996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISSOLVED OXYGEN Culture Stage</th>
<th>Dissolved Oxygen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DO (mg/l or ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>&gt;20% sat minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile to Adult</td>
<td>~20 - 100% saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* tolerant of hypoxic conditions for extended time periods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH Culture Stage</th>
<th>pH range</th>
<th>Optimal pH range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>6.75 - 8.75</td>
<td>Calabrese &amp; Davis 1966</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TURBIDITY Culture Stage</th>
<th>Turbidity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turbidity (mg/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>&lt;750 maximum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.
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Table 2. Environmental parameters to consider for Northern quahog (*Mercenaria mercenaria*) culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEMPERATURE</th>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Temperature Range</th>
<th>Optimal Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>°F</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.6 - 86.0</td>
<td>22.0 - 30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69.8 - 77.0</td>
<td>21.0 - 25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>68.0 - 73.4</td>
<td>20.0 - 23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.5 - 91.4</td>
<td>12.5 - 33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>59.0 - 91.4</td>
<td>15.0 - 33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>68.0 - 73.4</td>
<td>20.0 - 23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.0 - 95.0</td>
<td>0.0 - 35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33.8 - 93.2</td>
<td>1.0 - 34.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile to Adult</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.0 - 95.0</td>
<td>0.0 - 35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33.8 - 93.2</td>
<td>1.0 - 34.0*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SALINITY</th>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Salinity Range</th>
<th>Optimal Salinity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproductive development</td>
<td>15.0 - 35.0</td>
<td>Roegner &amp; Mann 1991</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td>20.0 - 35.0</td>
<td>Roegner &amp; Mann 1991</td>
<td>20.0 - 27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>15.0 - 32.0</td>
<td>Davis 1958</td>
<td>17.5 - 35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamorphosis</td>
<td>17.5 - 20.0</td>
<td>Castagna &amp; Chanley 1973</td>
<td>100.0 - 350.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile to Adult</td>
<td>10.0 - 35.0*</td>
<td>Stanley &amp; DeWitt 1983</td>
<td>240.0 - 32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.0 - 40.0</td>
<td>Baker et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.0 - 32.0</td>
<td>Rice 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;12.0</td>
<td>Roegner &amp; Mann 1991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* May be differences based on geographical site of origin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISSOLVED OXYGEN</th>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Dissolved Oxygen</th>
<th>Optimal Dissolved Oxygen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DO (mg/l or ppm)</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>&gt;0.5 minimum</td>
<td>Rice 1992</td>
<td>&gt;4.0* minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile to Adult</td>
<td>&gt;4.0* minimum</td>
<td>Rice 1992</td>
<td>&gt;5.0 minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;2.4 minimum</td>
<td>Baker et al. 2002</td>
<td>&gt;2.4 minimum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* tolerant of hypoxic conditions for extended time periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>pH range</th>
<th>Optimum pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>6.75 - 8.50</td>
<td>Roegner &amp; Mann 1991</td>
<td>7.50 - 8.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TURBIDITY</th>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Turbidity</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>&lt;400</td>
<td>Davis 1960</td>
<td>&gt;44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.
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Table 3. Environmental parameters to consider for softshell clam (*Mya arenaria*) culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEMPERATURE Culture Stage</th>
<th>Temperature Range</th>
<th>Optimal Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>°F</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonad Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>&gt;53.6</td>
<td>&gt;12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>&lt;93.9</td>
<td>&lt;34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile to Adult</td>
<td>&lt;82.4</td>
<td>&lt;28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5·14</td>
<td>&gt;-10·15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;90.5</td>
<td>&lt;32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.4·82.4</td>
<td>2·28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SALINITY Culture Stage</th>
<th>Salinity Range</th>
<th>Optimal Salinity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>16·32</td>
<td>Stickney 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile to Adult</td>
<td>25·35</td>
<td>Christian et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20·33 (Massachusetts)</td>
<td>Belding 1909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;2.8 minimum</td>
<td>van Dam 1935</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISSOLVED OXYGEN Culture Stage</th>
<th>Dissolved Oxygen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DO (mg/l or ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile to Adult</td>
<td>&gt;2.8 minimum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TURBIDITY Culture Stage</th>
<th>Turbidity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turbidity (mg/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>juvenile growth</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.
References:


Gilfillan, E.S., Mayo, D., Hanson, S., Donovan, O., Jiang, L.C., 1976. Reduction in carbon flux in Mya arenaria caused by a spill of No. 6 fuel oil. Marine Biology. 37, 115-123.


Table 4. Environmental parameters to consider for blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*) culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEMPERATURE Culture Stage</th>
<th>Temperature Range</th>
<th>Optimal Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gonad Development</td>
<td>&gt;41 °F, &gt;5 °C</td>
<td>64.4 °F, 18 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>50 - 53.6 °F, 10 - 12 °C</td>
<td>68 °F, 20 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>41 - 68 °F, 5 - 20 °C</td>
<td>50 - 60.8 °F, 10 - 16 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamorphosis</td>
<td>60.8 - 62.6 °F, 16 - 17 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile stops growing</td>
<td>&gt;10.4 °F, &gt;12 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>&gt;104 °F, &gt;40 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>&gt; 15 Salinity</td>
<td>25 - 30 Salinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>20 - 40 Salinity</td>
<td>30 - 35 Salinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamorphosis</td>
<td>28 - 29 Salinity</td>
<td>26 Salinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile to Adult</td>
<td>0 - 31 Salinity</td>
<td>26 Mallet &amp; Myrand 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reduced growth</td>
<td>&lt; 5 - &gt;40 Salinity</td>
<td>Jamieson et al. 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen Culture Stage</td>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval survival</td>
<td>&gt;0.01 minimum DO</td>
<td>Theede et al. 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile to Adult</td>
<td>&gt; 60% saturation</td>
<td>Newell 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity Culture Stage</td>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>&gt;250 turbidity stops</td>
<td>Widdows et al. 1979</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.
References:


Henderson, J.T., 1929. Lethal temperatures of Lamellibranchiata. Contributions to Canadian Biology and Fisheries. 4, 397-412.


Table 5. Environmental parameters to consider for bay scallop (*Argopecten irradians*) culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEMPERATURE Culture Stage</th>
<th>Temperature Range</th>
<th>Optimal Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>°F</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonad Development</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing trophophore larvae</td>
<td>71.6 - 82.4</td>
<td>22 - 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66.2 - 82.4</td>
<td>19 - 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamorphosis</td>
<td>55.4 - 89.6</td>
<td>13 - 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile to Adult growth</td>
<td>20 - 89.6</td>
<td>-6.6 - 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32 - 86</td>
<td>0 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>&gt;7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SALINITY Culture Stage</th>
<th>Salinity Range</th>
<th>Optimal Salinity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>&gt;22.5</td>
<td>Castagna 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth limits</td>
<td>19.3 - 31</td>
<td>Lin et al. 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamorphosis</td>
<td>11.7 - 35</td>
<td>Lin et al. 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>&gt; 14</td>
<td>Belding 1910, Gutsell 1930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lethal growth limits</td>
<td>11 - 43</td>
<td>Lin et al. 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19 - 34</td>
<td>Lin et al. 1991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISSOLVED OXYGEN Culture Stage</th>
<th>Dissolved Oxygen</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Larval survival</td>
<td>&gt;1.38 minimum</td>
<td>Wang &amp; Zhang 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile to Adult</td>
<td>&gt;1.5 minimum</td>
<td>van Dam 1954</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TURBIDITY Culture Stage</th>
<th>Turbidity</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Larval rearing</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 maximum</td>
<td>Stone &amp; Palmer 1973, Moore 1978</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.
References:


Potential Shellfish Production Hazards

- Environmental Conditions
- **Biofouling Organisms**
- Predators
- Diseases and Parasites
- Invasive Species
- Operational Procedures
Biofouling Organisms

The term biofouling refers to numerous plant and colonizing animal species that create a nuisance when attached to aquaculture gear and shellfish. Often referred to as fouling organisms, pests such as algae, barnacles, tubeworms, tunicates, and even oyster and mussel set can have adverse impacts on the target aquaculture species. Their effects are usually physical in nature, with impacts ranging from heavy algal mats that smother shellfish to competition for space especially by tunicates and barnacles. The presence of biofouling organisms can interfere with 1) shellfish growth - causing reduced growth rates and shell deformities; 2) feeding activity - restricting water flow and food available to shellfish; and 3) resources - creating competition for space. Additionally, shellfish that are destined to be sold live and in shell should be aesthetically appealing and relatively free of extraneous material. These fouling organisms have a much shorter shelf life than the cultured shellfish, and may spoil or decrease the value of the target product.

The presence of fouling organisms, and the time and labor cost to control them, can impose a significant economic burden on a production facility. Commonly used strategies to manage biofouling involve: (1) manual removal (e.g. drying, washing, scraping), (2) mechanical means (e.g. tumbling, aeration), or (3) chemical control (e.g. brine, bleach, lime, or acetic acid dips, and antifouling paints and coatings). Few of these methods have proven effective for the management of biofouling organisms, and some means of chemical control have been shown to have significant adverse environmental effects. Biological control using natural predators has not been demonstrated as effective in managing biofouling organisms. Other strategies can involve the timing of gear deployments to avoid or minimize time in the water during an expected 'bloom' or recruitment (settling period) of organisms such as barnacles, mussels, or tunicates, but this is not always feasible and other mitigation measures involves rotating gear - trading old gear for new.

Routine cleaning and maintenance is key to minimizing the effects of biofouling. Hatchery inflow water should be filtered or disinfected, and regular cleaning and disinfection of equipment, pipes, tanks, and netting can help prevent colonization and ensure adequate flow and system operation.

Marine biofouling organisms have similar affects on shellfish, finfish, and seaweed aquaculture operations. To avoid repetition, this section includes information on potential biofouling hazards for all groups and notes what species or groups are affected. Biofouling reference material for finfish and seaweed aquaculture appear in those respective chapters.

Potential hazards:
- macroalgae
- sponges
- bryozoans
- hydrozoans
- molluscs
- polychaetes
- crustaceans
- ascidians
MICROALGAE

Name:
- various species of diatoms

Mode of Action: Diatoms, when present in high concentrations, can smother juvenile plants and coat frond surfaces, impacting growth and quality.

Species/Systems Affected:
- kelp (*Saccharina latissima*)
- *Gracilaria tikvahiae*

Hazard Management:
- Optimize density and environmental conditions to maximize growth rates of cultured species.
- Select sites with good current flow or high turbidity.
- Outplant small juveniles well before spring diatom blooms to avoid smothering of small fronds.
MACROALGAE

Name: various species of seaweeds including:
- green seaweeds (Ulva lactuca, Ulva spp., Chaetomorpha sp., Cladophora sp., Codium fragile*)
- brown seaweeds (Pylaiella sp., Ectocarpus spp., Colpomenia peregrine*)
- red seaweeds (Ceramium spp., Palmaria palmata, Agardhiella sp., Neosiphonia harveyii, Heterosiphonia japonica, Gracilaria vermiculopilyla*, Grateloupia turuturu* Gracilaria tikvahiae [if not target species])

Mode of Action: These algae form, depending on the species, clumps or mats, which can smother aquaculture gear; these algal mats can lead to anoxic conditions underneath. The algae may also be carried by currents and end up wrapped around cages which can block the flow of water through the gear and increase drag. Other types of unwanted seaweeds can settle and develop on gear or cultured product (in the case of shellfish and seaweeds) and compete for space and resources.

Species/Systems Affected:
- eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica)
- northern quahog (Mercenaria mercenaria)
- bay scallop (Argopecten irradians)
- blue mussel (Mytilus edulis)
- marine finfish
- kelp (Saccharina latissima)
- Gracilaria tikvahiae

Hazard Management:
- Keep nets clear of algae through regular removal (brushing) or periodically exchange fouled nets with clean gear.
- Remove biofouling by manually scrubbing or power washing gear.

* = non-native
SPONGES

Name:
- boring sponge (Cliona celata)
- red beard sponge (Microciona prolifera)

Mode of Action: Cliona is a common marine sponge that erodes the valves of shellfish leaving many large holes. In heavy infestations, this impacts the integrity of the valves leaving the shellfish vulnerable to other predators. Shellfish may compensate by depositing new shell, but may come at an expense to other normal metabolic processes. Damaged shells can crush easily when shucked and render product unfit for consumption. Microciona is commonly found attached to hard surfaces, including shellfish, but as opposed to Cliona, only affects the shell superficially.

Species Affected:
- eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica)
- northern quahog (Mercenaria mercenaria)

Hazard Management:
- Keep aquaculture gear and shellfish clean through regular removal of sponges or periodically exchange fouled gear with clean gear.
- Remove sponges by manually scrubbing.
- Expose shellfish to air-dry or brine dip (only species that can completely close their valves) as appropriate.
**BRYOZOANS AND HYDROZOANS**

**Name:**
- lacy crust bryozoan* (Membranipora membranacea)
- bushy bryozoans (Bugula turrita, B. simplex, B. neritina*, Cryptosula pallasiana, Alcyonidium sp.)
- hydroids (Tubularia spp., Cordylphora sp., Campanularia spp., Sertularella spp., Stylactaria spp., Obelia spp., others to a limited degree)

**Mode of Action:** All of these species can foul firm surfaces such as aquaculture gear and cultured shellfish and seaweeds. They cause an unsightly appearance and can be difficult to remove once established. Membranipora membranacea can develop a flat crust on kelp blade and stipe tissue that reduces spore output and growth, and contributes to weakened tissue. Other types of bryozoans and hydroids are bushy in appearance. These epiphytes can affect seaweed blade quality, appearance, and strength.

**Species Affected:**
- eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica)
- bay scallop (Argopecten irradians)
- blue mussel (Mytilus edulis)
- marine finfish
- kelp (Saccharina latissima)
- Gracilaria tikvahiae

**Hazard Management:**
- Remove biofouling by manually scrubbing or power washing gear, preferably off-site and on land if possible to avoid/reduce further spread of non-natives.
- Periodic rotation of gear – exchange fouled gear with clean or new gear.
- Expose shellfish to air-dry or brine dip (only species that can completely close their valves) as appropriate.
- For seaweed culture, select high energy sites over low energy sites to reduce opportunity for settlement.
- For kelp, harvest before onset of fouling period.
- Optimize growth rates and current flow around seaweed.
- Expose Gracilaria to freshwater dips as appropriate.
* = non-native
GASTROPOD MOLLUSC

Name:
- common slippersnail (*Crepidula fornicata*)

Mode of action: These common gastropods attach to shellfish and aquaculture gear throughout the intertidal and shallow subtidal waters. Individuals stacked together and can quickly foul gear.

Species Affected:
- eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
- bay scallop (*Argopecten irradians*)
- blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*)

Hazard Management:
- Periodic rotation of gear – exchange fouled gear with clean or new gear.
- *Crepidula* are difficult to remove and powerwashing can be successful if done immediately after snails have settled onto gear.
BIVALVE MOLLUSC

Name:
- blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*)
- ribbed mussel (*Geukensia demissa*)
- eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
- jingle shell (*Anomia simplex*)

Mode of action: Non-target shellfish compete with other shellfish for resources, and therefore interfere with feeding efficiency of the cultured organism. The production of byssal threads (beard) in mussels can bind together shellfish and gear, which can reduce water flow. The additional weight of non-target shellfish can make cultivation gear too heavy to service. Spat from both wild and farmed shellfish can create a problem referred to as overset. The presence of wild set can reduce market value of farmed product if not removed.

Species affected
- eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
- bay scallop (*Argopecten irradians*)
- blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*)

Hazard Management:
- Manual gear scrubbing to remove mussels as early as possible to minimize over growth of byssal fibers.
- Tumbling oysters can remove small overset.
- Manual gear scrubbing to remove oyster set as early as possible to minimize over growth of shell.
- Periodic rotation of gear – exchange fouled gear with clean or new gear.
- Expose shellfish to brine dip to control overset.
POLYCHAETE WORM

Name:
- carnation worm, tube worm (*Hydroides dianthus*)
- coiled worm, tube worm (*Spirorbis borealis, S. spirillum*)
- plume worm (*Diopatra* sp.)

Mode of action: The segmented carnation worm grows up to 7.5 cm long and builds a long, twisted white shell (tube). *Spirorbis* worms grow up to 2 mm long and build tiny, white, coiled shell-like tubes. These worms commonly attach to hard objects such as shellfish and aquaculture gear. Their calcareous tubes create an unsightly nuisance particularly on oyster and scallop shells and their appearance may compromise marketability of shellfish. *Diopatra* sp. has been identified as a problem in upwellers where it may clog mesh and cause mortalities when the screens become strapped tightly to the bottom of worm tubes.

Species affected:
- eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
- bay scallop (*Argopecten irradians*)
- blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*)

Hazard Management:
- Tube worms must be addressed when they first form, or they are very difficult to remove.
- Remove by manually scrubbing or power washing.
- Periodic rotation of gear – exchange fouled gear with clean or new gear.
CRUSTACEAN

Name:
- four-eyed amphipod, tube-building amphipod (*Ampelisca* spp.)

**Mode of action:** The four-eyed amphipod can be found in lower intertidal zones in muddy or sandy sediments. This small (up to 20mm long) amphipod is easily recognized by the clumps of flexible parchment-like tubes it constructs. The tubes are flattened in appearance and extend up to 0.5” above the sediment surface. When abundant, the network of extensive tubes can bind the sediment creating inhospitable conditions for shellfish, particularly northern quahog and oyster seed.

**Species affected:**
- northern quahog (*Mercenaria mercenaria*)
- eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)

**Hazard Management:**
- Keep shellfish nets clear of amphipod tubes through regular removal (brushing) or periodically exchange fouled nets with clean gear.
- In areas where this organism occurs in abundance, rake or till the sediment to break up tube structures.
- Remove biofouling by manually scrubbing or power washing, preferably off-site and on land if possible to avoid/reduce further spread of this organism.
- Air dry or brine dip shellfish as appropriate.
CRUSTACEANS

Name: skeleton shrimp, ghost shrimp including:
- Japanese skeleton shrimp *Aeginella longicornis* (Labrador to the Mid Atlantic U.S.)
- Japanese skeleton shrimp *Caprella septentrionalis* (Greenland to New England)
- Japanese skeleton shrimp *Caprella mutica* *

Mode of action: Skeleton shrimp are not true shrimp. Long and thin, they have an appearance similar to walking stick insects. They are commonly found clinging to seaweed fronds and aquaculture gear where they attach themselves to feed. *Caprella mutica* is an invasive species to New England, and can be found in dense colonies on any kind of submerged structure. They have a wide range of environmental tolerances, surviving at temperatures of -1.8°C to 29°C and salinities of 16 to greater than 40.

Species affected:
- kelp (*Saccharina latissima*)
- *Gracilaria tikvahiae*

Hazard Management:
- Harvest before appearance of fouling (Kelp).
- Freshwater dip as appropriate (*Gracilaria*).
- Optimize growth rates and current flow around seaweed.

* = non-native
CRUSTACEAN

Name:
- acorn barnacles (Balanus spp.),
- northern rock barnacle (Semibalanus balanoides)

Mode of action: Barnacles cement themselves to most hard substrates (including aquaculture gear and shellfish) throughout intertidal and subtidal zone creating an unsightly nuisance on farms. Spat mortality from overgrowth of barnacles can be significant. Their growth can interfere with shellfish feeding efficiency; if not removed they can affect marketability of product due to their short shelf life.

Species affected:
- eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica)
- bay scallop (Argopecten irradians)
- blue mussel (Mytilus edulis)

Hazard Management:
- Barnacles must be addressed when they first form, or they are very difficult to remove.
- Remove biofouling by manually scrubbing or power washing.
- Periodic rotation of gear – exchange fouled gear with clean or new gear.
**COLONIAL ASCIDIANS OR SEA SQUIRTS**

**Name:**
- carpet tunicate* (Didemnum vexillum, Didemnum candidum, Didemnum spp.)
- golden star tunicate* (Botryllus schlosseri)
- Pacific colonial tunicate, orange or red sheath tunicate (Botrylloides violaceus, B. diegensis)
- light bulb tunicate* (Clavelina lepadiformis)

**Mode of action:** These form a rubbery layer over surfaces, including aquaculture gear and shellfish in shallow subtidal waters. Colonies are comprised of dense clusters of individual animals (zooids). Their growth can overtake shellfish, limit feeding efficiency, and negatively affect appearance (=marketability) of shellfish. The additional weight of biofouling organisms can make cultivation gear too heavy to service.

**Species affected:**
- eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica)
- bay scallop (Argopecten irradians)
- blue mussel (Mytilus edulis)
- marine finfish
- kelp (Saccharina latissima)
- Gracilaria tikvahiae

**Hazard Management:**
- Remove biofouling by manually scrubbing or power washing, preferably off-site and on land if possible to avoid/reduce further spread of this organism.
- Periodic rotation of gear – exchange fouled gear with clean or new gear.
- Expose shellfish to air-dry or brine dip as appropriate.
- Expose seaweed to freshwater dip for 15 minutes once per week; increase to twice a week if no difference is seen (freshwater dips will effect growth of seaweed; further analysis is underway).
- Native and invasive tunicates may be vectors of harmful algal species and should not be discarded back to marine waters.

* = non-native
SOLITARY ASCIDIANS OR SEA SQUIRTS

Name:
- Pacific rough sea squirt, clubbed tunicate* (Styela clava)
- sea grapes* (Molgula manhattensis, Molgula spp.)
- sea vase* (Ciona intestinalis)

Mode of action: Sea squirts attach to aquaculture gear and shellfish and compete for space and food. The additional weight of these biofouling organisms can make cultivation gear too heavy to service.

Species affected:
- eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica)
- bay scallop (Argopecten irradians)
- blue mussel (Mytilus edulis)
- marine finfish
- kelp (Saccharina latissima)
- Gracilaria tikvahiae

Hazard Management:
- Remove biofouling by manually scrubbing or power washing, preferably off-site and on land if possible to avoid/reduce further spread of this organism.
- Periodic rotation of gear – exchange fouled gear with clean or new gear.
- Expose shellfish to air-dry or brine dip as appropriate.
- Cover seed (oysters and clams) with fresh water for an hour or so on a routine basis every week (once they are large enough to withstand the treatment) to control Molgula.
- Expose seaweed to freshwater dip for 15 minutes once per week; increase to twice a week if no difference is seen (freshwater dips will effect growth of seaweed; further analysis is underway).
- Native and invasive tunicates can be vectors of harmful algal species and should not be discarded back to marine waters.

* = non-native
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Predators

Predators are a significant problem with major economic consequences in shellfish aquaculture. Regardless of the species or cultivation practice, any high densities of shellfish will attract predators. Predators may cause damage that can result in an unsightly shell appearance or shortened crop shelf life, as well as significant mortality. Juvenile shellfish and species that cannot fully close their valves (e.g. softshell clams, scallops) are more vulnerable to predators.

Predator avoidance, removal, exclusion, and deterrents are the major methods of control regularly employed by industry. Predator eradication is usually not a viable option for practical and economic reasons, as the cumulative costs over time may outweigh the actual cost of product losses due to predation. Biological control has been utilized in the field, but data on the effectiveness and cost-efficiency of these measures are lacking. Chemical control was proven effective at controlling boring snails or drills; however, it is now known that dispersal of chemicals in the marine environment can have acute and chronic impacts on habitats and non-target species, and many compounds used previously are now banned.

In the hatchery, predators may be introduced via the source water or broodstock. Source water must be properly filtered to avoid the introduction of predators in either algal or shellfish culture vessels. Seed coming into the hatchery should always be accompanied by a health certificate, and should be quarantined and examined for the presence of predators before deploying them in culture vessels. Standard operating procedures should include the sterilization of all culture vessels, equipment, and tools (e.g. sieves, tubing), and proper and frequent hand washing so as to avoid contamination of cultures.

In the nursery and grow-out stages, predators may be introduced with the seed source, may naturally occur at the cultivation site, or may be attracted to the site following planting. While avoiding sites with large numbers of shellfish predators is advisable, it is not always practical.
Shellfish bottom cultivation areas can be prepared using rakes, dredges, or mops to reduce certain predators (e.g. starfish), but predators will likely recolonize cultivation areas and thus necessitate continuous monitoring and removal. In some cases, predator-prey interactions can be avoided by siting cultivation gear off the bottom; however, this will only reduce the effect of benthic dwelling predators. Exclusion devices can prevent further intrusion of mobile predators such as snails. Predator netting can exclude crabs and fish but must be maintained regularly. The predator control method or device chosen should be appropriate for the target culture species. Factors to consider include the mesh material and mesh size, seed size, and time of planting. Generally, planting larger seed (>25mm) later in the season is recommended. Larger animals are less vulnerable to predators such as crabs and snails, and colder temperatures mean lower metabolic rates of predators, and therefore less feeding activity. Purchasing large seed is more costly, as is maintaining shellfish for long durations in containers rather than bottom planting.

Cultivation gear such as cages and bags and devices such as boards and netting are designed to exclude mobile predators. Containment does not, however, guarantee higher survival of the crop. Many shellfish predators such as crabs and starfish begin their lifecycle as planktonic larvae and these larvae can settle on or in shellfish cultivation gear, grow rapidly, and prey on juvenile shellfish. Farmers who do not routinely monitor gear and remove small predators increase the risk of significant crop mortality. Effects of large predators such as fish are difficult to prevent. Deterrents such as decoys or motion-detecting alarms can be used to thwart attacks by birds and seals; however, the best deterrent is having a human presence on site.

Farmers should develop and implement an integrated pest and predator management plan that includes: a) the use of prevention and avoidance techniques that have been field-tested; b) routine monitoring and record keeping of gear and animals for the presence of pests, predators, and damage resulting from them; c) tolerance levels for numbers of pests and predators or level of acceptable damage to shellfish.

Potential hazards:
- Worms
- Molluscs
- Crustaceans
- Echinoderms
- Birds
- Finfish
- Mammals
PLATYHELMINTH WORM

Name:
- flatworm, oyster leech (Stylochus ellipticus)

Mode of action: Stylochus is a relatively large (up to 25mm) worm. Both juvenile and adult worms attack shellfish. The oyster leech can slide into narrow valve openings and consume shellfish resulting in gaping shells devoid of tissue. Stylochus causes extensive mortality especially among juvenile oysters, but can eat oysters as large as 6 cm. Feeding activity decreases markedly below a temperature of 10°C, but Stylochus tolerates a wide salinity range.

Species affected:
- eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica)

Hazard Management:
- Regular visual inspection and removal of predators is essential.
- Control flatworms using a freshwater or brine dip, which immediately eliminates the worm.
- Infestations may reoccur, so dips may need to be performed multiple times.
NEMERTEAN WORM

Name:
- milky ribbon worm (*Cerebratulus lacteus*)

Mode of action: The ribbon worm attacks infaunal bivalves by inserting its proboscis into the shell, injects a paralyzing toxin, and then digests the tissue. The presence of gaping shells with tissue may indicate ribbon worm predation. It is believed that juvenile clams are most vulnerable to predation by *Cerebratulus*. Another nemertean, *Malacobdella grossa* is a minor predator. This parasitic worm invades the mantle cavity of bivalve molluscs, especially clams. Peak infestation rates occur in spring, and in offshore rather than near shore areas.

Species affected:
- softshell clam (*Mya arenaria*)

Hazard Management:
- Regular visual inspection and removal of predators is essential.
Polychaete Worms

Name:
- mud blister worm (*Polydora websteri*, *P. cornuta*)
- sand worm (*Alitta virens* - formerly classified as *Nereis virens*)
- clam worm (*A. succinea* – formerly classified as *Neanthes succinea*)
- blood worm (*Glycera dibranchiata*)

Mode of action: Metamorphosing *Polydora* larvae can burrow into the shellfish valves. The bivalve repairs the hole, forming a tube referred to as a “blister” that fills with mud or fecal material. This blister results in weakening of the shell, as well as exposure and potential damage to tissue. The presence of mud blisters can weaken the host which tries to cover the burrowing worm in periostracum and nacre. Several worms in one host will cause a marked reduction in Condition Index resulting in slower growth. *Polydora* spp. has been detected in northern quahogs, but in all documented cases the clams were exposed above the sediment surface. While not major predators, *Alitta virens*, *A. succinea* and *Glycera dibranchiata* may prey on post-metamorphic shellfish.

Species affected:
- eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
- softshell clams (*Mya arenaria*)
- bay scallop (*Argopecten irradians*)

Hazard Management:
- Regular visual inspection is essential.
- Submerge oysters in a brine dip lasting 10-15 minutes, followed by at least 15-30 minutes of air drying. Periodic dips may be necessary as new infestations occur.
- Power wash to remove the mud tubes of *P. cornuta*.
- Store oysters in a cold room or cold area (36-38°F) for several weeks to kill worms. This is effective in the late fall and winter when the oysters are conditioned to the cooler temperatures, however, summer treatments may kill the oysters as well.
- Lower planting densities or transplant oysters to a high salinity (>30) area.
GASTROPOD MOLLUSC

Name: snails

- knobbed whelk (*Busycon carica*)
- channeled whelk (*Busycotypus canaliculatum*)
- veined rapa whelk* (*Rapana venosa*)
- moon snail (*Neverita duplicatus*)
- moon snail (*Euspira heros*)

Mode of action: An adult whelk pries shellfish valves apart or chips away at the valves, inserts its proboscis, and then digests the soft tissue. The whelks *B. carica* and *B. canaliculatum* are problematic throughout the region. Currently, *R. venosa* is only a major predator south of New England. These species affect mainly quahogs and oysters. The moon snail is a benthic predator that bores a hole through one valve near the shell hinge. These snails consume both seed and adult quahogs and softshell clams.

Species affected:

- northern quahog (*Mercenaria mercenaria*)
- eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
- softshell clam (*Mya arenaria*)

Hazard Management:

- Regular visual inspection and removal of predators is essential.
- Use predator exclusion devices (where and when appropriate).
- Remove egg cases.
- Plant hard clams on mud bottom which will greatly reduce predation by Naticid gastropods.

* = non-native
Gastropod Mollusc

Name: oyster drills
- Atlantic oyster drill *Urosalpinx cinerea*
- Thick-lip drill *Eupleura caudata*

Mode of action: Drills are benthic predators that bore a small hole in the prey shell. Drills consume both seed and adult shellfish.

Species affected:
- eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
- northern quahog (*Mercenaria mercenaria*)
- softshell clam (*Mya arenaria*)
- blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*)

Hazard Management:
- Regular, visual inspection and removal of predators is essential.
- Drill activity is limited to salinities >12, and temperatures >10°C.
- Seed clams >20mm in shell length to reduce predation in areas where drills are prevalent.
- Plant clams on mud bottom which will reduce predation by drills.
- Remove all drill egg cases from the site.
CRUSTACEAN

Name: crabs

- blue crab (Callinectes sapidus)
- lady crab (Ovalipes ocellatus)
- green crab* (Carcinus maenas)
- Asian shore crab* (Hemigrapsus sanguineus)
- Atlantic rock crab (Cancer irroratus)
- Jonah crab (Cancer borealis)

Mode of action: Mature crabs can crush juvenile shellfish and will attack adult shellfish by chipping away at the valve edge and then prying the valves apart. Feeding activity ceases when temperatures are <13°C. H. sanguineus now occupies the niche of another non-native, C. maenas, though its affects on species other than Mytilus are not documented.

Species affected:

- eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica)
- northern quahog (Mercenaria mercenaria)
- softshell clam (Mya arenaria)
- bay scallop (Argopecten irradians)
- blue mussel (Mytilus edulis)

Hazard Management:

- Regular visual inspection and removal of predators is essential.
- Use predator exclusion devices (where and when appropriate). Mesh screens are very effective for controlling these predators if the screens are properly installed and maintained.
- Seed clams >25mm in shell length to reduce predation in areas where swimming crabs (e.g. C. sapidus, O. ocellatus) are prevalent.
- Seed clams >15 mm in shell length to reduce predation in areas where rock crabs are prevalent.
- Seed oysters >30 to 35 mm in shell length to reduce predation in areas where rock crabs are prevalent.

* = non-native
Figure 7: Mud crabs have large claws that are used to crush shells.
Tessa Getchis

CRUSTACEAN

Name: mud crabs
- Dyspanopeus sayi
- Panopeus herbstii
- Rhithropanopeus harrisii

Mode of action: Mud crabs prey upon small bivalves up to nearly an inch in size. They chip away at or crush the shells with their large, powerful claws. These species are not migratory, tolerating wide temperature and salinity ranges, and thus are a year-round threat to molluscs.

Species affected:
- eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica)
- northern quahog (Mercenaria mercenaria)
- softshell clam (Mya arenaria)
- bay scallop (Argopecten irradians)

Hazard Management:
- Regular visual inspection and removal of predators is essential.
- Seed quahogs >7mm in shell length to reduce predation in areas where mud crabs are prevalent.
- Seed oysters >30 to 35 mm in shell length to reduce predation in areas where rock crabs are prevalent.
CRUSTACEAN

Name:

- horseshoe crab (*Limulus polyphemus*)
- American lobster (*Homarus americanus*)

Mode of action: The horseshoe crab, more closely related to spiders than true crabs, preys mainly on benthic infaunal shellfish. They plow through the sediment searching for shellfish and are able to consume both juvenile and adults. Lobster predation is well documented.

Species affected:

- eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
- northern quahog (*Mercenaria mercenaria*)
- softshell clam (*Mya arenaria*)
- blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*)

Hazard Management:

- Regular visual inspection and removal of predators is essential.
ECHINODERM

Name:
- starfish or sea star (Asterias forbesi, A. rubens, A. vulgaris)

Mode of action: Starfish force open their prey by attaching to the shellfish and prying the shellfish valves apart. They insert their stomach into the shellfish to digest and absorb the shellfish tissue.

Species affected:
- eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica)
- blue mussel (Mytilus edulis)
- northern quahog (Mercenaria mercenaria)
- bay scallop (Argopecten irradians)

Hazard Management:
- Regular visual inspection and removal of predators is essential.
- If oysters and clams are grown in areas where the salinity drops for periods of time, or in areas of high turbidity, starfish will not become a problem.
- For oyster bottom culture, the periodic use of star mops to entangle the sea stars is effective; however, the starfish must afterward be destroyed. This can be achieved by submersion in brine bath.
- Starfish should not be cut up and returned to the water as they can survive and regenerate new arms.
- Where permitted by law, quicklime can be spread over oyster beds to combat starfish.
- Hydrated lime has been used as an immersion treatment against starfish on mussel culture lines.
- Starfish will not tolerate low salinities so sites with freshwater inputs are less prone to predation.
- Mussel seed can be soaked in fresh water overnight or dried in the sun on a hot day to kill starfish.
BIRDS

Name:
- oystercatcher (*Haematopus palliates*)
- gulls (*Larus* spp.)
- red knot (*Calidris canutus*)
- loon (*Gavia* spp.)
- ducks (especially *Somateria mollissima*, *Anac rubripes*, *Aythya* spp., *Mergus* spp., *Oidemia nigra*, *Clangula hyemalis*, *Fulica americana*)

Mode of action: Many seabirds can crush or consume shellfish whole.

Species affected:
- eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
- northern quahog (*Mercenaria mercenaria*)
- softshell clam (*Mya arenaria*)
- bay scallop (*Argopecten irradians*)
- blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*)

Hazard Management:
- Having a person, as well as decoys on site is the best deterrent.
- Other approaches include the use of acoustical visual deterrents such as guns and lasers that can be used both above and under water. They are best used in rotation and combination.
- Use predator exclusion devices such as predator nets (where and when appropriate).
- Eider ducks breed between May and September so seed collection without protection may be carried out during that period.

*Carter Newell, personal communication*
FINFISH

Name: various fish species including:

- black drum (*Pogonias cromis*) – affects shellfish in southern part of region
- cownose ray (*Rhinoptera bonasus*) – affects shellfish in southern part of region
- summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*)
- northern puffer (*Sphoeroides maculatus*)
- cunner (*Tautogolabrus adspersus*)
- scup, porgy (*Stenotomus chrysops*)

Mode of action: Species such as rays stir up the sediment exposing shellfish and crushing them with their plate-like teeth, while other bottom feeders can nip at siphons or consume juvenile shellfish whole.

Species affected:

- eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
- northern quahog (*Mercenaria mercenaria*)
- softshell clam (*Mya arenaria*)
- bay scallops (*Argopecten irradians*)
- blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*)

Hazard Management:

- Regular visual inspection and removal of predators is essential.
- Exclusion is essential in areas of high predator density.
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Potential Shellfish Production Hazards
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- Operational Procedures
Diseases and Parasites

Bivalves are susceptible to infections from organisms and to metabolic processes that cause disease and other physiological responses. Several reviews on the effects of diseases and parasites on cultivated shellfish have been published and are referenced at the end of this chapter.

Disease in all animals is the result of the interactions between the host tissues (the bivalve), the infectious agent (parasites, bacteria, viruses, fungi, etc.), and the environment (marine or fresh waters, intertidal or subtidal) in which both the infected and infective organisms live. Bivalves are especially affected by environmental conditions because they are ectothermic and thus, unlike mammals and birds, cannot control their body temperature. The innate immune system of a bivalve works most effectively at the same temperature range at which the bivalve grows best. Temperatures higher or lower than those that produce the best growth are also less effective at promoting innate immune responses to infectious agents. Changes in environmental factors such as temperature or salinity can either promote or inhibit infection and proliferation of the disease-causing agent in the host.

Listed here are several important diseases, parasites, and pests of commercially important bivalves in the northeastern U.S. Ongoing research is improving detection methods and understanding of bivalve disease, but unfortunately, there is still much that is unknown about infectious agents, parasites, and pests and the bivalve response to these organisms.

Signs of disease are difficult to detect before mortalities begin, so careful attention should be paid to reductions in growth and other abnormalities. Routine testing can help and the sooner a disease issue is identified the more likely something can be done to minimize or eliminate losses. Most diagnoses require complex technical protocols involving microscopic examination of histological samples of preserved tissues, microbiological assays for pathogens, or molecular genetic tests (e.g., PCR). Therefore, any increase in mortality should immediately signal the farmer to contact an aquatic health professional (Appendix 3).

Few treatment methods are available; therefore prevention is key to avoiding disease hazards. It is critical that prior to being moved among bodies of water, shellfish be screened for disease. Contact the aquatic animal health professional to determine laws and policies regarding the import/export of shellfish.
Potential hazards:

- Infectious diseases caused by protozoans, bacteria and viruses
- Non-infectious diseases caused by genetic disorders or unknown causes
- Parasites (e.g. trematodes, pea crabs)

### Diseases Listed by Species

#### General
- Vibriosis

#### Eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica)*:
- Dermo
- MSX (Multinucleated Sphere Unknown)
- SSO (Seaside organism)
- *Bonamia exitosa*
- Xenomas
- ROD (Roseobacterium oyster disease)
- Oyster Herpes Virus
- Digenean trematodes
- Pea crabs

#### Northern quahog (*Mercenaria mercenaria)*:
- QPX (Quahog Parasite Unknown)
- Hard clam neoplasia
- Gonadal neoplasia
- Pea crabs

#### Bay scallop (*Argopecten irradians*):
- Hinge ligament disease
- Chlamydiosis
- Pea crabs

#### Softshell clam (*Mya arenaria*):
- Clam *Perkinsus*
- Hemocytic neoplasia (=disseminated sarcoma)
- Gonadal neoplasia

#### Blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*):
- Mussel egg disease
- Hemocytic neoplasia (=disseminated sarcoma)
- Digenean trematodes
- Pea crabs
**DERMO (PERKINSUS MARINUS)**

**Method of infection:** The parasite enters the oyster primarily through the digestive gland epithelium but also through the mantle and gills. The parasite is phagocytized by circulating hemocytes (blood cells of the oyster) and is able to proliferate in the hemocyte, first forming unicellular trophonts and eventually forming meronts. Lysis of hemocytes occurs releasing *Perkinsus* organisms into the surrounding tissues. *Perkinsus* forms infect other hemocytes or float in the hemolymph.

**Gross (observable) signs of disease:** Severely diseased animals may show thin watery tissues upon shucking. No other observable signs are usually noted.

**Microscopic Signs of Disease:** Infected hemocytes are present either within the gastric and digestive gland epithelium or circulating in throughout the oyster’s body. Disease caused by the infection can result in gut epithelial ulceration/digestive gland necrosis. Hemocyte proliferation is noted in early stages of disease, but the numbers of hemocytes decrease in end stage of disease. Degeneration and atrophy of all tissues is noted in moderately to severely affected individuals resulting in death of the animal. Death of the oyster lags behind infection and occurs approximately 8 months to 1.5 years post-infection. Highest mortality in northeastern U.S. populations of eastern oysters is usually noted in the September/October time period.

**Method of Transmission:** Dermo organisms are directly infective. Infectious organisms released from dead oysters are spread through the water column to actively feeding oysters. Live oysters can also shed infectious organisms in the feces, which are carried through the water column to another oyster or may drift to the adjacent sediment. The Dermo organisms can be carried from one oyster to another by way of oyster drills.

**Potentiating environmental conditions:**
- Temperature: 18 to 30°C
- Salinity: 12 to 32 (but does not die at lower salinities)
- Other: Increased oyster stress may increase the severity of the disease.

**Hazard Management:**
- Maintain animals at low salinity through the first summer.
- Use tolerant/resistant seed.
- Use seed free of infection.
- Do not move infected animals from areas of high infection rates/severities to areas of low infection rates/severities.
- If necessary, quarantine and destroy infected animals.
- Cure shell used as cultch for at least three months to eliminate Dermo in attached tissues.
CLAM PERKINSUS (PERKINSUS CHESAPEAKI)

Method of Infection: Suspected to infect the gill primarily via flagellated zoospores, but can be found in connective tissues throughout the body. The disease presents as a mild infection in most animals and currently has been identified in softshell clams in the Chesapeake Bay, in Delaware Bay, and in some other species.

Gross (observable) Signs of Disease: Slight nodular white swelling may be noted in the gill lamellae or palps.

Microscopic Signs of Disease: Aggregations of parasites are surrounded by a homogenous eosinophilic parasitic secretion. Hemocytes surround and encapsulate the foci forming small nodules in the tissue. Moderate to severe infections result in fusion of gills and destruction of the infected gill and other infected organ tissues.

Method of Transmission: Zooporulation is noted in the dead tissues and in culture, and zoospores are suspected to transfer the infection to naive clams.

Potentiating environmental conditions:
- Temperature: Peak prevalence occurs in the fall
- Salinity: 7 to 25

Hazard Management:
- Do not import softshell clams from the Chesapeake Bay.
- Test seed and adults before movement to another body of water.
MSX, MULTINUCLEATED SPHERE UNKNOWN (HAPLOSPORIDIUM NELSONI)

Method of infection: Uninucleate forms lodge between epithelial cells of the gill (R. Smolowitz, personal observation), develop into plasmodia (multinucleated cells), then invade the vascular system of the oyster. Plasmodia replicate within the vascular system of the animal and use nutrients that would normally be used by the oyster tissues. Hemocytes (blood cells) do not appear able to effectively destroy the MSX organisms. Highest mortality in the northeastern U.S. populations of oysters is usually noted in the August/September time period.

Gross (observable) signs of disease: Severely diseased (moribund) animals show thin watery tissues upon shucking. No other observable signs are usually noted.

Microscopic Signs of Disease: Early in the infection, plasmodia are noted in the epithelium or sinusoids (vascular system) of the gill. In mid to late stage infections, plasmodia can be found throughout the body’s vascular system. In early infections a moderate to severe hemocytic response is noted. Tissue atrophy and degeneration accompanied by a lack of hemocyte response is usually noted in tissues in late stages of the disease.

Method of Transmission: It is widely accepted that the infectious agent is transferred to oysters from another host that has yet to be identified (often termed an intermediate host). In juvenile oysters, less than 18 months old and less than 42 mm in shell height, sporulation of plasmodia can occur in the digestive gland epithelium. Observed occurrences of sporulation (noted histologically) are sporadic and low-level mortality of juvenile oysters has been associated with sporulation. Several studies have shown that spores are not infectious for other oysters; supporting the notion that the parasite needs a second host. In two-host infections, the organism must undergo specific life stages in one host before it becomes infective for the other host. This type of infection results in two major patterns of disease. First, infection and resulting disease can be sporadic; present in one year and not in the next. Second, the disease can be present every year, but can vary greatly in prevalence and severity from year to year. Work continues on identifying the other host(s) in the life cycle. Interestingly, molecular information indicates the parasite was introduced to the east coast with C. gigas oysters from another location in the U.S. or a foreign country.

Potentiating environmental conditions:
- Temperature: 5 to 20°C
- Salinity: 10 to 35 (infectious organisms die at lower salinities)
- Presence of the unidentified, infected, intermediate host in the surrounding waters/sediments

Hazard Management:
- Maintain animals at low salinity through the first summer.
- Use tolerant/resistant seed.
- Use seed free of infection.
- Do not move infected animals
- Hold animals at low salinities and high temperature for a period of time to reduce the parasite load.
SSO, SEASIDE ORGANISM (HAPLOSPORIDIUM COSTALE)

Method of infection: Infectious agents (spores) invade through the gastric and digestive gland epithelium (Roxanna Smolowitz, unpublished data). Plasmodia (multinucleate cells) are first identified in sinusoids underlying the gastrointestinal tract and subsequently proliferate throughout the sinusoids of the body.

Gross (observable) signs of disease: Severely diseased (moribund) animals show thin watery tissues upon shucking. “Graininess” of the watery oyster tissues has also been reported. SSO rarely causes mortality > 30% of the animals in a population, but it can occasionally cause higher mortality, and in animals that do not die it can result in growth checks during the late spring.

Microscopic Signs of Disease: Plasmodia are identified in sinusoids surrounding the gastric and digestive gland tissue early in the infection. As the disease progresses, plasmodia are found in sinusoids throughout the body. Hemocytes (blood cells) respond to the invading plasmodia, but the inflammatory reaction does not appear to adequately prevent proliferation of the plasmodia and spread throughout the body. Plasmodia increase in numbers in the early to late spring in individual animals. In intense infections before sporulation occurs, connective tissue atrophy, digestive gland atrophy/necrosis, and deceased numbers of hemocytes in the tissues are noted. Plasmodia within the vascular system undergo synchronous sporulation in the May/June time period. During synchronous sporulation, all plasmodia throughout the body form sporangia containing developing spores. Mature spores are released into the tissues from the sporangia upon death of the animal. After sporulation, in surviving animals, moderate to high numbers of residual (brown cells) are noted in the connective tissues and no plasmodia or spores/sporangia can be identified. In light infections, the oyster appears to be able to survive the sporulation. In heavy infections, the oyster dies before or during sporulation. Plasmodia, genetically identified as SSO have also been observed to increase in number in the tissues and undergo sporulation in the fall of the year in Connecticut and Massachusetts. Whether this new time period for disease is a result of a new strain of SSO or is a result of changes in the environment is unknown.

Method of transmission: Method of transmission is unknown, but it is probable that an intermediate host is necessary for the life cycle. This hypothesis is based on the lack of infection in the tissue post-sporulation and variable amounts of time (often 6 to 8 weeks) that occur before plasmodia can again be found again in the tissues using both molecular and histological identification of plasmodia. A potential intermediate host has not been determined.

Potentiating environmental conditions:
- Temperature: proliferates in tissues of oysters during early to late spring in the northeastern U.S.
- Salinity: 28 and greater
- Presence of an unknown intermediate host

Hazard Management:
- Use seed free of infection.
- Do not move infected animals.
- Hold animals at low salinities for a period of time to reduce the parasite load.
BONAMIA EXITIOSA

Bonamia exitiosa infections were detected at high prevalence (> 90%) in Crassostrea virginica oyster seed from a hatchery in North Carolina in 2012. In 2013, there was a similar report of infection in nursery seed from Cape Cod. These are the first reports of B. exitiosa affecting C. virginica. Bonamia species are protistan parasites that can cause lethal infections in some species of oysters. These were the first instances that any Bonamia infections were confirmed in eastern oysters¹. The parasite is also known to infect the native crested oyster, Ostrea equestris or stentina, in Atlantic coast waters south of Cape Hatteras. Experimental work in North Carolina with the non-native Crassostrea ariakensis in the 2000s revealed this oyster to be highly susceptible. Eastern oysters appear to be relatively resistant to Bonamia, though heavy infections have occasionally been reported in some individuals.

Method of infection: Infections are presumably acquired through oyster gill, mantle, or gut (possibly all three) during filtration and feeding. The pathology is unclear. Some infections reach high intensities in C. virginica (Figure 5), suggesting that a reduction in growth and condition, and possibly some mortality, could result, based on current knowledge of Bonamia effects on other oyster hosts. These effects have not been documented thus far in C. virginica, which is regarded as relatively resistant to Bonamia.

Gross (observable) signs of disease: None.

Microscopic signs of Disease: Elevated levels of circulating oyster hemocytes (blood cells), particularly in infected organs and tissues: around the gut and digestive gland, or in the gills or mantle. Tiny B. exitiosa “microcells” (just 2-3 microns in size) are observed in and among oyster hemocytes that they infect.

Method of Transmission:

- B. exitiosa is directly transmissible from oyster to oyster and may be transmissible from or to other host species.
- Potentiating environmental conditions:
- B. exitiosa is most pathogenic under euhaline conditions, where salinity exceeds 30. It rarely detected in natural systems at lower salinities. Pathogenicity in C. ariakensis in experimental treatments was sharply reduced below salinity of 20. In C. ariakensis in North Carolina, B. exitiosa was a pathogen of the warmer summer months, falling to very low levels in the winter. Its seasonal cycle in C. virginica remains unknown.

Hazard Management:

- Because the impact of B. exitiosa on C. virginica (as well as other species) is uncertain, care must be taken to avoid spreading it, particularly to areas where it is not known to exist.
- Given the present uncertainty about this parasite’s distribution, it is strongly recommended that pathologists specifically include screening for Bonamia as a matter of routine examination before health certifications are issued for oyster transfers.

¹Ryan Carnegie and David Bushek, 2013, personal communication
**MUSSEL EGG DISEASE, STEINHAUSIA MYTILOVUM**

**Method of infection:** unknown

**Gross (observable) signs of disease:** pinpoint, white pits in the mantle

**Microscopic signs of disease:** localized to diffuse infection and destruction of eggs in the gonadal tubules of the bivalve causing parasitic castration. If inflammation is moderate to severe, tubules can be destroyed and hemocytic inflammation and tissue necrosis will extend into surrounding connective tissues.

**Method of transmission:** Transmission may occur when loose spores are released along with intact eggs or through hemocytic phagocytosis and subsequent diapedesis of hemocytes into the water column from the animal’s tissues.

**Potentiating environmental conditions:**
- Temperature: an annual cycle appears to occur; and infection may be more common at lower temperatures;

**Hazard Management:**
- Do not relocate infected shellfish.
Shellfish Aquaculture in the Northeastern U.S.: Diseases and Parasites

Figure 7a,b,c: Gross examination of oyster gill reveals multiple xenomas of varying sizes (a, arrows) as well as scalloped gills (b, arrows). C is a histological section showing water tube occluded by xenomas

Emily Scarpa McGurk

**XENOMAS**

**Species Affected:**
- most species, but only abundant in eastern oysters *Crassostrea virginica* from Great Bay, New Hampshire

**Method of infection:** Xenomas are accumulations of greatly enlarged epithelial cells in water tubules of the gills. Enlargement is caused by ciliates that invade the epithelium and proliferate within the cell causing its hypertrophy (enlargement). Enlarged cells are sloughed into the water tubule and many together can be seen as white foci in the gills. Ciliates causing xenomas in bivalve mollusks belong to the genus *Sphenophyra*.

**Gross (observable) signs of disease:** In heavily affected individuals, xenomas appear as white nodules of varying size located in the gill tissue (Figure 7a), measuring up to 3 mm in diameter. In many cases, scalloped or otherwise damaged gills may be observed in oysters with either no visible xenomas or xenomas located along the outermost edge of the gill (Figure 7b).

**Microscopic signs of Disease:** In histological sections, xenomas are most often located in the gill water tubes. In heavy infestations, they can be large enough to occupy the entire cross sectional area of water tubes and may interfere with filtration (Figure 7c). The number of ciliates within any single xenoma varies from a few to thousands and the diameter of xenomas ranges from about 30 to 3,000 µm.

**Method of Transmission:** The infectious agents are considered opportunistic and presumably transmitted directly through the water column as oysters feed.

**Potentiating environmental conditions:**
- Temperature: infections tend to increase from spring to fall
- Salinity: all salinities in which oyster grow
- Other: heavy infestations are routinely documented in oysters from Great Bay, New Hampshire, but are rare elsewhere. Prevalence tends to be greatest in oysters 70-90 mm.

**Hazard Management:**
- Avoid moving oysters from Great Bay, New Hampshire to other bodies of water.
QUAHOG PARASITE UNKNOWN (QPX), PHYLUM LABRINTHULOMYCOTA, FAMILY THRAUSTOCHYTRIDAE

Method of infection: Initial infection occurs in the mantle adjacent to the base of the incurrent siphon in the approximate location of pseudofeces storage. Infection through the gill tissues is the second most common area of initial infection. Most commonly after organisms have established a site of infection in the mantle, they circulate in the vascular system and secondarily infect other tissues of the body of the clam.

Gross (observable) signs of disease: Irregular, small to large nodules and swelling of the mantle, most often located at the base of the siphon or in adjacent mantle tissues, inhibit proper functioning of the mantle and result in affected clams inability to maintain their position in the sediment. A common finding is large numbers of live clams lying on the surface at the sediment/water column interface (when in sandy sediment) especially in the spring and fall time period. These animals show a slight gap between the free edge of their valves and upon shucking exhibit nodules and swellings. The disease usually results in mortality in cultured two-year-old animal that are just under market size.

Microscopic Signs of Disease: QPX organisms occur in the tissues as rounded thalli that mature to form sporangia containing numerous endospores. Endospores are released from the sporangia and invade surrounding sinusoids of the mantle and other tissues. Mucus proliferation varies by strain of QPX, but is effective in inhibiting phagocytosis by the hemocytes. QPX incites a significant inflammatory reaction by the clam in the summer, forming relatively solid, yellow-tan nodules/swellings. In the spring and fall, infected foci are characterized by abundant organisms nested within abundant mucoid material with a light to moderate hemocytic reaction. Severely affected animals show QPX invasion in the sinusoids and associated inflammation throughout the animal’s body.

Method of Transmission: QPX appears to be a water-borne, opportunistic pathogen. It is present in the environment and only under certain circumstances appears to cause infection. Once infection has occurred; however, it is very likely that the infection will continue to occur annually if clams continue to be planted in the lease. It is likely that selection for pathogenic forms of QPX occurs, and those forms seed the growing locations (Roxanna Smolowitz, unpublished data). Seed spawned from broodstock originating from the southern U.S. are more susceptible to the disease than seed spawned from local broodstock. Research has shown that the immune system of the clams is not able to successfully destroy the QPX parasite in the spring and fall time periods.

Potentiating environmental conditions:
- Temperature: 13 to 30°C; 32°C and higher will kill QPX
- Salinity: 28 to 40

Hazard Management:
- Use seed from local broodstock.
- Do not transfer seed or adults from infected plots.
- Remove infected animals from aquaculture plots.
ROSEOBACTERIUM OYSTER DISEASE (ROD), ROSEOVARIUS CRASSOSTREAE, (PREVIOUSLY CLASSIFIED AS JUVENILE OYSTER DISEASE OR JOD)

Method of infection: *R. crassostreae* bacteria primarily colonized the inner surface of the valves external to the mantle epithelium (shell epithelium).

Gross (observable) signs of disease: Animals less than 25 mm in shell height are the most severely affected by the disease. Visual signs of ROD are poor growth, deep cupping of the left valve and shortening of the right valve. Mantle retraction is noted as is a deposition of proliferative, flaky, brown/yellow, loosely layered, moist conchiolin between the mantle and the inner shell surface.

Microscopic Signs of Disease: Lesions range from small areas of hemocyte infiltration in the affected epithelium of the mantle and underlying sinusoidal connective tissue to severe ulceration of the affected mantle with abundant tissue debris and layers of abnormal conchiolin deposited in the extrapallial space between the shell and the mantle.

Method of Transmission: *R. crassostreae* is present in the environment, but abundance, seasonality, or pathogenicity of strains is not known.

Potentiating environmental conditions:
- Temperature: >20°C
- Salinity: 18 to 30
- Other: Stress may increase severity of the disease

Hazard Management:
- Use oyster seed that will reach a size >25 mm in shell height before the critical temperature occurs in the culture site.
- Use tolerant/resistant seed.
- During an outbreak, decrease density and increase water flow.
HINGE-LIGAMENT DISEASE, CAUSED BY GLIDING BACTERIA (FLEXIBACTER SPP. CYTOPHAGA SPP.)

**Method of infection:** Gliding bacteria invade and destroy the ligament that holds the two valves together. These bacteria do not infect the soft tissue of the bivalve, only tissues of the ligament. Bivalves up to 1 cm are especially vulnerable, but larger juveniles can also become infected.

**Gross (observable) signs of disease:** Affected juvenile bay scallops (and other juvenile bivalves) have loose or dislocated hinges preventing them from effective closing and opening their valves in order to respire and collect food. Eventually the adductor (and other) muscles fatigue from attempting to open and close the valves without a hinge and the death of the animal occurs.

**Microscopic Signs of Disease:** Gliding bacteria (Flexibacter spp. and Cytophaga spp.) are noted in large numbers in and on the degenerating ligament, specifically the part of the ligament termed the resilium.

**Method of Transmission:** The infectious agents are opportunistic bacteria that are commonly present in the water column and colonize the surface of structures in and on the sediment.

**Potentiating environmental conditions:**
- Temperature: 5 to 20° C (perhaps higher)
- Salinity: varies but usually 15 to 32
- Other: density and water flow strongly affect the occurrence of this disease.

**Hazard Management:**
- Maintain low densities and high water flow over the juveniles.
- Keep surfaces in the hatchery and nursery clean of debris and films.
Shellfish Aquaculture in the Northeastern U.S.: Diseases and Parasites

Roxanna Smolowitz

**VIBRIOSES, BACILLARY NECROSIS (VIBRIO SPP.)**

**Method of infection:** A disease of very young bivalves (only a few days to weeks old). The disease occurs almost exclusively in the hatchery, but may occur in up/downwellers as well. The bacteria are opportunistic. If high numbers of bacteria are present in the system, they will infect the larval/juvenile bivalves resulting in several, immediate (hours/not days) mortality, usually of all animals in the tank.

Bacteria attach to the velum of pre-metamorphic animals or infect the developing gut and other tissues of both pre and post-metamorphic animals causing destruction and necrosis of these tissues. Additionally, some *Vibrio* species are also thought to produce a toxin that may indirectly destroy the tissues.

**Gross (observable) signs of disease:** This disease and resultant mortality often occurs so fast it is not noticed until all area dead. A sudden lethargy of many of the larvae with loss of swimming and abnormal swimming, and settling to the bottom, should alert the culturist to the possibility of a *Vibrio* sp. infection.

**Microscopic Signs of Disease:** Examination of the tissue and shells of freshly dead larvae will demonstrate swarming bacteria in and around the dead/dying tissues. Tissues can be examined histologically to demonstrate the infection and cultures of the dying animals will often yield a reliable identification of the bacteria.

**Method of Transmission:** *Vibrio* sp. bacteria are a part of the saltwater environment. There are a few of these bacteria in every gallon of water, although most *Vibrio* sp. bacteria prefer to live on the surfaces of rocks, etc. in the “slime” layer. Many different *Vibrio* sp. have been identified as the cause of the disease in different hatcheries.

**Potentiating environmental conditions:**
- Temperature: Spring/Summer
- Salinity: estuarine to full strength sea water

**Hazard Management:**
- Good hatchery management such as clean water sources, pipes, and algal cultures.
- Remove infected animals from the facility and clean tanks, nets, pipes, floors, etc.
Figure 12: Photomicrograph of a 6 µm section of paraffin embedded tissue stained with hematoxylin and eosin stain. Large, granular, blue, Chlamydial inclusions are noted in the gastric epithelium of this larval bay scallop.
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CHLAMYDIAL AND RICKETTSIAL INFECTIONS

Species Affected:
- larval and juvenile bay scallops (*Argopecten irradians*), as well as adults of most species of commercially important shellfish

Method of infection: unknown

**Gross (observable) signs of disease:** In larval and post-metamorphic bay scallops, sudden mortality of the majority of the animals in the spawn occurs. No morbidity or mortality is associated with infections in other bivalves or in adult scallops.

**Microscopic Signs of Disease:** Chlamydial inclusions are noted in the digestive gland epithelial cells of the larval and juvenile animals and are associated with acute necrosis of the cells. In other bivalves, inclusions noted as Chlamydial/Rickettsial-like are noted in the digestive gland, gill and mantle epithelium but do not appear to cause necrosis of cells or inflammation, and thus are considered incidental findings.

Method of Transmission: probably through the water column

Potentiating environmental conditions:
- High densities of bay scallop larvae and juveniles combined with low water flow are thought to promote the disease.
- Chlamydial/Rickettsial inclusions are routinely noted in adult bay scallops and do not cause disease in adults. Some consider this a “childhood” disease of larval bay scallops.

Hazard Management:
- Separate adult scallops from larval and juvenile animals.
OYSTER HERPES VIRUS (OSHV)

Species Affected: *Crassostrea gigas*, potentially *Crassostrea virginica*

Method of infection: OsHV has been found in several bivalves but causes mortality in only a few. The eastern oyster is not currently noted to be infected by virulent forms of this virus (OsHV-1), but mortality of *C. gigas*, the pacific oyster, has been noted in the western U.S. and in Europe. The virus infects the oysters via the water column. In *C. gigas*, the hemocytes, connective tissue, and epithelial cells become infected resulting in cell destruction in several organs of the oyster’s body. Larval and juvenile *C. gigas* are the most likely to experience high mortality. Adult *C. gigas* are considered carriers of the virus, but will develop disease if they have not been previously infected (i.e., resistance/tolerance does not appear to occur). Note that other bivalves may be carriers of the disease.

Gross (observable) signs of disease: No specific signs are noted.

Microscopic Signs of Disease: Intranuclear, Cowdry type A inclusions are noted in infected cells. Necrosis of cells, causing destruction of tissues, results in death of the oyster.

Method of Transmission: Directly transmitted from infected *C. gigas* seed or adults. OsHV is carried by many kinds of bivalves, although most do not show disease associated with infection. Work is ongoing to identify the potential for transmission of the virus to susceptible *C. gigas* from other bivalve sources and virulence of difference strains of OsHV.

Potentiating environmental conditions:
- Temperature: following temperature spikes > 25° C (Washington State)
- Salinity: 28 to 32
- Other: Some strains of cultured *C. gigas* oysters may be more susceptible. Alternately, more pathogenic strains of the virus may occur in various locations in the world. This disease has currently not been identified in *C. virginica* in the northeastern U.S.

Hazard Management:
- Do not transfer seed, water, or adults from areas of infection.
HEMOCYTIC NEOPLASIA (=DISSEMINATED SARCOMA)

Species Affected:
- softshell clam (Mya arenaria) and rarely in other bivalves such as Mytilus edulis and M. trossulus

Method of infection: unknown; but a viral etiology or genetic predisposition is possible

Gross (observable) signs of disease: In M. arenaria, mortality is usually the first observation. Poor growth combined with milky hemolymph is characteristic of infected animals. In areas with low prevalence of the disease (<13%), mortality is highest in the fall. In areas with high levels of disease (>30%) mortality and prevalence peaks in the fall and again in late winter to early spring. Two to three year old animals (40 to 80 mm in shell length) are the most severely affected. Recently, a similar disease has been identified in northern quahogs (Mercenaria mercenaria) in some estuaries on Cape Cod, Massachusetts. Prevalence of this disease and presentation of the disease in the animals is similar to findings in M. arenaria. Very rarely, a similar neoplastic condition is noted in other types of bivalves along the east coast of the U.S.

Microscopic Signs of Disease: Large oval to round tumorous cells fill the vascular tissues of the animal causing obstruction of the normal flow of hemolymph through the tissues.

Method of Transmission: Unknown at this time, but a genetic or viral component is suspected. Pollution has not been demonstrated to be a cause of this neoplasia in M. arenaria, but may potentiate development of the neoplasia.

Potentiating environmental conditions:
- Temperature: It is unknown whether temperature plays an important part in the transmission or severity of the disease, but the prevalence is noted to be higher in the fall and spring.

Hazard Management:
- Do not use affected seed (may want to monitor broodstock as well as seed).
- Consider removing infected clams to prevent transmission or spread by reproduction of the clam.
HARD CLAM NEOPLASIA

Method of infection: unknown

Gross (observable) signs of disease: Animals cannot keep themselves buried in the sandy sediment, and so they rise to the surface and lay on the interface of the sediment and the water column. Shells usually are not gaping. Mortality occurs in the spring. Little to no disease is detected in surviving animals in the fall time period. Poor springtime growth and milky hemolymph are characteristics of the disease. The disease appears to primarily affect primarily 1 ½ to 2-year-old northern quahogs, and to date has been observed in Massachusetts.

Microscopic Signs of Disease: Large oval to round tumorous cells fill the vascular tissues of the animal causing obstruction to flow of hemolymph.

Method of Transmission: unknown

Potentiating environmental conditions:
- Temperature: unknown; but most mortality is noted in the spring
- Salinity: 12 to 32

Hazard Management:
- Do not use affected seed or seed from broodstock selected from populations expressing the disease.
- Consider removing infected populations of clams to prevent spawning.
GONADAL NEOPLASIA (=DYSGERMINOMA OR GERMINOMA)

Species affected:
- softshell clam (Mya arenaria) and other commercially important bivalves

Method of infection: Probable genetic predisposition, increased incidence in late winter and early spring of the year in Maine populations of M. arenaria coinciding with normal gonadal maturation.

Gross (observable) signs of disease: Increased mortality in late winter and early spring.

Microscopic Signs of Disease: Large undifferentiated germ cells proliferate and fill the gonadal tubules. In M. arenaria, rupture of gonadal tubules occurs with spread of the tumorous cells into the surrounding connective tissue and vascular spaces. In other bivalves, tumor is usually identified only in the tubules and does not appear to invade surrounding tissues.

Method of Transmission: Probably genetic in origin. Research has not identified a confirmed association with pollution.

Potentiating environmental conditions:
- unknown

Hazard Management:
- Do not use affected seed or seed from broodstock selected from populations expressing the disease.
- Consider removing infected populations of clams to prevent spawning.
DIGENEAN TREMATODES (PROCTOECES MACULATUS, PROSORHYNCHUS SQUAMATUS, BUCEPHALUS SP.)

Species Affected:
- blue mussel (Mytilus edulis), eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica), and other bivalves

Method of infection: Myracidia infect tissues, probably through the digestive tract. Sporocysts develop daughter sporocysts, redia, and cercaria in the gonadal tubules.

Gross (observable) signs of disease: Orange yellow “pocks” in the blue mussel mantle may be noted in severe infections.

Microscopic Signs of Disease: Few to many of the gonadal tubules are filled with various forms of the trematode. Tubules containing parasites are usually greatly dilated. Eggs and sperm are destroyed resulting in parasitic castration. In moderate to severe infections, parasites can be identified in the sinusoids of the gills and body. Inflammation is mild when parasites are confined to the gonadal tubules but can be severe and diffuse when organisms rupture the tubules and spread into the sinusoids. It is not known when and how the cercaria (the infectious form of the parasite) leaves the molluscan tissues.

Method of Transmission: As with most digenean parasites another host is involved in the life cycle; however, some authors suggest the entire life cycle may occur in blue mussels. Several species of fish have been identified as the final hosts (the host in which the adult form of the parasite is found) including scup, cunners, and other mollusc eating fishes. Eggs are produced by adult trematodes in the final host (most likely a fish). Eggs produced in the final host by the adult trematodes are released with feces and develop into myracidia that infect filter feeding bivalves.

Potentiating environmental conditions:
- Temperature: disease may be associated with high summer mortality of blue mussels
- Salinity: high salinity waters

Hazard Management:
- Eliminate the final host from the culture environment.
PEA CRABS (*TUMIDOTHERES MACULATES, T. OSTREUM*)

**Mode of Action:** Pea crabs inhabit the gills of certain bivalves, where they can affect nutrition by disrupting feeding and competing with shellfish for food. Adult pea crabs can occasionally cause significant traumatic damage to the gill, mantle, and body tissues. The highest infection occurs subtidally. Their presence can decrease the marketability of shellfish.

**Species affected:**
- blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis*)
- eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
- bay scallops (*Argopecten irradians*)

**Hazard Management:**
- If possible, avoid establishing culture operations in areas with prior pea crab infestations.
PEARLS

Mode of Action: Pearls are formed when infectious organisms or grit, such as fine sand granules, occur between the shell epithelium of the mantle and the inner surface of the adjacent valve. Hemocyte reaction to the infection organism kills the infectious organism. The dead organisms or grit then become the nidus for pearl formation. In reaction to the “irritation” the shell epithelium produces successive layers of nacre around the inciting dead agent/grit. Over time, layers of nacre build up resulting in the formation of a pearl. Pearls can take various shapes and sizes depending on the bivalve and the inciting cause. Their presence (when small and grit like) can decrease the marketability of shellfish or when large and iridescent can be an amazing find! Pearls of commercially edible shellfish are, however, not typically of gem quality.

Species affected:
- Any bivalve; however, some bivalves such as blue mussels are more prone to containing “grit” like proliferations of pearls in their tissue

Hazard Management:
- If possible, avoid areas with a history of grit-like pearl formation.
- Harvest mussels before they reach 3 years of age.
References and Further Reading:


Boardman, C.L., Maloy, A.P., Boettcher, K.J., 2008. Localization of the bacterial agent of juvenile oyster disease (Roseovarius crassostreae) within affected eastern oysters (Crassostrea virginica). Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, 97, 150-158.


Maloy, A.P., Ford, S.E., Karney, R.C., Boettcher, K.J., 2007. Roseovarius sp. as the etiological agent of juvenile oyster disease (now to be known as roseovarius oyster disease) in Crassostrea virginica. Aquaculture. 269, 71-83.


Potential Shellfish Production Hazards

- Environmental Conditions
- Biofouling Organisms
- Predators
- Diseases and Parasites
- Invasive Species
- Operational Procedures
Invasive Species

An increasing number of invasive species have been documented in marine waters of the northeastern U.S., and many of these species pose a threat to aquaculture, either as predators, competitors, or potential vectors of disease or harmful algal bloom transfer. The potential for additional invasive species is also increasing as species expand their range as a result of climate change. Shellfish farmers, in particular, spend an inordinate amount of time, effort, and capital dealing with invasive fouling organisms and predators. Farmers should be cognizant of the risks and dangers of introducing invasive species and take extra precautions to avoid becoming a “vector” for the next environmental calamity.

Several species of macroalgae, or seaweed species considered non-indigenous species are known to exist in the region. *Codium fragile ssp. tomentosoides*, commonly known by names such as “oyster thief,” “green fleece,” and “dead man’s fingers,” is believed to have been introduced to Boothbay Harbor, Maine in the 1960s through oyster beds, and has had adverse impacts on shellfish and other marine life, aquaculture, and recreation. It has increased its range and is now present throughout the region. In the last few years, other non-native, and potentially invasive species of marine algae have also been documented.

Over the past several decades there has also been an increase of non-native ascidians, also known as tunicates or sea squirts, in the coastal waters of the northeast. Several species of tunicates including colonial forms (e.g. *Didemnum vexillum*, *Botryllus schlosseri*, and *Botrylloides violaceus*) and solitary forms (e.g. *Styela clava*, *Ciona intestinalis*), have adversely affected aquaculture operations across the region and in Canada. Some species have been found on large swaths of the ocean floor on Georges Bank off Massachusetts and in New England tidal lagoons and estuaries.

While the invasive European green crab (*Carcinus maenas*) has inhabited the region for over a century, several other species of non-indigenous crabs have been increasing in range, including the Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*) and the Asian Shore crab (*Hemigrapsus sanguineus*). Little is known or documented about the effects of these invasives on cultured shellfish populations.
While these are only a few examples of invasive species effecting aquaculture operations, many threats exist. As such, there are state, federal, and international regulations which restrict the movement of non-native species. Many states regulate the sources of imported seedstock to reduce the potential for disease transmission, but minimizing inadvertent invasive species introductions is also an important consideration. To reduce the risk of invasive transfer, shellfish farmers should inspect seed and product entering and leaving their facility. Shipments containing non-native species should be rejected. Biofouling organisms should be removed to the extent possible, and properly disposed of using a land-based facility. If a farmer is buying used gear or boats from outside his or her immediate watershed, it is worth taking some care to ensure that fouling organisms and undesirable non-natives are all dead and removed.

Because a good portion of the workload for shellfish farmers is cleaning the field gear, farmers should be diligent in inspecting their shellfish and their gear for invasive species. Observations out of the ordinary should be brought to the attention of state shellfish officials or aquaculture extension personnel. Since it is virtually impossible to eradicate a marine invasive once it becomes established, it makes sense to do everything to prevent introduction in the first place.
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Operational Procedures

It is important for farmers to recognize what operational factors influence farm productivity. There are some basic steps that a farmer can take to ensure that the facility/cultivation area is adequately prepared for propagating, receiving, containing, grading, growing, handling, and processing shellfish. Operational conditions that are unsanitary or stressful to the animals may present a hazard to production.

Not all strategies are relevant to every shellfish farm location and situation. Therefore, it is the responsibility of the farmer to consider strategies that are relevant to their business and then to optimize them based on the observations of conditions at their location.

This chapter includes tips on important issues to consider:

- facility maintenance
- water flow
- substrate
- animal health and condition
- animal grading and containment
- animal stocking densities
- animal handling and transport
The facility of cultivation should be adequately prepared for propagating, receiving, containing, grading, growing, handling, and processing shellfish. Aside from proper design, the next most important step is to ensure the cleanliness of the facility, equipment, supplies, vessels, etc.

In the hatchery and, to a lesser degree, the nursery, cleanliness focuses on the hygiene of the culture systems, as microalgae cultures and larval/early post-set rearing systems are prone to microbial and protozoan infestations that can lead to extremely high larval/early juvenile mortality. In the grow-out stage, cleanliness primarily focuses on predator control and antifouling management. The latter is to ensure proper water flow through the culture apparatus.

What is the potential hazard?

Microbial infections during early shellfish rearing can lead to high mortality. There are many naturally occurring opportunistic microbes, e.g. various *Vibrio* species of bacteria, which will flourish under the high nutrient loads frequently encountered in both micro-algal culture and larval/early juvenile rearing systems. As the microbial populations increase, the larvae and early juveniles are an easy host to attack and the microbes can become pathogenic should the levels increase above a certain threshold. It is not uncommon to experience greater than 90% mortality of shellfish larvae within 24 hours following contamination with *Vibrio* spp.

In addition, the potential for protozoan infestation in larval and early juvenile systems can be problematic. Protozoans, such as ciliates, are naturally occurring single-celled animals in seawater that can flourish in the hatchery. They can foul the shells of larval and juvenile shellfish as well as consume the soft tissue of the larvae and juveniles resulting in significant mortality.

At the grow-out stage, adequate water flow is essential. Water flow delivers dissolved oxygen and food to the growing animals. Therefore, anything that obstructs water flow through the holding system will impair growth and may lead to mortality.

Hazard management:

- Washing and sanitizing of equipment should be part of the normal cleaning routine. Depending on the culture operations, the larval or juvenile culture systems must be cleaned and sanitized routinely, e.g. static larval culture systems are normally maintained on a two-day water change cycle where the holding tank and its contents are drained, cleaned, and sanitized every other day. In addition, water and nutrients used in the culture process should be filtered and sterilized. For example, culture media used for microalgae culture is normally either heat or chemically sterilized prior to the inoculation of the media with new stock cultures.

- A developing method to maintain a healthful environment for growing shellfish larvae is through the use of probiotics to the culture system. Probiotics include beneficial bacteria that, when added to the culture system, reduce the ability of potentially pathogenic microbial proliferation by either limiting competing microbial growth by exudate release or outcompeting for space or resources. Although there are reports of the use of antibiotic additions to larval culture systems as a means to keep microbial populations down, it must be emphasized that there are no approved antibiotic treatments for shellfish hatchery applications in the United States and it is illegal to use these compounds as a treatment.
• Observation of the culture conditions and the status of the larvae during the culture interval should be routine practice. Sub-sampling microalgae, larvae, or post-set juveniles and examining them under magnification on a daily basis are essential to ensuring that the cultures are clean. Microbial problems are manifest as accumulations of bacteria around the vicinity of the velum in larvae or along the ventral margin of the shell in post-set juveniles. Ciliates and other protozoans are readily apparent under the microscope as they are often highly mobile or attached to juvenile shells. If a bacterial problem is suspected, contact the local aquatic animal health professional (Appendix 3).

• In the field under grow-out conditions, routine observation of the culture gear is required to monitor biofouling and sedimentation, among other hazards. Gear should be washed or rotated as necessary to prevent flow restrictions.

WATER FLOW

At the nursery and grow-out levels, the primary consideration to maintain productive environmental conditions is to ensure adequate flow through the aquaculture gear.

What is the potential hazard?

Reduced oxygen can inhibit growth and may cause mortality under extreme low oxygen conditions, as is described in the Environmental Hazards Section. Reduced food across the feeding apparatus of the shellfish will also result in reduced growth and a longer time to market.

Hazard management:

• Follow species-appropriate guidelines (if available) for water flow.
• Reduce holding densities in shellfish aquaculture gear.

SUBSTRATE

With those species that are set on substrate in the hatchery or are planted either in the substrate (clams) or directly on the substrate (free-planted oysters) during grow-out, the substrate type is also an important environmental consideration.

What is the potential hazard?

Without proper substrate in the hatchery, the overall proportion of larvae setting can be dramatically impacted, e.g. setting larval oysters on microculch.

Planting nursery seed in the grow-out stage requires the seed to be placed in sediment that is appropriate for the species. For example, quahogs require sandy mud that is not so densely compacted that the seed have difficulty digging in. For those shellfish that are reared in some form of containment device that is elevated above the sediment, then substrate type is of less consequence. The one caveat to that statement is if the substrate is of a type that is easily re-suspended during wind/wave events, in which case the resulting increase in turbidity can have some impact on the productivity of the system.

Hazard management:

• Follow species-appropriate guidelines (if available) for substrate
ANIMAL HEALTH AND CONDITION

Animal health and condition is key to the success of the farm. Unless an animal is relatively stress free and has adequate resources to support growth, the overall farm performance can suffer.

What constitutes condition in a shellfish species? Condition Index (CI) is a measurable quantity that describes the appearance of a normal, healthy shellfish. Numerous clues are available that allow for a general assessment of condition and all are predicated on the experience of the farmer as to what is “normal” on their farm. Some of the signs used to assess condition include:

- **Mortality:** Note signs that may indicate illness or observing mortality events as they begin, there may be an opportunity to correct the situation. Contact the local aquatic animal health professional at the onset of a problem (Appendix 3).

- **Shell growth:** New growth is readily apparent along the outer margin of the shell. If it stops for an unexplained reason, then something is amiss. Awareness of what normal growth patterns can be expected through the year is a necessary knowledge base to allow for assessment of growth patterns as an indicator of a problem. Growth is not always seen in the shell. Often in spring animals are devoting their energy to gonadal development and shell growth may lag temperature and food. Sometimes temperatures are too high or food is absent or inadequate (or of low quality) and growth will pause just when conditions appear optimal.

- **Overall appearance of tissue (meat):** As they approach market size, shellfish go through seasonal cycles of robust plump meats followed by thin watery meats right after a spawn. This is almost entirely dependent on the annual reproductive cycle of the animal, where the meat increases in size (and quality) as the individual approaches sexual maturity with ripe gonads. Following spawning, the meats are dramatically reduced and appear watery and thin, almost translucent to the light. Then, they gradually build back up again as the animal feeds and gains back tissue structure in preparation for the next spawning event.

- **Appearance of key anatomical features (gill, mantle, gonad):** There are a few anatomical features that are often the first to be compromised should a problem arise. Knowing what a normal gill, mantle, and gonad looks like is a necessary to monitoring these anatomical features for potential problems. Observation of these structures as a follow up to the observation of poor growth or poor meat appearance may allow a better diagnosis of the problem. Some features to look for include malformed structure, inconsistent or aberrant coloration, swelling, or size reduction. While there is considerable variability in the formation of an individual shellfish organ, it is often possible to discern potential problems if the disrupted feature is observed on repeated individuals within the farm.

What is the potential hazard?

Shellfish in poor condition, outside of their normal seasonal changes, indicate that the growing environment is inadequate for maintaining the vitality of the animal. While poor condition is not a hazard in itself, it is an indicator of suboptimal growing conditions or other negative factors on the farm. Poor condition may result in lower growth rates and, often, increased mortality. Recognizing the reduced condition of the farmed shellfish provides an early warning of things to come and allows the farmer time to analyze the problem and apply a corrective action before losing some proportion of the crop.

Hazard management:

- Measure growth on a regular basis. Use calipers to measure length of a few dozen animals, or one can use a calibrated bucket to measure volume increases to compare growth between treatments or to assess the effects of different gear types or stocking densities.
• Evaluate the condition of the crop on a regular basis. Shuck a few animals and observe meat appearance to assess the overall condition of the animal, provided the farmer has an awareness of what the normal production cycle is on their farm. For example, thin watery meats in September are often a giveaway that there may be a disease problem on the farm. Thin meats when they should be full could indicate overstocking, poor fouling control, poor food conditions or disease.
• When in doubt, contact the local aquatic animal health professional (Appendix 3)

ANIMAL GRADING AND CONTAINMENT

In hatchery, nursery, and growout culture, shellfish are separated using simple sieves or other grading equipment. In the hatchery, shellfish are often retained in a culture unit with a mesh bottom that allows for simultaneous animal retention and water flow. These devices must be designed to retain the appropriate size shellfish, maintained to ensure it functions as required, and cleaned to ensure the appropriate flow.

Various mesh sizes are available for shellfish aquaculture. Mesh sizes are often described as the length of one side of a mesh square or the diameter if the mesh configuration is round. In the case of square or diamond meshes, it is important to remember that the length of a side does not ultimately describe the size of the animal retained on the mesh as the diagonal dimension is larger than the side measurement. Therefore, measurement of the mesh size with a ruler or calipers is always recommended if there is any doubt as to the actual dimension of the mesh.

What is the potential hazard?

If shellfish are not matched to the retention gear (mesh) size appropriately, the potential for individuals to pass through the mesh or to retain too broad a range of sizes on the screen, in the case of size grading, can negate the reason for the activity. If the seed are too small for the mesh, they can pass through the mesh and be lost. If too broad a size range of individuals is retained in a culture gear, uneven growth due to competition for food or space resources may result. If the mesh is too small, then biofouling will be more prevalent, flow will be impeded and food limitation may result. Sieve screen sizes for larval retention based on shellfish species and development stage are noted in Table 1.

Another consideration is that within a population of shellfish, there is a range of sizes around the descriptive mean size. Therefore, if 2 mm clam seed is purchased, the actual sizes may range from 0.75 mm to 3.25 mm, depending on how carefully the seed provider size-graded the lot before it was sold. Also, if the size of the shellfish seed is too closely matched to the size of the mesh, the seed may actually grow into the mesh making the two inseparable forcing the farmer to either kill the shellfish or tear the mesh (or both).

Hazard management:
• Inspect and repair all gear on a routine basis.
• Ensure that the containment mesh size is appropriate to retain the size of seed targeted for holding. Follow guidelines when available (Table 1) and measure the actual mesh prior to use.
• Inspect the seed and measure to ensure that they are matched to the mesh size.
• Ask the seed supplier what size sieve, or sieves, the seed was graded on in the hatchery.
• Sieve the seed going into a container on a sieve size that is larger than the mesh of the container it is going into. This will greatly reduce fall throughs in the containers (mesh bags).
Table 1. Screen sizes for larval retention based on shellfish species and development stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic</th>
<th>Eastern Oyster (Crassostrea virginica)</th>
<th>Northern Quahog (Mercenaria mercenaria)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screen Size</td>
<td>Larval size</td>
<td>Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>side (mm)</td>
<td>diagonal (mm)</td>
<td>(mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>modified from Helm et al. 2004</td>
<td>Dupuy et al. 1977</td>
<td>Hadley &amp; Whetstone 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bay Scallop (Argopecten irradians)</th>
<th>Softshell Clam (Mya arenaria)</th>
<th>Blue Mussel (Mytilus edulis)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Larval size</td>
<td>Development</td>
<td>Larval size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mm)</td>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>(mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-65</td>
<td>egg</td>
<td>68-73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>D-stage; 2 day</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>D-stage; 4 day</td>
<td>109.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>umboned</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>pediveliger</td>
<td>170-228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>metamorphosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loosanoff &amp; Davis 1963</td>
<td>Loosanoff &amp; Davis 1963</td>
<td>Loosanoff &amp; Davis 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widman et al. 2001</td>
<td>Buttner et al. 2010</td>
<td>Newell 1989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
References:


STOCKING DENSITY

One of the primary decisions a shellfish farmer must make for their nursery or grow-out system is how many seed should be stocked in the culture unit. The number of animals in a culture unit (stocking density) is a crucial decision because if stocked at too low a density then the number of culture units required increases (i.e. increased gear cost); however, if stocked too densely the growth rate will be compromised (i.e. reduced production). This results in a decrease in profitability for the farm.

What is the problem?

There are two mechanisms by which stocking density may affect production, food limitation, or stress from overcrowding.

Shellfish growth is directly related to food availability, which in turn is a function of food particle concentration in the water and the flow rate of the water past the animal (i.e. food flux). Should too many animals be stocked into culture gear, water flow through the unit will be disrupted. In addition, having too many feeding individuals in the unit, they may reduce the food particle concentration for those animals downstream within the gear. Either or both events result in less food availability per individual animal in the culture unit thereby impacting growth rate of all of the animals. Typical stocking densities for larval rearing and seed are listed in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively.

The other factor associated with overcrowding is physical interference among the animals because they are held too closely. Overcrowding can also increase the potential for disease to spread through a population. The end result is a crop that does not perform as well as a less crowded cohort leading to reduced growth or increased susceptibility to other stressors.

Hazard Management:

The primary means to detect lower productivity due to high stocking density is through careful observation, a familiarity with expected growth rates, and the capacity to evaluate different stocking densities. One of the first signs of food limitation is increased variance in growth rates leading to a wide range of sizes. Starting with locally acceptable stocking rates, the farmer should set up small experiments to evaluate variations above and below the initial stocking rate to measure the local site’s capacity to support growth. Small differences in bottom topography will impact current speed that will have a large impact on food availability and optimal stocking density. Different sized seed will need to be evaluated separately because they have different metabolic needs and growth rates. Because not all locations support the same stocking density, it is important for the farmer to adjust their farming operations to accommodate the conditions on their farm.
Table 2. Common stocking densities of bivalve larvae in a hatchery culture system (individual larvae/mL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Stage</th>
<th>Eastern Oyster (<em>Crassostrea virginica</em>)</th>
<th>Northern Quahog (<em>Mercenaria mercenaria</em>)</th>
<th>Bay Scallop (<em>Argopecten irradians</em>)</th>
<th>Softshell Clam (<em>Mya arenaria</em>)</th>
<th>Blue Mussel (<em>Mytilus edulis</em>)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Static System</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-stage</td>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>10-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>umboned</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td></td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pediveliger</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>3-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>setting</td>
<td>100/cm² with microculch in a downweller or 100/shell for spat on shell</td>
<td>1-2/ml using spat bags or 100-500/cm² in downweller</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>post-set</td>
<td></td>
<td>575/cm² @ 600 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>100/cm² @ 500 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>References:</strong></td>
<td><a href="#">Helm et al. 2004</a></td>
<td><a href="#">Helm et al. 2004</a></td>
<td><a href="#">Helm et al. 2004</a></td>
<td><a href="#">Helm et al. 2004</a></td>
<td><a href="#">Helm et al. 2004</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="#">Hadley &amp; Whetstone 2007</a></td>
<td><a href="#">Surier et al. 2010</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flow-through System</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic</td>
<td>15-100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 time’s static density</td>
<td>20-50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>References:</strong></td>
<td><a href="#">Helm et al. 2004</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="#">Reiner 2011</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The stocking densities listed here are a general starting point. These numbers may require adjustment as the farmer gains more experience with their specific site.
References:


### Table 3. Common stocking densities of bivalves in nursery and growout systems (individuals/ft\(^2\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Eastern Oyster (Crassostrea virginica)</th>
<th>Northern Quahog (Mercenaria mercenaria)</th>
<th>Bay Scallop (Argopecten irradians)</th>
<th>Softshell Clam (Mya arenaria)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nursery</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land-based Raceway</td>
<td>1,400 @ 8mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Raceway</td>
<td>700 @ 10-12mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated off bottom</td>
<td>4,500 @ 2.5mm</td>
<td>5,000 @ 1-2mm</td>
<td>50 @ 25mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in bags</td>
<td>930 @ 5mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>370 @ 8mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended culture</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>100 @ &lt;28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floating culture</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>3,000 @ 2-3mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>300 - 500 @ 25mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upweller</strong></td>
<td>1,600 @ 25mm</td>
<td>312,000 @ 1mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125,000 @ 1.5mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35,000 @ 2.5-3.3mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27,000 @ 3.9mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19,500 @ 6mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9,000 @ 8.3mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Growout</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free plant on bottom</td>
<td>8 - 15</td>
<td>75 @ 8-50mm (This number represents and average; some farmers plant small seed (~8mm under predator netting at much higher densities ~275 but then they are thinned out in year two and seeded at a density of ~40-50.)</td>
<td>31 - 62 @ 8-10mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bottom bags</td>
<td>222 @ 23-49mm</td>
<td>125 (soft bag)</td>
<td>25 - 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46 - 70 @ 49-63mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46 @ 63-75mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bottom tray</td>
<td>75 @ 40mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64 @ 50mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56 @ 63mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 @ 75mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated off bottom</td>
<td>44 - 55 @ 75mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in bags</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended culture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 @ &lt;28mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floating culture in</td>
<td>225 @ &lt;31mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>30 - 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bags</td>
<td>110 @ 31-50mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55 @ 51-65mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44 @ &gt;65mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The planting densities listed here are a general starting point. These numbers represent a range based on various farm field trials and may require adjustment as the farmer gains more experience with their specific site.
HANDLING AND TRANSPORT

Handling and transport are routine for a shellfish farm, ranging from inoculating micro-algal culture tubes, to importing seedstock, to harvesting and transporting the final shellfish crop to market. Any activity that removes the organism from its normal environment coupled with the physical manipulation of the organism during transport will induce stress in the population.

The majority of shellfish are sedentary organisms that do not react well to being handled. Both growth and survival can be impacted. For example, moving a crop of bay scallops can cause the animal to lay down a noticeable growth ring check mark (indicative of a disruption in shell growth).

Care must be exercised to reduce those levels of stress associated with handling and transport activities.

Why is it a Problem?
This combination of deleterious actions heightens the level of stress and makes plants and animals more prone to physical or stress induced damages, such as shell damage from improper handling, reduced growth due to disruption in feeding or removal from oxygenated water, heightened susceptibility to opportunistic or obligatory pathogens that may be lurking in the environment, and other responses in the organism. The end result is a loss of productivity that may impact the crop long after the actual handling or transport event took place.

Hazard Management:
Minimize factors that may cause stress during handling/transport:
• extended holding periods out of the water
• overcrowding
• rapid changes in temperature (to the degree allowable considering state and federal guidelines)
CHAPTER 4
Finfish Aquaculture in the Northeastern U.S.

Overview

Various species of freshwater and marine finfish are cultivated in the northeastern U.S. The major commercial finfish species include:

- salmonids (various species of trout and salmon)
- tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus)
- bass (the largemouth bass Micropterus salmoides, striped bass Morone saxatilis and hybrids)
- various species of perch (especially yellow perch Perca flavescens)
- minnows (the common shiner Luxilus cornutus, the fathead Pimephales promelas, the golden shiner Notemigonus crysoleucas)
- white sucker (Catostomus commersonii)
- koi (Cyprinus carpio)

Marine finfish culture is relatively new and the industry is currently focused on grow-out of the:

- Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua)
- black sea bass (Centropristis striata)
- striped bass (Morone saxatilis) and hybrids (also grown in freshwater)

This chapter includes production-related risks for all of these species with the exception of the barramundi Lates calcarifer, which is currently produced by a single, large-scale farmer, but is not predicted at this time to expand to other farmers.

This chapter includes generalized finfish morphology and the life cycle (Figure 1-2), as well as images of cultivation (Figures 3-7). Detailed information on hatchery production and growout practices are documented in a number of references listed at the end of this manual (Appendix 5).
Finfish Morphology and Life Cycle

Figure 1. Finfish Morphology
Virge Kask
Figure 1. Finfish Morphology
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Figure 2. Finfish Life Cycle
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Finfish Cultivation Systems

Figure 3. Flow through tanks
Michael Pietrak

Figure 4. Raceways
Michael Pietrak
Figure 5. Recirculating systems
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Figure 6. Net pens
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Potential Finfish Production Hazards

Environmental Conditions
- Biofouling Organisms
- Predators
- Diseases and Parasites
- Invasive Species
- Operational Procedures
Environmental Conditions

The quality of the water that fish live in defines the quality of their environment. Not only does water quality impact fish, the fish impact water quality. Poor water quality leads to environmental conditions that stress fish and can result in significant losses, either directly or indirectly. While the drivers that result in a fish loss will likely change from event-to-event, the underlying concerns are often the same. Fish rely upon their environment to supply them with oxygen, to maintain their body temperature, to provide physical support, for food, to facilitate osmoregulation, and to mitigate their waste products. Fish modify their aquatic environment by removing oxygen and venting wastes (i.e., feces, ammonia, carbon dioxide). As the number of fish maintained in a system increases, their impact on water quality is magnified, as is the need to manage the system actively. Therefore, maintaining the aquatic environment within accepted tolerance limits is critical to the success of any aquaculture operation.

Management of the environmental conditions within a production system will vary depending upon the type of production system in question, stocking density, and environmental parameter in question. Critical issues to keep in mind include:

- Systems should be designed and constructed to facilitate monitoring and management of water quality;
- Identify, monitor, and manage critical water quality parameters at appropriate intervals and locations within the system;
- Check for and prevent the introduction of contaminants (e.g., pesticides and herbicides in ponds, leachates from new tanks, heavy metals);
- Minimize water quality fluctuations and maintain suitable limits to avoid stressing or killing fish;
- Integrate corrective measures into the system and management protocols to facilitate remediation when needed;
- Maintain good detailed records to document conditions and aid with improved management strategies.

Environmental parameters that should be considered for farming major aquaculture species are found in Tables 1-7.
Potential hazards:

- temperature
- salinity
- dissolved oxygen
- pH
- carbon dioxide
- metabolic wastes
- contaminants
- harmful algal blooms

Figure 1: Routine monitoring and management of water quality can prevent many environmental problems.

Joseph Buttner
**TEMPERATURE**

**Mode of Action:** direct, lethal temperature; indirect, suboptimal temperature

**Description of Hazard:** All biological and chemical processes in an aquaculture operation are influenced by temperature. Fish adjust their body temperature and metabolic rate by moving into cooler or warmer water. Each species has a preferred or optimum temperature range where it grows best. At temperatures above or below optimum, fish growth is reduced and mortalities may occur at extreme temperatures. Similarly, the nitrification rate mediated by bacteria in the biological filter is impacted by temperature, increasing as temperature increases within biological limits.

**Hazard Management:**
- Avoid temperature related problems by proper site selection.
- Select species that will tolerate the anticipated culture temperatures: warm, cool, cold.
- Select appropriate system for the species. Closed systems (e.g., RAS) offer more opportunity for control and management than do semi-closed (e.g., cages, flow-through) or open (e.g., ponds) systems.
- Consider costs associated with temperature management and the needs of bacteria in RAS biofilters. Temperature is directly correlated with the ability of the bacteria to convert nitrogenous wastes. Heaters and chillers can be used to adjust temperatures, but their use will increase operational expenses and size limitations preclude larger scale application.

**SALINITY**

**Mode of Action:** direct; stressful or lethal

**Description of Hazard:** The total concentration of dissolved ions in the water is its salinity. Freshwater fish exhibit a range in salinity tolerance. Many commercially important freshwater species (e.g., channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*; largemouth bass, *Micropterus salmoides*; tilapia, *Oreochromis* sp.) survive and grow well in slightly saline water. After they smolt, salmon and trout can tolerate salt water. Marine fishes also exhibit a range in salinity tolerance. Flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*; *Pseudopleuronectes americanus*), striped bass (*Morone saxatilus*), and other estuarine species tolerate salinity shifts; other marine fishes are less tolerant such as post smolt salmonids and Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). Salinity not only affects osmoregulation, it also influences the toxicity of un-ionized ammonia. Increasing the salinity of the water can decrease the toxicity of ammonia.

**Hazard Management:**
- Adjust system design, operation, and water supply to maintain optimal or acceptable salinities.
- Measure salinity and determine the appropriateness of the water source during the planning stage of the operation. Farmers should consider the potential effects of storm events that may dramatically change salinity levels.
- Consider closed systems which offer more opportunity for manipulation than do semi-closed or open systems.
- Select species that will tolerate the anticipated culture salinity: fresh, brackish or salt water.
- Adjust salinity to suit the needs of the culture species.
DISSOLVED OXYGEN

Mode of Action: extremely low or high levels can cause stress and can be lethal

Description of Hazard: Dissolved oxygen (DO) in a culture system must be maintained above levels considered stressful to fish. Warm water fish can tolerate lower DO concentrations than cold water fish. DO tolerance for cool water fish is between that exhibited by warm and cold water fishes. Excessively low DO concentrations, less than 1 - 2 mg/L (milligram per liter, used interchangeably with parts per million or ppm), will kill fish. Prolonged exposure to low, nonlethal levels of DO constitutes a chronic stress and will cause fish to stop feeding, reduce their ability to convert ingested food into fish flesh, and make them more susceptible to disease. In northern climes, iced-over ponds with deep snow cover can experience winter kill as oxygen is depleted since diffusion and photosynthesis can’t replenish DO used by fish and other organisms in winter.

Super saturation of oxygen (and nitrogen) can cause gas bubble disease, which is the accumulation of gas in the blood or tissues. Gas bubble disease can result in erratic behavior, stress, and death.

Hazard Management:
- Design system and operation to maintain optimal or acceptable DO concentrations.
- Maintain DO >3.0 mg/L and >5.0 – >7.0 mg/L for warm and cold water fish, respectively. This is species-specific (see Tables 1-7).
- Maintain supplemental aeration in open and semi-closed systems and oxygenation in closed systems to avoid oxygen deficiencies and resultant stress/mortalities.
- Winter kill in northern ponds may be avoided with a wind mill connected to a compressor, which maintains a refuge of iceless area.

pH

Mode of Action: direct, lethal high or low pH; indirect, increased solubility of heavy metals and increased toxicity of metabolic wastes

Description of Hazard: The concentration of bases and acids in the water determines its pH. A low pH is acidic and a high pH is basic; a pH of 7 is neutral. Fish survive and grow best in waters with a pH between 6 and 9. If pH is outside this range, fish growth is reduced. At a pH level below 4.5 or above 10, mortalities may occur. As pH increases, the proportion of the Total Ammonia Nitrogen (TAN) in the toxic form, NH₃, increases. As pH decreases, the solubility of heavy metals and, therefore, their toxicity also increases. Portable, hand-held pH meters are reasonably priced and facilitate quick, accurate measurements.

Hazard Management:
- Design system and operation to maintain optimal or acceptable pH levels.
- Low pH is difficult or impossible to correct in open systems.
- In ponds and RAS, pH may be managed by maintaining a suitable alkalinity, the buffering capacity of culture water expressed as mg/L calcium carbonate (CaCO₃). As carbon dioxide levels fluctuate, so too does the pH of the water. The magnitude of pH shift is determined by the buffering capacity of the water or its ability to absorb acids and bases. A suitable range for alkalinity is 20 to 300 mg/L.
• Alkalinity $>300$ mg/L does not adversely affect fish survival, but it does interfere with action of certain commonly used chemicals (e.g., copper sulfate) and reproduction in several fishes, most notably salmonids.

• Alkalinity $<20$ mg/L fails to provide adequate buffering capacity to maintain a consistent, safe pH.

• Alkalinity and, therefore pH, can be increased by adding agricultural limestone to ponds or sodium bicarbonate to RAS.

**CARBON DIOXIDE**

*Mode of Action:* direct, lethal high concentrations of carbon dioxide in freshwater systems

*Description of Hazard:* An excess of carbon dioxide in the water can cause fish to become fatally sedated. In addition, free carbon dioxide can decrease the pH of the water. Only when using groundwater, transporting fish at high densities, or in RAS with oxygenation (as opposed to aeration) are carbon dioxide problems likely to develop. At high concentrations, carbon dioxide causes fish to lose equilibrium, become disoriented, and possibly die.

**Hazard Management:**

• Prevention or avoidance of carbon dioxide-related problems is preferable to correction, so system design and operation should be adjusted, if possible, to avoid or reduce carbon dioxide to safe concentrations.

• Test groundwater before use and aerate, if necessary, to reduce carbon dioxide to acceptable levels.

• Careful planning, aeration or oxygenation, and buffering of water will keep carbon dioxide at acceptable levels when large numbers of fish are hauled extended distances.

• Strip carbon dioxide in RAS if necessary and when the system is oxygenated or if stocking densities exceed 0.5 lbs. fish/gallon of water. This can be achieved with a stripping tower, surface or diffused aerator.

**METABOLIC (NITROGENOUS) WASTES**

*Mode of Action:* direct, lethal concentrations of ammonia and nitrites; indirect, sublethal levels that are stressful

*Description of Hazard:* Metabolic wastes include ammonia (NH$_3$), nitrites (NO$_2^-$), and nitrates (NO$_3^-$). Most fish and freshwater invertebrates excrete ammonia as their principle nitrogenous waste. In culture systems, toxic ammonia (NH$_3$) co-exists with the nontoxic ammonium ion (NH$_4^+$) and their collective sum is expressed as Total Ammonia Nitrogen (TAN). The amount of TAN in the toxic form (NH$_3$) increases dramatically as pH goes above 7.5 and less so as temperature increases. Fish continuously exposed to more than 0.02 mg/L of NH$_3$ may exhibit reduced growth and increased susceptibility to disease. NH$_3$ concentrations greater than approximately 0.02 mg/L can be lethal for some species, with cold-water fish generally being more susceptible to NH$_3$ toxicity than warm water fish. When fish are cultured intensively and fed protein-rich feeds they can produce high concentrations of ammonia in the water. Ammonia and other metabolic wastes are gradually removed by natural processes in ponds or through the use of biological filters in recirculating systems. Ammonia is removed by bacteria that initially convert it into nitrite and subsequently into nitrate. Nitrite (NO$_2^-$) is toxic to fish at approximately 1 mg/L (though this varies greatly by species, and depending on the species, toxicity may vary with the chloride content of the water) (see Tables 1-7).
Exposure to high nitrite levels causes "brown blood" disease, which interferes with oxygen transport. NO$_2$ concentrations of 0.5 mg/L have been shown to reduce growth and adversely affect fish. Fish can tolerate nitrate (NO$_3^-$) to several hundred mg/L.

Salinity influences the toxicity of un-ionized ammonia. Increasing the salinity of the water can decrease the toxicity of ammonia.

The time that it takes to get the nitrogen in the system in balance is dependent upon temperature, and may take weeks (at high temperature) to months (at low temperature). The only way to be sure is to feed (add ammonia) the system and monitor the nitrogen levels daily. Simple and inexpensive nitrogen test kits are available from most aquarium supply stores or aquaculture vendors.

Hazard Management:

- Prevention or avoidance of excessive nitrogenous wastes in the water is preferable to correction, so system design and operation should be adjusted, if possible, to maintain nitrogenous wastes at or below safe concentrations.
- Removal or detoxification of ammonia is facilitated by providing and maintaining an optimal environment for the appropriate bacteria (pH between 7 and 9; temperature approximately 75 - 85 °F).
- In RAS properly sizing biological filters and paying attention to system maintenance (e.g., cleaning filters, removing dead fish, monitoring feeding rates) are the best ways to avoid the buildup of nitrogenous wastes in the system.
- To mitigate possible NO$_2$ toxicity, salt (NaCl) can be added at 10 - 20 mg/L; only agricultural grade salt should be used as table salt destined for direct human use often contains other substances that are detrimental to fish.
- In ponds, the best management approach, should NH$_3$ concentrations become problematic, is to cease feeding temporarily. If NO$_2$ concentrations become a problem, agricultural grade salt can be added at 10 mg/L for each 1 mg/L NO$_2$.
- If a problem arises in an extreme situation, water exchanges can help flush excess nitrogenous wastes from the system, but care must be taken, as replacing a large volume of the system water may expose culture animals to other stresses.

CONTAMINANTS

Mode of Action: direct, lethal concentration; indirect, sublethal stressful concentrations

Description of Hazard: Water can become contaminated with any number of substances that can be harmful to fish. These can include pesticides, hydrocarbons, heavy metals and industrial solvents. Once introduced to an aquaculture system, there is little that can be done to mitigate their effects, so careful attention must be paid to the quality and sources of water used.

Hazard Management:

- Prevention or avoidance of contaminants in aquaculture is preferable to correction, so system design and operation should be adjusted, if possible, to ensure culture water is contaminant-free.
- Water used to culture fish should be obtained from clean sources. In some cases this clean water can dilute concentrations of potentially harmful compounds (e.g., ammonia), add dissolved oxygen, and carry wastes out of the system.
• If biological contamination is suspected, water to RAS and flow-through systems should be filtered and sterilized prior to use.
• Avoid pond designs that allow surface water runoff.

HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOMS (HAB)

Mode of Action: direct, release of toxins; indirect, reduce light penetration and decrease dissolved oxygen in pond, stress fish during harvest as seine becomes clogged

Description of Hazard: Freshwater and particularly marine algae can release toxins into the water that can stress or kill fish. The threat increases as abundance of problematic algae increase. Regardless of algal type, if algae become too abundant, such as in a phytoplankton bloom, light penetration decreases resulting in reduced photosynthetic activity and less dissolved oxygen in the water. Similarly, during seine harvest of ponds if filamentous algae are excessively abundant they can clog the net, complicating harvest and increasing stress to fish.

Hazard Management:
• Prevention or avoidance of harmful algal bloom in aquaculture is preferable to correction, so pond or cage location and management practices should be adjusted to avoid locations where blooms are common and to avoid adding excessive nutrients to a pond.
• If algal abundance increases they can be cropped by adding phytoplanktonic feeding organisms, removed by flushing a pond or relocating a cage, or treated with approved herbicides.
• Water used to culture fish should be obtained from clean sources. In some cases this clean water can dilute concentrations of potentially harmful compounds (e.g., ammonia), add dissolved oxygen, and carry wastes out of the system.
• To avoid biological contamination, water to RAS and flow-through systems should be filtered and sterilized prior to use.

Figure 2. Algae bloom in a fish pond.
Nathan Stone
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Table 1. Environmental parameters to consider for salmonid culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Overall Conditions</th>
<th>Optimum Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>DO (mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>0 - 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td>0.5 - 12</td>
<td>6 to saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parr</td>
<td>0 - 26</td>
<td>5 to saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smolts - Adults</td>
<td>0 - 22</td>
<td>5 to saturation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>DO (mg/L)</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Ammonia (mg/L)</th>
<th>Nitrite (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>0 - 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td>&lt;8</td>
<td>8 to saturation</td>
<td>6.6 - 6.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parr</td>
<td>15 - 19</td>
<td>8 to saturation</td>
<td>6.7 - 8.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smolts - Adults</td>
<td>5 - 17</td>
<td>8 to saturation</td>
<td>6.7 - 8.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.
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Table 2. Environmental parameters to consider Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Overall Conditions</th>
<th>Optimum Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>DO (ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>90-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td>3-8</td>
<td>90-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juveniles</td>
<td>5-14</td>
<td>90-120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>3-14</td>
<td>85-125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Un-ionized ammonia

**Note:** This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.

**References:**

George Nardi, 2013, personal observations.
Table 3. Environmental parameters to consider for hybrid striped bass (*Morone saxatilis* x *M. chrysops*) culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Overall Conditions</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>DO (ppm)</td>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Ammonia* (ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>15-21 (white bass)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juveniles</td>
<td>1.5-12</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.5-9.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>4-33</td>
<td>1.5-12</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.5-9.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Optimum Conditions</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>DO (ppm)</td>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Ammonia* (ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>16-18 (white bass)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juveniles</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7-8.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>27-27</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>3-10</td>
<td>7-8.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Un-ionized ammonia

**Note:** This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.
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Table 4. Environmental parameters to consider for yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Overall Conditions</th>
<th>Optimum Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>DO (mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td>7-20</td>
<td>0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juveniles</td>
<td>10-30</td>
<td>&gt;3.5 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>10-30</td>
<td>&gt;3.5 mg/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Optimum Conditions</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>DO (mg/L)</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Ammonia (ppm)</th>
<th>Alkalinity (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td></td>
<td>19-24</td>
<td>&gt; 5.0 mg/L</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>6.5-8.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0125</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juveniles</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>&gt; 5.0 mg/L</td>
<td>0-13</td>
<td>6.5-8.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0125</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.
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Table 5. Environmental parameters to consider for shiner, minnow, and white sucker culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Overall Conditions</th>
<th>Optimum Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>DO (ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>16-24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td>16-25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juveniles</td>
<td>18-28 &gt;3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>16-28 &gt;3</td>
<td>0-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>20-22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td>20-24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juveniles</td>
<td>22-26 &gt;5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>22-26 &gt;5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Un-ionized ammonia

Note: This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.
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Table 6. Environmental parameters to consider for tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Overall Conditions</th>
<th>Optimum Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>DO (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>25-30 (6)</td>
<td>not studied (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td>25-30 (6)</td>
<td>0-30 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juveniles</td>
<td>12-42 (3)</td>
<td>0.1-400 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>12-42 (3)</td>
<td>0.1-400 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>DO (%)</th>
<th>Salinity (ppt)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Ammonia (ppm)</th>
<th>Alkalinity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>25-30 (6)</td>
<td>not studied (3)</td>
<td>&lt;10 (3),0 (7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td>25-30 (6)</td>
<td>&lt;19 (5),0 (7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juveniles</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>&gt;3 (5)</td>
<td>&lt;10 (3),0 (7)</td>
<td>6-9 (4,8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.08 (4)</td>
<td>100-250 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>28-30 (2)</td>
<td>&gt;3 (5)</td>
<td>&lt;15 (3)</td>
<td>6-9 (4,8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.08 (4)</td>
<td>100-250 (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.
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10. ...
Table 7. Environmental parameters to consider for koi (Cyprinus carpio) culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Stage</th>
<th>Overall Conditions</th>
<th>Optimum Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>DO (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>13-27 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td>20-29 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juveniles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>0-35 (1)</td>
<td>Low/anoxia tolerant (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning</td>
<td>~20 (1), &gt;17 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Development</td>
<td>0 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juveniles</td>
<td>27-34 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>18-24 (1), 20-28 (3), 6-27 (5)</td>
<td>&gt;5 mg/l (1) to saturation (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.
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Biofouling Organisms

Biofouling occurs when organisms settle or accumulate on equipment used in the culture of finfish. Organisms such as bacteria, algae, and animals may attain densities sufficient to restrict water flow to and from cultured fish. Restricted flow causes water quality to deteriorate as metabolic wastes accumulate and dissolved oxygen is reduced. Poor water quality, if sufficiently diminished, will cause mortalities directly. Sublethal, chronic exposure to poor water quality stresses fish, which makes them more vulnerable to diseases and leads to indirect losses. Some biofouling organisms can provide a refuge for parasites and pathogens, while others harbor or release substances toxic to fish. Fish cultured in ponds, raceways, net-pens, and recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS) are exposed to many biofouling challenges some common to all systems and others unique to each system.

The type of culture system employed frequently determines biofouling management options, but the following practices should be considered:

- Systems should be designed and constructed to facilitate biofouling management: inspection, access and maintenance.
- In-flow and discharge systems should be constructed in parallel so one system operates while the other is cleaned.
- Plumbing and netting should be of greater than required diameter to permit adequate water flow as biofouling accumulates.
- Water flow, netting material and delivery components should be routinely inspected and cleaned.
- Preventive procedures (e.g. applying anti-fouling coatings) should be employed carefully and as approved to avoid fish loss.
- Biofouling organisms should be properly removed and disposed to prevent further impact within the system or on the surrounding environment.
- Consult with federal, state and local officials concerning restrictions or required permits for preventative measures or fouling removal/disposal.
- Biofouling will occur, so monitoring, management and record-keeping are critical.
- Management plans should be adjusted seasonally and when necessary for continued success.

Potential freshwater biofouling hazards are included in this chapter. A list and description of marine biofouling organisms are provided in Chapter 3, see ‘biofouling’.
BACTERIA

Name:
• heterotrophic bacteria

Mode of Action: indirect; reduce water flow, reduce water quality, and can harbor pathogens
Heterotrophic bacteria naturally colonize and accumulate on surfaces. These bacteria when exposed to continuous supply of nutrient-rich water can become excessively abundant, interfering with water flow through plumbing and netting, which can cause water quality to deteriorate. In addition, heavy biofilms may harbor fish pathogens, serving as a reservoir, which can become a problem if the fish within the system become stressed and are more susceptible to infection.

Hazard Management:
• To manage biofouling by heterotrophic bacteria it is recommended that organic loads and especially solids filtration be adequately addressed in system design. In all systems, avoid organic build up by removal of wastes in RAS and raceway systems or maintenance of water flow in cage culture.
• Treatment with UV or ozone can help to manage bacterial loads in RAS.
• Regular cleaning and maintenance of surface area will help to ensure adequate flow and system operation.
• In some cases coating nets or cages with anti-fouling substances may increase the amount of time before cleaning of fouling organisms is needed. Anti-fouling substances should be approved for use with fish culture operations.
Figure 2: Strands of filamentous algae can form and rapidly clog gear and pipes.
Nathan Stone

**ALGAE**

**Name:**
- one-celled microscopic to filamentous and macroscopic species; algae can be attached or free floating

**Mode of Action:** Algae are photosynthetic organisms; they require light and nutrients to survive. If light is eliminated and nutrients are removed, algae cannot survive or become abundant. While these species are beneficial in controlling nitrogenous fish wastes, some blue-green cyanobacteria and dinoflagellates may release substances toxic to fish. Cyanobacteria can release compounds that cause off-flavors in the fish. Microscopic and macroscopic algae attach to substrates such as netting and piping. When nutrient loads are high and water flow is continuous, algal densities may become quite high and reduce water flow. Reduced water flow causes water quality to deteriorate, stressing and potentially killing fish.

**Systems affected:** marine and freshwater; ponds, cages

**Hazard Management:**
- Prevention is preferable to correction. System design, location, and operation should be adjusted to preclude conditions that favor colonization by algae.
- Once algae have attached they can be removed mechanically by drying or scrubbing.
- UV or ozone treatments may prove doable in RAS.
- In ponds, barley straw, shading dyes, or herbicides may be used.
- When feasible, relocation of cages can avoid blooms of toxic phytoplankton.
- If off-flavors become an issue, a purging system may be needed before harvest.
BIVALVE MOLLUSC

Name:
- Asiatic clam (*Corbicula fluminea*)
- freshwater mussels (*Dreissena* spp.)

**Mode of Action:** In freshwater systems, Corbicula, zebra and quagga mussels can accumulate in unfiltered piping systems, while mussels may attach to the netting of cages. In these systems, bivalves can become abundant, restricting water flow and indirectly impacting fish by reducing water quality.

**Hazard Management:**
- Prevention is preferable to correction, so system design and operation should be adjusted, if possible, to preclude conditions that favor entry and colonization by bivalve larvae. Inflow water can be filtered or disinfected.
- Regular cleaning, air-drying, and maintenance to filtration and disinfection equipment, pipes, tanks, and netting can help prevent colonization and ensure adequate flow for system operation.
- In some circumstances, chemical treatments such as salt or formalin may be appropriate.
- Copper-based anti-fouling coatings are routinely used on nets in salmon farming.
- A monitoring protocol should be identified if invasive *Corbicula*, zebra, or quagga mussels are not present but are found within the geographic range.
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Predators

Predators cause fish losses directly and indirectly, by increasing stress on stocks resulting in mortality. Also, both birds and mammals can introduce pathogens and invasive species into growing systems. Certain species are particularly problematic at aquaculture facilities. Avian predators (cormorant, heron, kingfisher, osprey) feed on stocks primarily from late spring to fall, whereas terrestrial mammals (fisher cat, mink, otter, raccoon) are more prominent in the winter months. Marine mammals (primarily seals) are a problem for ocean net pens.

The type of culture system determines some predator management options but the following practices should be considered.

- Feed containers should be secure and kept out of reach of predators.
- Weed and brush should be reduced around culture areas.
- Where appropriate, trees should be removed to reduce nesting and perching sites for birds.
- Consult with federal, state, and local officials concerning restrictions or required permits with regards to predator control or intervention.
- Problem predator species should be positively identified before corrective actions are taken.
- Physical barriers against predators are the most effective deterrent when practical (e.g., predator nets on salmon pens).
- Deterrent systems should be established before predators establish a feeding routine.
- Deterrent systems should include a variety of devices employed at different locations and different times.
- Success of deterrent methods should be monitored and losses to predation should be recorded.
- Seasonal plans should be adjusted when necessary for continued success.
- If deterrent methods are ineffective, kill permits may be an option for avian or terrestrial predators. For specific information, contact appropriate state and federal wildlife authorities (Appendix 3).
AVIAN PREDATORS

Wading birds:
  • great blue heron (Ardea herodias)

Diving birds:
  • kingfisher (Megaceryle alcyon)
  • osprey (Pandion haliaetus)
  • gulls (Larus marinus, L. smithsonianus, L. delawarensis)

Hazard Management:
  • exclusion, scare devices
TERRESTRIAL AND MARINE MAMMALS

Species include:

- North American river otter (*Lontra canadensis*)
- mink (*Neovison vison*)
- fisher cat (*Martes pennant*)
- raccoon (*Procyon lotor*)
- gray seal (*Halichoerus grypus*)
- harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina*)

Hazard Management:

- Use trapping (permits may be necessary)
- Use exclusion device such as a barrier pen
- Use sonic/acoustic deterrents
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Diseases and Parasites

Disease can pose a serious risk to any aquaculture operation. Outbreaks of disease can lead to expensive treatments for the animals, loss of animals, regulatory impacts, or decreased marketability of the cultured animals. Fortunately, a range of lower-cost options exists to help reduce the risk of disease. Often an outbreak of disease results from a combination of poor water quality, poor biosecurity, and poor husbandry. The most important step that any farmer can take to reduce their risk of disease is to maintain optimal water quality, practice good biosecurity, and utilize good animal husbandry. In addition, it is important to develop a plan to monitor the health of the animals with the local aquatic animal health professional. They can also help in the development of appropriate biosecurity plans. It is also important to learn the normal behavior of the animals. Often good observation of when animals appear to be normal or they are behaving abnormally can be an early warning that there may be problems in the system.

Included here is a list of diseases and parasites for most commonly cultured finfish in the region. This list is by no means all-inclusive, but represents either common diseases or diseases/parasites of particular regulatory concern. The challenges, hazards, and risks posed by more common diseases maybe different from those posed by diseases of regulatory concern; however, both can be significant. The diseases have not been classified into common or regulatory concern as it is important for the farmer to develop a relationship with a local fish health professional that can assist in assessing the risks the farmer may face and developing strategies to minimize those risks. This list is designed to help make the farmer aware of some of the important disease risks the farmer may face and common measure to minimize disease risks. Following the list is specific information on each disease and parasite including, if available, prevention and management strategies.

Consult with an aquatic animal health professional if disease is suspected, or treatment is necessary. Some treatments may not be legal for use on fish destined for human consumption, or may be subject to a withdrawal period before the fish can be marketed.
Diseases Listed by Species

These are the diseases that are most likely to occur on the farm. Farmers should recognize, however, that there are many opportunistic diseases may arise. It is important to consult the local aquatic animal health professional in order to understand what diseases are important locally (Appendix 3).

Salmonids:
- external ciliated parasites (e.g. *Trichodina* spp.)
- ichthyobodiasis
- ichthyophthiriasis
- diplomonadiasis or hexamitosis
- whirling disease
- parasitic copepods (*Lepeophtheirus salmonis, Caligus elongatus*)
- furunculosis
- bacterial gill disease
- cold water disease (caused by *Flavobacterium psychrophilum*)
- columnaris
- bacterial kidney disease
- cold water disease (caused by *Vibrio* spp.)
- enteric red mouth disease
- infectious pancreatic necrosis virus
- infectious salmon anemia virus
- viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus
- saprolegniasis
- branchiomycosis
- *Ichthyophonus hoferi*

Perch:
- external ciliated parasites (e.g. *Trichodina* spp.)
- ichthyobodiasis
- ichthyophthiriasis
- proliferative gill disease
- columnaris
- streptococcal disease
- viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus
- saprolegniasis

Minnows (including common shiner, fathead) and white sucker:
- external ciliated parasites (e.g. *Trichodina* spp.)
- ichthyobodiasis
- ichthyophthiriasis
- parasitic copepods (*Lernaea* spp., especially *Lernaea cyprinacea*)
- furunculosis
- columnaris
- enteric red mouth disease
- viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus
- saprolegniasis
- branchiomycosis

Atlantic cod:
- external ciliated parasites (e.g. *Trichodina* spp.)
- parasitic copepods (*Caligus elongates, Lernaeocera branchialis*)
- microsporidian parasite (*Loma branchialis*)
- furunculosis
- franciselliosis
- cold water disease (caused by *Vibrio* spp.)
- infectious pancreatic necrosis virus
- viral encephalopathy and retinopathy
- viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus
- *Ichthyophonus hoferi*

Largemouth bass, striped bass and hybrids:
- external ciliated parasites (e.g. *Trichodina* spp.)
- ichthyobodiasis
- ichthyophthiriasis
- velvet disease
- furunculosis
- edwardsiellosis
- columnaris
- streptococcal disease
- cold water disease (caused by *Vibrio* spp.)
- viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus
- large mouth bass virus (largemouth and smallmouth bass only)
- epizootic ulcerative syndrome
- saprolegniasis
- branchiomycosis

Tilapia:
- external ciliated parasites (e.g. *Trichodina* spp.)
- velvet disease
- furunculosis
- franciselliosis
- edwardsiellosis
- columnaris
- mycobacteriosis
- streptococcal disease
- viral encephalopathy and retinopathy
- branchiomycosis
- saprolegniasis

Koi:
- external ciliated parasites (e.g. *Trichodina* spp.)
- ichthyobodiasis
- ichthyophthiriasis
- parasitic copepods (*Lernaea* spp., especially *Lernaea cyprinacea, Ergasius* spp.)
- furunculosis
- columnaris
- mycobacteriosis
- carp pox
- koi herpes virus
- spring viremia of carp
- branchiomycosis
- saprolegniasis
PARASITES

Name: external ciliated parasites (e.g. Trichodina spp.)

Mode of Action: This is an external parasite commonly found on the gills, scales and skin of fish, appearing as a grayish film or as white, pinhead-size bumps. Parasite can only be seen microscopically. They do not feed on the fish directly, but rather on bacteria. They attach to the fish with a row of hooks that causes irritation and damage to gill and skin.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
Most fish species and all listed in this manual:
- salmonids
- Atlantic cod
- largemouth bass, striped bass and hybrids
- perch
- minnows
- tilapia
- koi

Hazard Management:
- Check water quality, as outbreaks of tricodinids can be a sign of eutrophic water.
- There are effective ways to treat this parasite so consult with an aquatic animal health professional for treatment options and application procedures.
PARASITES

**Name:** Ichthyobodiasis; caused by *Ichthyobodo* (previously classified as *Costia*) spp., especially *I. pyriformis* and *I. necator*

**Mode of Action:** This is an obligate external flagellate parasite of fish. Infected fish may flash, scrape against the side of tank or net, or stop feeding. External symptoms vary but can include: excess mucus production, also known as blue slime, removal of the epithelium, and missing pigmentation.

**Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:**
Most freshwater fish species including:
- salmonids
- largemouth bass, striped bass and hybrids
- perch
- minnows
- koi

**Hazard Management:**
- Good biosecurity and husbandry are important to help prevent infection.
- *Ichthyobodo* can be treated with potassium permanganate, formalin, copper sulfate, and salt.
- Consult with an aquatic animal health professional for additional treatment options and application procedures.
PARASITES

Name: diplomonadiasis or hexamitosis; caused by diplomonad flagellates

Mode of Action: Diplomonad flagellates, across at least three genera, cause disease in fish. Some of these flagellates are well described while others are not. The signs and symptoms vary based on the species of flagellates and the species of fish infected. Infections can result in sluggish behavior, decreased appetite, anorexia, and agitation. External symptoms vary but may include: anorexia, little to no growth, abdominal distension, darker pigmentation, red vent, and pale shiny feces. A fecal exam or necropsy will be needed to diagnose this infection.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- salmonids

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry are important to help prevent infection.
- There are few options for treatment as the most effective drugs for treating this parasite are not labeled (i.e. not approved) in the U.S. and Europe for use in aquaculture.
- Consult with an aquatic animal health professional for additional treatment options and application procedures.
PARASITES

Name: whirling disease; caused by *Myxobolus cerebralis*

Mode of Action: Fish infected with this parasite swim in circular patterns or chase their tail. In 3 to 6 month old trout, the tail can be darker in color (called black tail) and often develop a hump, twist, or bend. The parasite can also cause other skeletal deformities such as a shortened jaw or operculum and gaping mouth. Infection is more common in younger fish than older ones. Adult brown trout can be carriers of the parasite. This parasite requires an oligochaete (an aquatic segmented worm) host, *Tubifex tubifex* to complete its life history.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- salmonids

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry are important to help prevent infection.
- While the pathogen is present in most of the region, notably it is not in Maine or Vermont.
- Control of the disease is difficult as the spores can survive in sediments for several years.
- Maintaining clean rearing systems free of the oligochaete hosts.
- Use of well water or disinfected surface water and adhering to movement regulations can control the spread of the pathogen.
- It is best to try and avoid infection with this parasite, as there are no current treatments available.
PARASITES

Name: parasitic copepods, sea lice, salmon lice (Lepeophtheirus salmonis, Caligus elongatus)

Mode of Action: Sessile stages (Chalimus 1-4) and mobile stages (preadult 1-2 and adults) of L. salmonis feed on the mucus and skin (epidermis) of the fish. In rare cases and in young of the year, they can cause ulcers deep in to the underlying tissues, even to the bone. Eventually fish die from osmotic imbalance but often succumb to secondary infection first. Sessile stages (Chalimus 1-4) and mobile stages (preadult 1-2 and adults) of C. elongatus feed on the mucus and epidermis of the fish. In severe cases they can eat down to the bone. Eventually fish die from osmotic imbalance but often succumb to secondary infection first. The primary source of damage with C. elongatus is cosmetic and presence of the parasite is not conducive to live marketed fish. The copepod C. elongatus does not typically cause the same severe damage characteristic of L. salmonis. Sea lice control is a major area of international research.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- The copepod L. salmonis is primarily found in marine salmonids
- The copepod C. elongatus can commonly be found on range of marine fish including salmonids and gadoids (e.g. Atlantic cod).

Hazard Management:
- Lice can sometimes be managed through husbandry practices, such as maintaining lower densities, all-in-all-out and single-year class stocking, separation between farms, and fallowing between year classes.
- The copepod C. elongatus is known to be very mobile, moving not only from fish to fish on the farm, but frequently moving between farmed and wild fish making it very difficult to treat with drugs, especially bath-based drugs.
- There are chemotheraputants available in the U.S. under Investigational New Animal Drugs (INAD) and veterinarian supervision.
- Consult with an aquatic animal health professional for additional treatment options and application procedures.
PARASITE

Name: parasitic copepod, cod worm (*Lernaeocera branchialis*)

Mode of Action: The mated female worm attaches to the gills of Atlantic cod and metamorphoses into the gravid female, the typical kidney bean stage. This stage extends mouthparts into the blood vessels and heart to feed on the blood of the fish. Single parasites can reduce growth and multiple infects can have a severe affect on growth and result in mortality.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- Atlantic cod and other gadids (infected by gravid females)

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced.
- Infected animals should be culled.
- Cod and flat fish should never be cultured on the same site in order to avoid completing the life cycle on the farm.
PARASITE

Name: microsporidian parasite (*Loma branchialis*)

**Mode of Action:** This parasite forms a white, cyst-like structure called xenomas primarily on the gills of fish. Heavy infections have been noted in cultured cod and they can lead to reduced growth, poor condition factor, and death.

**Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:**
- Atlantic cod

**Hazard Management:**
- Currently no management strategies exist for the control of *Loma branchialis*.
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced, and UV or ozone sterilization of incoming water may help prevent infections in land-based systems.
- It has been suggested that rearing fish at temperatures below 6°C may be an effective control.
PARASITE

Name: parasitic copepods, anchor worms (*Lernaea* spp., especially *Lernaea cyprinacea*)

Mode of Action: Optimal temperature for infection is between 23 and 40 °C. The parasite goes dormant <15 °C. The lifecycle involves two hosts often these hosts are cyprinids but not always. Nauplii hatch from egg strings attached to the gravid female and are free living in the water while under going 3 molts before attaching to the first host. They can attach to the host along the body surface or gills. After molting they leave the first host and go through 5 copepodid stages. The males and females mate during the 4th stage and the adult female attaches to the second host during the 5th stage. This final stage, gravid female, resembles an anchor tag with the cephalic arms buried in the tissue of the fish while the body and egg sacks are outside of the fish. The gravid female can be up to 15 mm in length but average about 9 mm. They do not survive in waters with salinities >1.5. Infected fish can see secondary infections at the attachment point of the parasite.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
All freshwater fish, but especially:
- minnows
- koi

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced and good water quality maintained to prevent infection.
- Use of a 0.0001% bleach solution in the water to kill free-living stages has been suggested as a control method.
PARASITE

Name: parasitic copepods, gill maggots, gill lice (Ergasilus spp.)

Mode of Action: Ergasilus are parasitic copepods found in both fresh and marine waters. They are a number of different species in the genus, all of which are parasitic. They are capable of extensive periods of time as free-swimming animals. The females attach primarily to the gills of fish to produce their egg strings.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
Most fresh water and marine fishes, but especially:
• koi

Hazard Management:
• Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced and good water quality maintained to prevent infection.
PARASITE

Name: velvet, rust, or gold dust disease; caused by Amylodinium spp.

Mode of Action: This is a dinoflagellate that infects the gills and skin of fish. Symptoms that may be exhibited by fish include loss of appetite, flashing, gold to brown hue on skin, loss of scales, and patchy mucus. It is possible for mortalities to occur with no obvious signs of infection.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- hybrid striped bass, cultured in brackish or salt water

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry are important to help prevent infection.
- Amylodinium may be managed with treatments such as copper and freshwater dips under an aquatic animal health professional’s supervision, but there are no drugs approved for use in food fish.
PARASITE

Name: ichthyophthiriasis, freshwater white spot, freshwater ich; caused by Ichthyophthirius multifiliis

Mode of Action: This protozoan is a fast spreading parasite of most freshwater fish. It infects the skin and gills of the fish and feeds on surface tissue within a nodule formed by the skin. When mature, before dropping off the fish to settle in the sediment, it divides and produce new infective forms that swim up to infect another fish. Infected fish may also display flashing, rubbing on objects on the side of the tank, anorexia, resting on the bottom, and hiding.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
Most freshwater fish species including:
- salmonids
- largemouth bass, striped bass and hybrids
- perch
- minnows
- koi

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry are important to help prevent infection. In particular, keeping equipment clean and using separate gear for various areas of the facility where possible as the parasite can spread easily on infected gear.
- Disinfection on equipment is possible with heat as parasite does not survive >32 °C.
- Salt and various chemicals including: formalin, copper sulfate and potassium permanganate can be used to treat fish and water systems.
- Most treatments attack only the free-swimming stage and therefore multiple treatments are required to kill the parasite.
- Consult with an aquatic animal health professional for treatment options and application procedures.
PARASITE

Common Name: proliferative gill disease (PGD), hamburger gill

Scientific Name of Causative Agent: *Henneguya* spp.

Mode of Action: There are several species of *Henneguya* that infect various types of fish. They are myxosporidian parasites that require an oligochaete worm as an intermediate host. In some infections, the parasite is in the flesh of the fish and presents as white nodules. In other species, particularly catfish, the parasite causes significant damage to the gill filaments leading to severe mortality.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- perch

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry are important to help prevent infection.
- Fish can be removed from the infected water and will often recover.
BACTERIA

Name: furunculosis; caused by Aeromonas salmonicida

Mode of Action: Aeromonads are very common opportunistic bacteria in fresh and brackish water. Furunculosis is a serious bacterial infection in a range of freshwater and brackish species caused by Aeromonas salmonicida. The bacterium A. salmonicida is very different from the other Aeromonads. Aeromonas salmonicida, the causative organism for furunculosis, is considered an obligate pathogen. This means that it typically is found in its host and can exist in the environment for only a limited period of time. Fish can have acute, chronic, and latent infections. For furunculosis, the furuncle is the typical lesion in the chronic form of the disease. The term “furuncle” is a misnomer because it refers to an infected hair follicle in a mammal. But when the disease was first described, the lesion in the fish appeared to be similar to the mammalian furuncle, thus the name. In the acute form of the disease the typical lesion involves hemorrhage.

Other Aeromonads (e.g. A. hydrophila, A. sobria, A. caviae) are considered environmental opportunistic pathogens and are not associated with furunculosis. They are ubiquitous in the environment and cause disease when fish are stressed. External symptoms vary but may include: weak or lethargic swimming, darkened pigmentation, exophthalmia, bloody spots, distended abdomen, observable furuncles, and petechial (small red to purple discolorations) hemorrhages at the base of fins.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
Most freshwater and brackish water fish, but especially:
- salmonids
- Atlantic cod
- largemouth bass, striped bass, and hybrids
- minnow
- tilapia
- koi

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced. Removal of the environmental stressor responsible for the outbreak.
- There are commercial vaccines available for furunculosis, but not other aeromonads.
- Furunculosis and other aeromonad infections can be treated by veterinarians with antibiotics.
- Consult with an aquatic animal health professional for additional treatment options and application procedures.
**BACTERIA**

**Name:** Edwardsielliosis; caused by *Edwardsiella tarda*

**Mode of Action:** Edwardsielliosis is an opportunistic bacterial infection in a range of species, predominately in freshwater. *Edwardsiella* spp. are part of the normal gut flora of fish, but can cause disease in fish and occasionally in humans. External signs vary, but can include: bursts of activity, increased food consumption, exophthalmia, cataracts, pale inflamed gills, enlarged organs, hemorrhagic red spots and ulcers on the skin and fins, and erosion of the skin.

**Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:**
- striped bass
- tilapia

**Hazard Management:**
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced and good water quality maintained to prevent infection by this bacterium.
- There are commercial vaccines available for *Edwardsiella*.
- Consult with an aquatic animal health professional for additional treatment options and application procedures.
**BACTERIA**

**Name:** bacterial gill disease; caused by *Flavobacterium branchiphilum*

**Mode of Action:** Fish <5g are particularly susceptible to this bacterial disease. Infections tend to occur in situations of stress, low dissolved oxygen and high ammonia concentrations, and high amounts of suspended particulates. Infected fish tend to be lethargic, consume less feed, display increased gill activity, flared operculum, and fused gill filaments.

**Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:**
- salmonids

**Hazard Management:**
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced and good water quality maintained to prevent infection by this bacterium.
- Good attention to tank cleaning to remove particulates and avoidance of overfeeding will contribute to avoidance of bacterial gill disease.
BACTERIA

Name: cold water disease, bacterial coldwater disease, fry mortality syndrome, peduncle disease, or low temperature disease; caused by *Flavobacterium psychrophilum*

Mode of Action: This disease may be spread via vertical transmission (adult to egg) as well as horizontal (fish to fish) means. Infections are typically seen in fish <1 year old and at water temperatures below 12 °C. Other factors influencing infection are increased handling, malnutrition, increased nitrite levels, and the presence of dead fish. Infected fish initially can display eroding caudal fins and skin ulcerations typically in the peduncle region. In early lesions, fish can have darker pigmentation in the tail and peduncle region. Fish that survive infection can be lethargic and may develop spinal deformities.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- salmonids

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced and good water quality maintained to prevent infection.
- Deformed and moribund fish should be culled regularly from the population.
- Infections can be treated with several drugs approved for use in aquaculture under the supervision of an aquatic animal health professional.
BACTERIA

Name: columnaris disease; caused by Flavobacterium columnare

Mode of Action: These bacteria infect all sizes of freshwater fish causing shallow to deep ulcerations of the skin. Whitish plaques are first noted on the skin. Infections can progress rapidly and often result in significant mortalities. Infections do particularly well in temperatures > 14 °C, low dissolved oxygen, and elevated ammonia. When present, a range of external foci and shallow ulcers can be seen.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- salmonids
- largemouth bass, striped bass and hybrids
- perch
- minnows
- tilapia
- koi

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced and good water quality maintained to prevent infection.
- Infections can be treated with several drugs approved for use in aquaculture under the supervision of an aquatic animal health professional.
BACTERIA

Name: bacterial kidney disease (BKD); caused by Renibacterium salmoninarum

Mode of Action: BKD is a bacterial disease that can be transmitted vertically (adult to egg). Disease outbreaks can result in significant losses that tend to occur over an extended period of time. External signs vary and may not be seen at all, but can include: darkened pigmentation, exophthalmia, pale anaemic gills, and hemorrhaging at the base of the fins.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- salmonids

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced and good water quality maintained.
- Broodstock, especially the maternal lines, should be screened for BKD at time of spawning.
- Eggs lots should be kept separated until screening results come back as negative.
- Any fish with positive results should be culled from the population.
BACTERIA

**Name:** cold water disease, vibriosis; caused by *Vibrio* spp., especially *V. salmonicida* and *V. anguillarum*

**Mode of Action:** *Vibrio* spp. are opportunistic bacteria that cause infection in stressed or immunocompromised animals. *Vibrio* spp. are common in marine and brackish water globally. External signs vary and may include: darkened pigmentation, pale gills, hemorrhaging at the base of the fins, extensive petechial (small red to purple discolorations) hemorrhaging on the skin, and open lesions in severe cases.

**Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:**
- salmonids
- Atlantic cod
- striped bass
- tilapia

**Hazard Management:**
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced.
- There are commercial vaccines available for vibriosis.
- Infections can be treated with several drugs approved for use in aquaculture under the supervision of an aquatic animal health professional.
**BACTERIA**

**Name:** enteric red mouth disease; caused by *Yersinia ruckeri*

**Mode of Action:** This is a bacterial infection in marine and freshwater fish that can result in significant mortality. External signs vary and may include: a characteristic reddening of the mouth and throat caused by subcutaneous hemorrhaging. Hemorrhaging may also be seen in gills, fins, and internally. If left untreated it can cause erosion of the jaw and palate.

**Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:**
- salmonids
- minnows

**Hazard Management:**
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced.
- There are commercial vaccines available for enteric red mouth disease.
- Infections can be treated with several drugs approved for use in aquaculture under the supervision of an aquatic animal health professional.
BACTERIA

**Name:** franciselliosis; caused by *Francisella noatunensis*

**Mode of Action:** A recently discovered disease of concern primarily in cod (*F. noatunensis*) and tilapia (*F. noatunensis* subsp. *orientalis*) culture, this bacterium is an intracellular pathogen. In cod it has been reported to cause approximately 40% - 75% mortality. External signs of disease can include: emaciation and raised hemorrhagic nodules on the skin.

**Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:**
- Atlantic cod
- tilapia

**Hazard Management:**
- As a relatively newly reported disease there are few control options for *Francisella*.
- Good biosecurity and husbandry are important to help prevent infection.
- It has been reported to be susceptible to some antibiotics, but is resistant to others.
- Consult an aquatic animal health professional about treatment options.
BACTERIA

Name: streptococcal disease; caused by Streptococcus iniae

Mode of Action: This bacterial infection is known to spread between fish through cannibalism and coprophagy. Infected fish may display erratic swimming, darkening pigmentation, lethargy, raised hemorrhagic patched of skin, operculum or fins, and exophthalmia. Streptococcus iniae is of particular concern as infections can spread to humans through open wounds or being pricked by fin rays.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- hybrid striped bass
- perch
- tilapia

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry are important to help prevent infection.
- Streptococcus iniae can be treated with a variety of antibiotics under the supervision of a veterinarian.
BACTERIA

Name: mycobacteriosis; caused by Mycobacterium spp.

Mode of Action: This bacterium causes chronic disease in fish that leads to dehabilitation. Small to large nodules are found in the abdominal organs and occasionally hemorrhaging lesions are noted on the skin. Mycobacteriosis often occurs in poor water quality especially under conditions of low dissolved oxygen and low pH. Mycobacterium can be transmitted from mother to offspring (vertical transmission) or fish to fish (horizontal transmission). Mycobacteriosis is considered to be most common in closed recirculation aquaculture systems such as aquaria and other large closed tank systems.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- All freshwater and marine fish
- Species of particular concern include striped bass and hybrid striped bass, goldfish, koi, zebra fish colonies.

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced and good water quality maintained to prevent infection.
- It is important to source disease free animals or quarantine all incoming animals.
VIRUS

Name: infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV); caused by aquabirnavirus

Mode of Action: IPNV is a non-enveloped aquabirnavirus that can be vertically transmitted. It can cause significant mortality especially in hatcheries and after smoltification and transfer to marine net pens. The virus can cause erratic, corkscrew swimming in fish and acute intestinal enteritis with the shedding of the intestinal lining and mucus. Chronically infected asymptomatic carriers can exist, especially in areas where the virus is endemic.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- salmonids
- Atlantic cod
- Striped bass and hybrids can be carriers of this virus.

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced.
- Restrict movement of infected fish.
- Test striped bass and hybrids before transplanting.
VIRUS

**Name:** infectious salmon anemia virus (ISAV), caused by orthomixovirus

**Mode of Action:** ISAV is an enveloped orthomixovirus that can cause significant mortality in marine salmonids. The virus can cause anemia and pale gills, along with petechial (small red to purple discolorations) hemorrhaging. There is a non-pathogenic, wild-type strain that can be found in routine screening for pathogenic ISAV. Fish may exhibit reduced feeding.

**Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:**
- salmonids

**Hazard Management:**
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced.
- All infected cages or tanks should be culled and removed promptly.
**VIRUS**

**Name:** viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV); caused by novirhabdovirus

**Mode of Action:** VHSV is an enveloped novirhabdovirus with four major genotypes found in both marine and freshwater. VHSV can cause significant mortalities and infected fish may be asymptomatic. External symptoms may include: exophthalmia, petechial (small red to purple discolorations) hemorrhaging of the eyes, skin, gills and fins, bloated appearance, and possibly open sores. VHSV is a highly regulated pathogen.

**Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:**
- salmonids
- Atlantic cod
- bass
- minnows
- perch

**Hazard Management:**
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced.
- Care should be taken with feeding of any wild fishmeal that has not been pasteurized as the virus can withstand freezing.
- All infected cages or tanks should be culled and removed promptly.
VIRUS

**Name:** viral encephalopathy and retinopathy (VER), viral nervous necrosis; caused by nodavirus

**Mode of Action:** This is a viral disease seen around the globe in warm and cold waters. Nodavirus can be vertically transmitted and is known to cause rapid significant mortalities (40-100% in 48 hours). It predominately affects larval fish but is known to occur in older fish. Fish can exhibit erratic swimming behavior, resting belly up, hyperactivity, poking head above water, and anorexia. External symptoms may include: color change, blindness, emaciation, and over inflated swim bladder.

**Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:**
- Atlantic cod
- Tilapia

**Hazard Management:**
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced.
VIRUS

Name: large mouth bass virus; caused by iridovirus

Mode of Action: Large mouth bass virus (LMBV) is a highly communicable pathogen. Infected fish can swim lethargically or lose equilibrium.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- largemouth bass, smallmouth bass

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced.
- Animals brought in from the wild should be quarantined.
- Surface water should be disinfected prior to use in culture facilities.

Figure 28: Largemouth bass virus affects the swim bladder of fish.
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VIRUS

**Name:** carp pox; caused by cyprinid herpesvirus-1 or CyHV-1

**Mode of Action:** Infection with this virus is often non-lethal except in juvenile fish. Infections are presented as white waxy lumps on the fish, which are considered disfigurements. This virus can be highly infectious in crowded conditions. This virus can be highly infectious in crowded conditions.

**Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:**
- koi or common carp (Cyprinus carpio)

**Hazard Management:**
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced and good water quality maintained to prevent infection.
- It is important to source disease free animals or quarantine all incoming animals.
- Water temperatures can be increased to help disfigurements go away naturally.
VIRUS

Name: koi herpes virus disease (KHVD); caused by cyprinid herpesvirus-3 or CyHV-3

Mode of Action: This virus spreads through carrier fish and can cause severe mortality within 7-14 days of infecting susceptible fish. Infected fish may secrete extra mucus clouding the water or lose equilibrium. External signs of infection can include: white patchy appearance in the skin or gills, hemorrhages on the epidermis, and sunken eyes. It can be common for infected fish to develop secondary infections of *Flavobacterium*.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- koi or common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*)

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced.
- Animals brought in from the wild or untested facilities should be quarantined.
- A vaccine is available.
Figure 31: Spring viremia of carp causes hemorrhaging of the skin.

Andy Goodwin

VIRUS

Name: spring viremia of carp (SVC); caused by Rhabdovirus carpio

Mode of Action: This virus has been diagnosed in farmed and wild carp in a selected number of states across the US. The virus typically spreads in the winter when water temperatures are below 10 °C. Mortality starts to occur as the water warms with peak mortality occurring between 15-17 °C. Fish appear to develop immunity at temperatures above 20 °C. Infected fish may exhibit exophthalmia, hemorrhaging of the epidermis, and distended abdomen.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- koi or common carp (Cyprinus carpio)

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced.
- In particular animals should be sourced from certified disease free facilities.
- All surface water should be disinfected prior to use and routine health monitoring should occur.
FUNGUS

Common Name: saprolegniasis; caused by Saprolegnia

Mode of Action: This is an external fungal infection on fish and fish eggs from brackish and freshwater. It is an opportunistic fungus that often appears as grayish white cotton-like growth on the skin, gills, eyes and fins of animals undergoing environmental stress.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:
- salmonids
- largemouth bass, striped bass and hybrids
- perch
- minnows
- tilapia
- koi

Hazard Management:
- Good biosecurity and husbandry are important to help prevent infection.
- Excess feed, mortalities and other excess organic materials should be removed frequently from rearing water.
- Saprolegnia can be treated with potassium permanganate, formalin, hydrogen peroxide, and salt under the supervision of an aquatic animal health professional.
**FUNGUS**

**Name:** branchiomycosis, gill rot; caused by *Branchiomyces sanguinis* and *B. demingrans*

**Mode of Action:** This fungal disease invades the gills of fish. Infected fish can display gasping at the surface, high mortality and pale or whitish gills.

**Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:**
- rainbow trout
- largemouth bass, smallmouth bass and striped bass
- minnows
- tilapia
- koi

**Hazard Management:**
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced and good water quality maintained to prevent infection.
**Fungal-like**

**Name:** *Ichthyophonus hoferi*

**Mode of Action:** *Ichthyophonous* is a fungal-like parasite of uncertain taxonomy. Spores infect multiple tissues, but particularly the heart causing granulomas to form. Infected tissues become atrophied weakening the overall condition of the fish. There may be few external signs of disease, and they include: rough skin, flat lesions, spongy muscle tissue.

**Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:**
- salmonids
- Atlantic cod

**Hazard Management:**
- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced.
- Suspected infected fish should be culled.
- One possible means of infections is thought to be infected feed.
- Moist feeds or feeds made from unpasteurized fish or plankton meal should be avoided.
FUNGAL-LIKE

Common Name: epizootic ulcerative syndrome (EUS), red spot disease (RSD), mycotic granulomatosis (MG) and ulcerative mycosis (UM); caused by *Aphanomyces invadans*

Mode of Action: This is an oomycete or fungal-like disease of freshwater and brackish water fish. *Aphanomyces invadans* is related to *Saprolegnia* but unlike *Saprolegnia* invades deeply into the tissues underlying the skin. Infection occurs when the organism releases swimming zoospores into the surrounding water. If the zoospores do not find a host in a suitable timeframe, then they are capable of encysting. It is unknown how long the zoospores or cysts can remain viable. The organism is known to grow best between 20 – 30 °C. It does not grow above 37 °C or in salinities >2. It generally infects juvenile and young adults and is not known to infect fish fry or larvae. Infected fish may display red spots, small hemorrhagic lesions, or ulcers.

Major Aquaculture Species Affected in this Region:

- bass

Hazard Management:

- Good biosecurity and husbandry should be practiced and good water quality maintained to prevent infection.
- In ponds and tanks liming the water with agricultural lime or adding salt and improving water quality can help to control outbreaks when infected animals are removed.
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Invasive Species

Non-native, exotic, non-indigenous, or foreign are various terms used to describe aquatic organisms that cause harm and thrive outside their natural range. These aquatic invasive species (AIS) can be harmful invaders ranging in size from the microscopic virus to as large as a 120-pound flathead catfish. While some invaders escaped from other continents (i.e. ship ballast water, hitchhikers in shipments of other species), a surprising number have been spread by human activity from other parts of North America.

Why should fish farmers care about AIS? In short, invasives can cause health, environmental, or economic harm including impacts to finfish businesses. Effects from bio-invasions include ecosystem disruptions, increased business production costs, and management costs for the public. When an infestation of New Zealand mud snails closed down a trout farm in Colorado the cost to eradicate these tiny snails from the farm was significant and subsequently a national plan to control these snails was implemented. Left uncontrolled, the snail population would have exploded, clogging all the pipes. Mud snails also posed a risk of spreading to other waterways and aquaculture facilities. When viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS), an infectious disease, spread from freshwater salmonids in Western Europe to North America it caused large-scale die-offs of a wide variety of fish in the Great Lakes region. Most American fish farmers now feel the pinch of tighter regulations on live fish movement designed to reduce the risk of VHS to native fish populations and to protect aquaculture. Asian carp that escaped into the Mississippi drainage caused a trifecta of damage to health, the economy, and the native fisheries. Scientists are working to understand the effect of silver carp on native fish populations. The federal government has identified and is developing management plans for a number of aquatic invasive species.
In most cases, the cost to prevent an introduction is a small fraction of the cost to control an invader once it becomes established. By applying biosecurity principles to aquaculture operations, the risk of costly AIS introductions can be greatly minimized. Knowing whether the activities at a facility present a risk is the first step. Most operations pose a very low risk; however, without adequate assessment of each aquaculture facility’s risks, unwanted species may show up or be inadvertently spread. By identifying the ways in which AIS might be introduced to the aquaculture operation - the vectors - a farmer can design operating procedures to minimize the risk of new introductions. For example, movements of fish, fingerlings, eggs, water, boats, equipment, and baitfish could be potential AIS vectors; farmers can develop methods to monitor and minimize the AIS risk for each activity. A vector-based approach can effectively deal with diverse practices and operations and vector management has been shown to prevent and delay the spread of AIS to new areas.
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Operational Procedures

In the operation of any business, especially one as technical as aquaculture, hazards can be encountered in operational routine, which can have significant impacts. For this reason, it is important to consider operations and write down standard operating procedures (SOP) for production systems regardless of type or species. The goal of the operational and system hazards section is to outline potential hazards in operational procedures of finfish culture systems which have the potential to cause losses, in terms of livestock or profitability, so that they are considered before they become an issue. These can be critical steps in an operation, routine or occasional, where risk is enhanced and steps can be taken to ensure the risk is minimized. With each potential hazard, strategies for minimizing the risks are discussed.

Procedural operations will vary by farm setup, but the following general guidelines should be considered:

- Record keeping is extremely important on many levels including: production schedules, business planning, regulatory compliance, trouble shooting.
- Establish standard operating procedures (SOP) for every practice or routine to ensure streamlined and thorough operations.
- All staff should be made aware of SOP and the logic behind them.
- Handling of fish should be minimized and well planned to reduce fish stress.
- Eggs or juveniles should be sourced carefully, and quarantined or disinfected if appropriate.
- All-in, all-out stocking is a recommended practice to minimize health risk to naive populations being stocked into the systems.
- Keep a regular inventory of fish size and number.
- Grade fish to separate size classes which can improve feed efficiency and reduce cannibalism.

Potential hazards:

- introduction of pathogens when sourcing eggs/fry/juveniles
- loss due to system deficiencies
- handling stress
- variation in fish growth
- equipment failure
- feed quality
- feed storage
- feed management
Potential Hazard: introduction of pathogens when sourcing eggs/fry/juveniles

Mode of Action: Depending on the source of animals there can be significant risk for the introduction of potential pathogens. If a pathogen is carried by incoming animals it can be introduced to the system and become an issue for fish health. Consideration must be made when anything is brought on site with the potential to harbor pathogens.

Systems Affected: all

Hazard Management:

- Only bring in animals approved to be pathogen free, whether eggs, fry or juveniles. If in-house hatchery production is performed, similar care should be taken when sourcing brood stock.
- Quarantine systems on arrival are a good idea when bringing in animals, especially larger fish that have greater potential pathogen exposure and may need to be evaluated before further stock out.
- It is also a good practice in open systems such as net pens or ponds, or in situations where pathogens may be suspected, to harvest or transfer the entire lot of fish before a new and naive population is restocked to the system. It also helps minimize variations in size or growth.
- Special considerations must also be made to move fish grown on a farm site to a different site. There are usually regulatory guidelines for this as well. Consult local and state authorities if needed.

Potential Hazard: loss due to system deficiencies

Mode of Action: Fish can be lost due to simple system deficiencies such as improper screen or net sizes, stand pipe height, water flow, aeration level, predator enclosure, etc. A system should be designed to have all the necessary pieces for the production cycle intended, but likewise, as every new cycle is initiated, adjustments may be necessary to ensure it is ready for restocking. An error as small as the position of a valve or standpipe can sometimes lead to large-scale losses.

Systems Affected: all

Hazard Management:

- A protocol should be established for everything that requires a check or adjustment before a system is ready for stocking with fish.
- Adjustments made to the system through the course of production should be recorded and communicated to other staff so that they are aware of changes.
- Protocols should also be established for all routine operations so that any question as to what should be done is available for reference.
- Emergency protocols, contacts, and contingency plans should also be established and readily available for all potential situations, such as: storms, floods, power outages, suspected theft, disease, contamination or loss, etc.
- All staff should be aware of these protocols so that, in times of confusion; written guidance is available.
Potential Hazard: handling stress

Mode of Action: Many operations throughout the cycle of finfish production require the fish to be physically handled. These include: moving animals between systems (tanks, ponds, pens, raceways, etc.), vaccinations, grading, stock inventories, and harvest. Any time a fish is handled there is opportunity to inflict direct physical damage or cause stress. As fish become stressed, the overall health of the animals becomes compromised, so stress should be minimized.

Systems Affected: all

Hazard Management:

- Only handle animals when necessary and ensure animals are healthy enough for the handling operation.
- Make sure water quality is within the optimal range for all systems involved with handling operations.
- It is good practice to take fish off feed for 1-2 days before handling (depending on water temperature) to allow food to be cleared from the system. This reduces fish oxygen consumption and metabolite production, providing for more stable water quality conditions during handling.
- Handling surfaces should be cleaned and kept moist to minimize mucous sloughing during handling. If an anesthetic or therapeutic is to be used, use only according to manufacturer or veterinarian guidelines, and provide a space for animal recovery.
- Special considerations may be needed for moving animals off-site, or to new systems, including tempering for changes in temperature, salinity, or pH.

Figure 1: Image showing the influence of stress on fish health

LSU AgCenter
Potential Hazard: variation in fish growth

Mode of Action: As a stock of fish grows, individuals rarely grow uniformly, even when starting at the same length or weight. As fish grow at different rates, problems may emerge such as cannibalism by larger fish on smaller fish, reduced feeding efficiency due to larger fish dominance, or the inability to effectively match feed size to the growing stock. A large disparity in size of fish within a stock also can complicate harvest when fish are usually desired at a fairly uniform size.

Systems Affected: all

Hazard Management:

- As a stock of fish grows it is important to take inventory routinely of the length and weight of the fish. This allows for an assessment of health and condition, and will also allow for management decisions in terms of feed size, rate, and timing of production steps.
- Knowing the size and number of fish also allows management of the stocking density of the system, so that overstocking can be avoided before it happens.
- Periodic grading is recommended to help prevent cannibalism and to separate size classes within a stock to optimize feed efficiency and growing conditions.
- Record keeping of all inventory data is invaluable to project growth and harvest, feed requirements, and can be important in determining when and where problems may have originated.
**Potential Hazard: equipment failure**

**Mode of Action:** The equipment used to provide the optimal conditions for animal growth and production efficiency can fail resulting in all or partial loss of animal stocks. Common reasons for equipment failure include: normal wear and tear, loss of power, loss of control systems, exceeding design capacity and human error.

**Systems Affected: all**

**Hazard Management:**

- It is important that facility designs and equipment selected is sufficient to handle the maximum load or biomass expected in addition to an appropriate safety buffer.
- All critical systems should be identified and redundant backup systems installed. When selecting backup systems it is important to consider if all components will function in the event there is no power.
- It is also important to establish a routine maintenance plan for all equipment according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.
- A system to track equipment maintenance can be extremely useful.
- These measures should be reviewed on a regular basis and whenever new equipment is added or facility procedures change.

**Potential Hazard: poor feed quality**

**Mode of Action:** Use of poor quality or inappropriate feed leads to lower profits, increased waste production, and can lead to poor health due to nutritional deficiencies.

**Systems Affected: all**

**Hazard Management:**

- It is important to seek out and utilize the proper diet for the culture species.
- Feed manufacturers can assist and there are fact sheets available for species-specific nutrition for most currently cultured species.
- Ask feed manufacturers about the ratio of digestible protein to digestible energy. Most fish diets contain some level of fishmeal and fish oil; ask how the fishmeal is processed. A low-temperature pasteurized fishmeal costs more but is more digestible and improves the efficiency with which fish utilize the protein and reduces the waste produced.
- For some species feed manufacturers make low phosphorous diets, which can decrease waste concerns.
Potential Hazard: compromised feed in storage

Mode of Action: Improperly stored feed can attract pests and predators. Rodents can move throughout a facility potentially spreading disease in addition to sanitation issues. Improperly stored feed can also lead to decreased shelf life of feed and increased spoilage. Excessive temperatures can reduce the shelf life of feed and increase the decay rate of some vitamins and nutrients. Feeds that have been stored improperly may become less palatable to the fish or even contain toxic molds. Improper storage of live feeds or algae is a particular risk for loss of nutritional quality or microorganism contamination.

Systems Affected: all

Hazard Management:
- Feed should be stored in a designated location that is protected from the elements and preferably climate controlled according to manufacturer’s instructions.
- Open feed bags should be stored in closed containers and spilled feed should be regularly cleaned up to reduce rodents and pests.
- A rodent and pest management plan should be establish to help keep these animals away from stored feed.

Potential Hazard: poor feed management or feed efficiency

Mode of Action: Improper feed management can reduce the feed conversion ratios, increase production costs and result in reduced water quality or environmental concerns.

Systems Affected: all

Hazard Management:
- Once proper feeds are selected, it is important to select the appropriate delivery method and feeding schedule.
- Feed should be delivered at an appropriate rate for the life stage and species of fish. It is important to have a mechanism for monitoring feed delivery, whether automated or by manual observation.
- Underwater video cameras can be utilized to feed to satiation or various scales to feed a known amount of feed per feeding.
- The appropriate feeding strategy is species and system specific. Regardless of the strategy used it is important to keep accurate records of feeding activities and regularly sample the growth of the fish.
- This data can be used to monitor feed conversion ratios, which are lower with greater efficiency, as well as other production performance measures.
- For some species it is important to distribute the feed evenly across the cage to prevent dominant fish from guarding the feed.
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CHAPTER 5
Seaweed Aquaculture in the Northeastern U.S.

Overview

Marine macroalgae (a.k.a. “seaweeds”, “sea vegetables”) and macroalgal extracts have many applications that are utilized in a variety of industries, including food, animal feeds, phycocolloids, ecosystem services, etc. While 99.8% of seaweed aquaculture occurs in China, Korea, Japan, and Chile, market demand for edible seaweeds in North America is estimated at over $35 million. Concerns over biosecurity of food imports and the increasing demand for locally produced food have added to the appeal of cultivating native species of sea vegetables in the U.S.

Seaweed aquaculture is a new and developing industry in the United States. Building upon many decades of research on native species, growers are now gaining invaluable experience in the field as domestic cultivation develops and expands on the North American coast. The summary below covers just a fraction of the information available about macroalgal cultivation and is meant to be a starting point. This chapter will grow, no doubt, along with the seaweed industry. The two species covered in this chapter (Saccharina latissima and Gracilaria tikvahiae) are two types of seaweed that are currently in cultivation on the East Coast of the U.S., though there are several other native species with great economic potential (e.g. “nori” species [Porphyra, Pyropia, and Wildemania], Chondrus crispus, Palmaria palmata, etc.)

This chapter includes an overview of morphology and life cycles for the two species, as well as images of growout culture. Nursery culture has been reviewed in detail in recent publications (see reference list on next page), and, thus, is not covered in this manual.
General Hazard Management Strategies:

- Select a culture site with sufficient current flow and nutrient levels;
- Culture only locally sourced, native strains;
- Out-plant during optimal growing conditions to ensure rapid growth, which can inhibit recruitment of predators, biofouling organisms and other epiphytes;
- Maintain optimal densities to prevent epiphytes and fouling epifauna from colonizing target crop; and
- Harvest before predators, fouling, and epiphytes become established.
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Seaweed Morphology and Life Cycle

Figure 2: Morphology and life cycle of Gracilaria tikvahiae.
Seaweed Cultivation Systems

Figure 3. A basic design of the kelp growout system.
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Figure 4. Kelp growout system in use.
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Figure 5. A basic design of the Gracilaria growout system.
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Figure 6: Gracilaria growout system in use.
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Environmental Conditions

Marine macroalgal distribution, growth, and reproductive states are determined by a combination of environmental factors. Environmental conditions of light, day length, temperature, salinity, nutrient levels, turbidity, and water motion (current and wave exposure) are all important factors that vary throughout the year. Many species have seasonal requirements that dictate periods of planting, growth, and harvest, and it is important for the farmer to understand the role that key environmental parameters may play in overall production.

**TEMPERATURE**

Optimal environmental conditions differ between species, and these can be vastly different in New England, where we experience a wide range of seasonal conditions. Most seaweed species can tolerate a wide range of temperatures, but there is a narrower optimal temperature range that will allow for periods of rapid growth and overall health. Kelps (Saccharina latissima, Alaria esculenta, and Laminaria digitata) are cold-temperate to Arctic species, with a typical “winter” growth pattern, with rapid growth from late winter to late spring, and minimal to no growth in summer though this growth pattern may vary based on nutrient concentration in seawater. Gracilaria, on the other hand, are warm temperate species, with a “summer” growth season, from late spring to fall.

Temperature can vary greatly with depth and site, and the farmer should observe temperature throughout the year. There are several options for monitoring temperature. Small reusable underwater temperature data loggers can be placed throughout the water column and will constantly record temperature over time, allowing the farmer to create a record of temperature at a particular site for a range of depths and over seasons. Digital thermometers can also be used for on-site monitoring of temperature. A Niskin bottle can be used to sample water below the surface, or a homemade “water scoop” can be made from a section of PVC pipe attached to a line. Surface seawater temperature data can also be obtained from nearby buoys, found online at the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Data Buoy Center.
Temperature is one of the most important environmental factors determining the growth of seaweed. It is essential that the seaweed crop is cultivated within appropriate temperature ranges. Extreme or sub-optimal temperatures will stress the crop, leading to greater risk of disease or crop.

**ADVERSE WEATHER**

Extreme weather events can pose a hazard to the farm by causing damage or loss of farm infrastructure, damage or stress to the crop, or by impacting water quality. Farms should be sited in relatively protected areas and designed to withstand storms or high wind events. Good site selection, proper mooring tackle, and frequent mooring inspections should allow farms to withstand most storms.

Flexibility in the design of a farm can help minimize losses. An example of farm risk management would be the ability to bring *Gracilaria* longlines in for storage in holding tanks during major storms, or being able to adjust depth so that kelp lines could be lowered to avoid extreme wave action or runoff events.

Heavy rainfall could impact water quality at a farm site by creating a stressing reduction in salinity, increasing turbidity, or by causing waters to be closed for bacterial contamination. *Gracilaria* has a wide range of salinity tolerance but drastic changes can be stressful, while kelp have a lower tolerance to long periods of exposure to reduced salinities. Farms should be sited in areas with good mixing and away from major sources of freshwater runoff. Line depth can be increased to avoid surface variations.

An increase in turbidity as a result of freshwater runoff can affect underwater light levels and growth as well as damage fronds through abrasion or sedimentation. Turbidity, however, reduces phytoplankton production and *Gracilaria* could benefit from reduced competition. Kelp is generally found in areas of low turbidity, which allows them to grow at greater depths. Turbidity and light penetration of a site can be monitored with a Secchi disc or photometer, which allows the farmer to estimate optimal line depth. Turbidity can change throughout the year, and each site will be different.

Heavy rainfall and extreme storm events can affect water quality by allowing for an increase in harmful bacterial growth. State waters are continually monitored for water quality throughout the year for shellfish harvesting, so these guidelines should be utilized for any harvest of seaweeds for human consumption.
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Table 1. Environmental parameters to consider for kelp (*Saccharina latissima*) and *Gracilaria tikvahiae* culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Saccharina latissima</em></td>
<td>10 - 15 °C</td>
<td>28 - 34 ppt</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gracilaria tikvahiae</em></td>
<td>20 - 28 °C</td>
<td>25 - 33 ppt</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** This table is for guidance only. Parameters will vary with species, strain, and size of organism, and may be dependent on other environmental factors.
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Table 2. Stocking strategies for kelp (*Saccharina latissima*) and *Gracilaria tikvahiae* culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Density</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Saccharina latissima</em></td>
<td>thin blades if crowded*</td>
<td>1 - 3 meters below surface</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gracilaria tikvahiae</em></td>
<td>separate bundles 10 - 20 cm apart or more</td>
<td>0.5 - 1 meter below surface</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Crowding may result in reduced growth rate.
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Biofouling Organisms

Most fouling organisms have a planktonic phase, which allows them to settle anywhere within the water column. When they do settle, cultured seaweed and supporting lines can become covered with tiny organisms that can significantly decrease product quality. Common epibions include animals such as tunicates, hydroids, bryozoans, mussels, worms, amphipods, and plants known as epiphytes. Epiphytes may include both microalgal and macroalgal species, usually with seasonal patterns of abundance. Cultured seaweeds with high growth rates may allow fewer opportunities for epiphyte colonization.

Fouling fauna can be a major problem during the summer months, especially for *Gracilaria*. Colonization of filter feeding tunicates (*Molgula*) and hydroids (*Tubularia*) can inhibit growth by removing organic nutrients from the water column and by competing for space on lines. The presence and abundance of epibions can affected by water temperature, as was observed in Long Island Sound in September 2011 when tunicate biomass was up to 60 gram per one meter of *Gracilaria* longline, but decreased when the water temperature dropped below 20˚C. Besides tunicates and hydroids, other types of animals can utilize *Gracilaria* lines as habitat. A total of eighteen species in fourteen families of fouling organisms were identified at *Gracilaria* open water farms in Long Island Sound and the Bronx River Estuary including *Ampithoe vailida*, *Ampithoe longimana*, *Corophium insidiosum*, *Jassa falcate*, *Unciola irrorata*, *Leptocherius pinguis*, *Caprella penatis*, *Idotea balthica*, *Carcinus maenas*, *Hemigrapsus sanguineus*, *Semibalanus balanoides*, *Balanus improvises*, *Paleomonetes sp.*, *Molgula manhattensis*, *Tubularia* sp., *Eubranchus exiguous*, *Phascolopsis gouldii*, and *Nereis pelagica*.

There are several methods available to minimize fouling. These include controlling depth, stocking density, and out-planting or harvest time. Increasing stocking density and maximizing growth rates will allow the fronds to outcompete or exclude potential fouling organisms. Depth can be adjusted, either up or down, to minimize settlement or survival of particular organisms, which tend to be more abundant at a particular depth. By understanding the life cycle of the fouling organism and the specific nature of the fouling community at each farm, the farmer can time the planting and harvest of the crop to potentially avoid these “fouling windows”.

A list and description of marine biofouling organisms are provided in Chapter 3, see ‘biofouling’.
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Predators

Marine macroalgae provide both food and habitat for many organisms, including herbivorous predators. Herbivores cause physical damage through grazing, the effects of which are unsightly and can result in general weakening of fronds, increasing susceptibility to storm damage. The main herbivores in the Northeast are various types of snails and the green sea urchin, though various types of isopods and amphipods can be found at times grazing on seaweeds.

Herbivores settle directly from planktonic stages onto seaweed fronds and lines or can migrate up into fronds if the crop comes into contact with the sea floor. The farmer should plant or harvest around these “settlement windows” to avoid heavy infestation, and lines should be located at a sufficient depth to avoid touching the sea floor at lowest tide.

Potential hazards:

- molluscs
- echinoderms
MOLLUSCS

Name: banded chink snail, northern Lacuna (Lacuna vincta)

Mode of action: Lacuna vincta (Montagu) is a small herbivorous snail that prefers to graze upon kelp species, but can also be found on other red, brown, and green seaweed species in intertidal and subtidal zones, usually in summer and fall. Their extensive grazing can impact blade quality and strength by creating full-thickness perforations or partial-thickness excavations in the blade. Other types of snails can occasionally also be found on kelp, including the common periwinkle (Littorina littorea), common tortoise limpet (Testudinalia testudinalis), and the lunar dovesnail (Astyris lunata), though A. lunata is believed to feed upon encrusting ascidians and bryozoans, not on seaweed fronds.

Species affected:
- kelp (Saccharina latissima)

Hazard Management:
- Culture kelps during the winter season and harvest in spring before settlement occurs
**ECHINODERMS**

**Name:** green sea urchin (*Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*)

**Mode of action:** Green sea urchins are voracious consumers of kelp and other seaweeds and can cause significant damage if they have access to seaweed lines. Larval urchins can settle onto seaweed from the plankton, or they can climb lines in contact with the sea floor. Green sea urchins are distributed from the Arctic to Cape Cod and are occasionally found south to New Jersey in intertidal and subtidal areas.

**Species affected:**
- kelp (*Saccharina latissima*)

**Hazard Management:**
- Keep lines from touching the sea floor.
Sources and Further Reading:


Seaweed Production Hazards: Predators
Potential Seaweed Production Hazards

- Environmental Conditions
- Biofouling Organisms
- Predators
- Diseases and Parasites
- Invasive Species
- Operational Procedures
Seaweed diseases can be caused by abiotic (chemical or physical) or biotic (pathogenic) factors, and usually include some combination of both. Environmental stress and disease is caused by poor conditions of light, nutrients, and temperature, while pathogenic factors can include bacterial, viral, or fungal infections. Many of the seaweed diseases have been reported from other countries, which may or may not be applicable for US farms. Most disease is caused by physiological stress, so the best management strategies will optimize culture conditions of light, nutrients, density, and temperature.

**Potential hazards:**

- bacteria
- endophytes
- fungi
ROT DISEASE

Name: white, black, or green rot

Mode of action: Kelp diseases include different types of “rot” characterized by unhealthy discoloring and eventual decay of tissue. “Rots” are most commonly caused by poor environmental conditions, including high light levels, high temperatures, and low nutrients, combined with enhanced microbiological activity. Spot rot, or lesions, can occur in tissue, where fronds have been damaged and colonized by pathogenic bacteria or entire fronds can decay as a result of stress and bacterial growth. While these diseases are usually described for kelp, other types of seaweed can similarly be affected.

“White rot” disease is characterized by a yellowing of the frond, which will eventually fade to white and disappear as the frond decays. Deterioration will start in the apical portion of the blade and spread downward if conditions are not improved. It is caused by high light levels coupled with low available nitrogen. This condition is usually described for juveniles in the nursery stage, and is corrected by discarding the affected culture, thoroughly cleansing the system with bleach and freshwater, and starting again.

“Green rot” occurs on kelp lines in the sea, and is caused by insufficient illumination. Tissue will soften and turn green, signaling the onset of decay. A “black rot” disease has been described for giant kelp (Macrocystis pyrifera), caused by high temperatures. Other general signs of decay and disease in kelp tissues have been observed during the high temperature, low nutrient level conditions of summer months and as a result of excessive sedimentation covering blades.

Species affected:
- various species of kelp

Hazard Management:
- Increase depth of culture lines to reduce light intensity, provide optimal culture conditions (white rot).
- Raise kelp lines to increase illumination, or thin plants to relieve shading (green rot).
- Harvest before excessive summer temperatures, or lower lines to colder depths (black rot).
TWISTING DISEASE (=TWISTED BLADE, TWISTED FROND, OR TWISTED STIPE DISEASE)

Mode of action: There are several types of disease that can cause kelp stipes and blades to develop abnormal twists and bends. Two different types of this disease have been reported from China: the twisted blade disease and the twisted frond disease. The twisted blade disease causes blades to twist and wrinkle, and is thought to be caused by exposure to excessive light or currents.

The twisted frond disease causes swollen stipes, twisted, roughened fronds, and thickened holdfasts. This disease tends to occur in areas with low current flow (less than 10cm/sec) with insufficient nutrient levels, and is caused by a mycoplasma-like organism.

This “twisting” phenomenon has been observed in kelp in Maine, but the actual cause is uncertain. In the north Atlantic, twisted stipes and deformations were caused by brown algal endophytes in Saccharina latissima.

Species affected:
- kelp (Saccharina latissima)

Hazard Management:
- Increase depth of culture lines to reduce light intensity (twisted blade)
- Remove all infected individuals,
- Improve culture conditions
ENDOPHYTES

Name: Brown algal endophytes, “dark spot disease”, Streblonema disease caused by:
- Laminariocolax aecidioides (previously Streblonema aecidioides)
- L. tomentosoides
- L. elsbetiae

Mode of action: While there have been few studies on the prevalence or role of algal endophytic pathogens in marine algae, endophytes have been observed to cause disease in native Atlantic kelp populations. Endophytic brown algae of the ectocarpalean order can attach and penetrate healthy tissue, and are responsible for deformations and dark spots on the thallus and spiraling and warts on the stipes of kelps.

Species affected:
- kelp (Saccharina latissima)

Hazard Management:
- Remove and discard any affected individuals.
- Optimize culture conditions
BLISTER DISEASE

Mode of action: The subtidal kelps are sensitive to sharp changes in salinity, and the tissue will blister if exposed to freshwater. These blisters affect product quality and can lead to tissue decay.

Species affected:
- kelp (Saccharina latissima)

Hazard Management:
- Place culture lines at a sufficient depth to avoid freshwater run-off.
**MARINE FUNGI**

**Name:** stipe blotch disease; species reported to infect Laminariales (kelp species) in the Atlantic include:

- **Ascomycetes:** Phycomelaina laminariae, causes "stipe blotch disease". Infects the meristematic tissue of the stipe of Saccharina latissima, forming black patches
- **Oomycetes:** Petersenia sp., Pleotrichelus minutus, Labyrinthomyza sauvageau
- various unknown species

**Mode of action:** Marine fungi penetrate algal tissue and can result in overall reduced health, legions, necrotic tissue, blotchiness, blackened patches, and contortions. There are probably many different species that can cause infection, but only a few have been studied. Large Porphyra farms in Japan have experienced major losses from fungal infection, called "red wasting disease". A few species have been reported for the Atlantic kelps.

**Species affected:**
- various species of kelp

**Hazard Management:**
- Observe crop for signs of infections,
- Remove and discard all infected tissue
References and Further Reading:


FAO (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations), 1998. Chapter 9: Site Selection for Kelp (*Laminaria japonica* Aresch) in Culture of Kelp (*Laminaria japonica*) in China. Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, FAO.


Potential Seaweed Production Hazards

Environmental Conditions
Biofouling Organisms
Predators
Diseases
Invasive Species
Operational Procedures
Invasive Species

Non-native and invasive species of non-target seaweeds have been increasing in frequency with climate change. Some invasive species have a similar appearance to target seaweed species and present a risk to aquaculture operations and the natural environment. These species are included in Chapter 3 under “biofouling”. Additional information on non-native and invasive species can be found online at the website of the Northeast Aquatic Nuisance Species Panel, and references can be found on the next page.

Potential hazards:
- Codium fragile
- Gracilaria vermiculophylla
- Grateloupia turuturu
- Heterosiphonia japonica
References and Further Reading:


Seaweed Production Hazards: Invasive Species
Potential Seaweed Production Hazards

- Environmental Conditions
- Biofouling Organisms
- Predators
- Diseases
- Invasive Species
- Operational Procedures
Operational Procedures

The operational procedures of a farm can greatly impact its productivity. Good management practices influence a crop’s growth, survival, and marketability. It is important for a farmer to identify, address, and modify (if and when necessary) all operational procedures affecting overall productivity.

Operational procedures will vary by farm setup but the following general guidelines should be considered:

- Record keeping is extremely important on many levels including: Log of activities and observations, environmental conditions, production schedules, business planning, regulatory compliance, and trouble shooting
- Establish standard operating procedures (SOPs) for every practice or routine to ensure streamlined and efficient operations.

Specific hazards:

Maintenance Handling and transport
MAINTENANCE

The longline system should be checked on a regular basis to ensure that there is no loss or damage from storms, vandalism, or passing boats. Kelp (*Saccharina latissima*) can develop hollow stipes, which will float the line at the surface. If this occurs, weights must be added to move the fronds away from the surface or tissue will be damaged through desiccation and UV exposure.

Hazard Management:
- For kelp, thin blades if lines get too crowded.
- For *Gracilaria*, increase the distance between bundles if lines get too crowded or harvest excess growth by trimming the outer portion of the fronds allowing fronds to re-grow.
- Adjust depth of lines when necessary.

HANDLING AND TRANSPORT

Handling and transport of seed-string from a nursery setting to an open-water cultivation system must be conducted with care and preparation. Out-planting should be conducted during the optimal growing season to ensure success of the crop. Juveniles, or “seed”, should be shaded from full sunlight and protected from extreme temperatures.

Hazard Management:
- Kelp seed-string is comprised of juvenile kelp and generally out-planted when plants are 1-2 mm in length.
- Seed spools should be handled with care to protect the small blades from stress or exposure when transferring from the lab to farm site.
- Spools can be moved in small, sealed containers in 10°C seawater, minimizing exposure and movement.
- Blades are sensitive to exposure and should be protected from sunlight, freezing, or drying during transplanting.
- *Gracilaria* is a stress tolerant species, but extreme changes in light, salinity or temperature can stress a culture unit and reduce growth and production.
Sources and Further Reading:


APPENDIX 1.
Instructions for Aquaculture Hazard Analysis
The following instructions are designed to help the farmer work through the Northeast Aquaculture Management Guide to identify the potential hazards associated with the operation, and to develop a plan to manage for them. The hazard analysis, when completed, will serve as the basis for a business risk management plan.

Before beginning the hazard analysis, the farmer should review the introduction to this manual, and the relevant chapters and appendices that identify hazards and information associated with production of their product. It is important that the hazard analysis process and management plan accurately reflects the business’ products and procedures as is practical. Furthermore, it is recommended that the plan be re-evaluated annually to ensure that it continues to be representative of actual on-farm procedures. A large component in any plan to identify and mitigate aquaculture production related hazards is to ensure that correct information is collected at the appropriate times.

To complete the hazard analysis process, use the templates provided in Appendix 2, and follow these steps:

**Step 1. Complete the Business Description Form:**
Enter business contact information and describe the aquaculture product.

**Step 2. Create a Production Flow Chart:**
Draw a schematic of the production process.

**Step 3. Complete the Hazard Analysis and Production Risk Management Forms**
- Column 1. List each step of the production process.
- Column 2. Select the potential hazards that may occur at each production step.
- Column 3. Explain how each particular hazard affect production.
- Column 4. Identify management strategies for each hazard.
- Column 5. Describe the monitoring plan.
  - i. Identify what will be measured/monitored.
  - ii. Identify how the parameter will be measured/monitored.
  - iii. Identify when the parameter will be measured/monitored.
  - iv. Identify who will do the work.
- Column 6. Describe what corrective action will be taken if critical limits are exceeded.
- Column 7. Describe what will be done to verify that the process is working.
- Column 8. List specific records that will be kept to facilitate decision-making.
APPENDIX 2.
Aquaculture Production Hazard Analysis Forms
Form 1. Business and Product Description

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete this form with information about the business operator and the final cultured product.

**BUSINESS INFORMATION:**

Business Name: ___________________________  Contact Person: ___________________________

Business Address: ___________________________

City: ___________________  State: ___________  Zip: _______________________

Phone: ___________________  Email: ______________________

**PRODUCT INFORMATION:**

Market/scientific name of product (e.g. eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*): ___________________________

Describe Finished Product: (e.g. live, whole, unshucked) ___________________________

Source, Type and Size of Seedstock/Fry/Fingerlings: (e.g. Joe’s Oyster Hatchery, 8mm seed) __________________

Production Method: (e.g. nursery and growout culture in ADPI bags) ___________________________

Intended Use and Consumer: e.g. (human consumption, direct sales to consumer at farm markets) __________________
Form 2. Production Flow Chart

INSTRUCTIONS: Create a schematic of the operation with the steps listed in sequential order. Briefly describe the individual steps. Always begin with source water management and broodstock (or seedstock) source management. See examples at the end of this appendix.
Form 3. Hazard Analysis Worksheet

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Complete this form. Make additional copies if necessary (e.g. if there are 9 business steps, you will need 3 sheets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) List production steps individually from the Production Flow Chart:</th>
<th>(2) Select the potential hazards at this step</th>
<th>(3) Explain how these hazards affect production (see MANUAL)</th>
<th>(4) List appropriate hazard management strategies (see MANUAL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step:</td>
<td>Invasives</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Environmental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step:</td>
<td>Diseases</td>
<td>Predators</td>
<td>Biofouling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix 2: Aquaculture Production Hazard Analysis Forms
### Form 4. Production Risk Management Plan

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Complete this form. Make additional copies if necessary (e.g. if there are 9 business steps, you will need 3 sheets).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List production Step</th>
<th>What</th>
<th>How</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Who</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(6) Corrective Action</th>
<th>(7) Verification</th>
<th>(8) Record Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(What is done when item monitored is not as expected; e.g. adjust temperature, call aquatic animal health professional)</td>
<td>(What is done to ensure that the corrective action has resulted in return to normal)</td>
<td>(e.g. temperature logbook)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 1. Shellfish Hatchery Flow Chart

1. Receive broodstock
2. Brookstock conditioning
3. Spawning
4. Larval rearing
5. Setting
6. Post-set rearing
7. Harvest/Transport

- Water Source Management: preparation, cleaning
- Algae production: Facility Maintenance
- Animal Maintenance: grading, culling, remove mortalities
- Gear Maintenance: washing, rotating, repairing, inspection
- Facility Maintenance: preparation, cleaning
Example 2. Shellfish Growout Flow Chart

1. **Water Source Management**
   - Facility Maintenance: preparation, cleaning

2. **Seedstock Source Management**

3. Recieve hatchery seed

4. **Animal Maintenance**: grading, culling, remove mortalities

5. **Short term seed holding**

6. **Deploy seed in cages for growout**
   - Facility Maintenance: preparation, cleaning

7. **Gear Maintenance**: washing, rotating, repairing, inspection

8. **Harvest**

9. **Process**

10. **Transport**
Example 3. Finfish Hatchery Flow Chart

Legend

- Fish flow
- Water flow
- Oxygen flow
Example 4. Marine Finfish Net Pen Flow Chart

- **Water Source Management**
- **Fish Source Management**
- **Transport fish from source to marine net pen**
- **Animal Maintenance: feeding, monitoring growth and FCR; grading; regular health inspections; remove mortalities**
- **Transfer fish from transport to net pen**
- **Growout**
- **Gear Maintenance: (including net changes)**
- **Harvest**
- **Process**
- **Transport**
Example 5. Recirculating Aquaculture System Flow Chart

1. Produce fertilized eggs on site
2. Receive fertilized eggs from outside certified
3. Eggs disinfected
4. Eggs hatched in hatching system
5. Fry sourced externally from approved provider and shipped to location
6. Fry placed in quarantine and/or prophylactic treatment
7. Fry graded and placed in juvenile growout system
8. Fingerlings placed in quarantine and/or prophylactic treatment
9. Fingerlings sourced externally from approved provider and shipped to location
10. Fry are transferred to nursery system prior to first feeding
11. Fry are fed and monitored daily through larval period
12. Fry graded and placed in juvenile growout system
13. Fish transferred to growout system
14. Animal Maintenance: Fish fed daily, monitored and graded as necessary
15. Fish transferred to purging prior to harvest if necessary
16. Harvest
17. Transport to market/processor
18. Internally process to market
Example 5. Finfish Pond Culture Flow Chart
APPENDIX 3.

Aquatic Animal Health Professional/ State Aquaculture Coordinator Contact List

**Connecticut**

State Shellfish Pathologist (SHELLFISH)
Connecticut Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Aquaculture
P.O. Box 97, Milford, CT 06460
Contact: Inke Sunila
(203) 874-0696
Email: dept.agric@snet.net

Connecticut Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (FINFISH)
University of Connecticut, 61 North Eagleville Rd., U-3089, Storrs, CT 06269
Contact: Sal Frasca
(860) 486-3738
Email: CVMDL@uconn.edu

Fish Health Laboratory (FINFISH)
Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
Contact: Richard Van Nostrand
(860) 673-3695
Email: richard.vannostrand@ct.gov

**Delaware**

(none known at this time)

**Maine**

Fish Vet Group (FINFISH)
350 Commercial Street, Portland, ME 04101-5597
Contact: Jason Collins
(207) 699-5902
Email: jason.collins@fishvetgroup.com

Kennebec River Biosciences (SHELLFISH, FINFISH)
41 Main Street, Richmond, ME 04357
Contact: Cem Giray
(207) 737-2637 x207
Email: cgiray@kennebecbio.com

University of Maine Animal Diagnostic Laboratory (FINFISH)
5735 Hitchner Hall
Orono, Maine 04469-5735
Contact: Deborah A. Bouchard
(207) 581-2767
Email: deborah.bouchard@maine.edu

Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife
Maine Department of Marine Resources
Burns Road, Augusta, Maine 04330
Contact: Colby Wells, DVM, Fish Pathologist
(207) 287-2813
Email: colby.wells@maine.gov

Appendix 3: Aquatic Animal Health Professional/ State Aquaculture Coordinator Contact List
Maryland
(none known at this time)

Massachusetts
(none known at this time)

New Hampshire
New Hampshire Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory
(FINFISH)
University of New Hampshire
129 Main Street, Durham, NH 03824
Contact: Inga F. Sidor
(603) 862-2726 or (603) 862-2743
Email: inga.sidor@unh.edu

New Jersey
Haskin Shellfish Research Laboratory (SHELLFISH)
6959 Miller Avenue, Port Norris, NJ 08349
Contact: Lisa Calvo
(856) 785-0074 or cell: (609) 440-4560
Email: calvo@hsrl.rutgers.edu

New Jersey Public Health, Environmental and Agriculture Laboratory (FINFISH)
3 Schwartzkopf Drive
Ewing, NJ 08628
Contact: Amar Patil
(609) 671-6400 or (609) 406-6999
Email: amar.patil@ag.state.nj.us

New York
School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences
(SHELLFISH)
Stony Brook University
Stony Brook, NY 11794-5000
Contact: Bassem Allam
(631) 632 8745
Email: bassem.allam@stonybrook.edu

Fish Disease Diagnostic Laboratory (FINFISH)
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853
Contact: Paul R. Bowser
(607) 253-4029 or lab: (607) 253-4028
Email: prb4@cornell.edu

Pennsylvania
Animal Diagnostic Laboratory (FINFISH)
Penn State University
University Park, PA 16802
Contact: not available at this time
(814) 863-0837
Email: adlhelp@psu.edu

Rhode Island
Aquatic Diagnostic Laboratory (SHELLFISH, FINFISH)
Roger Williams University
One Old Ferry Road, Bristol, RI 02809
Contact: Roxanna Smolowitz
(401) 254-3299 or cell: (508) 566-0379
Email: rsmolowitz@rwu.edu

Vermont
(none known at this time)

Virginia
Shellfish Pathology Laboratory (SHELLFISH)
Virginia Institute of Marine Science
1375 Greate Road, P.O. Box 1346, Gloucester Point, VA 23062
Contact: Ryan Carnegie
(804) 684-7713
Email: carnegie@vims.edu

Washington, D.C.
(none known at this time)

West Virginia
(none known at this time)

USDA Agriculture Research Service
National Cold Water Marine Aquaculture Center
120 Flagg Road, Kingston, RI 02881
Contact: Dina Proestou, Research Geneticist
(207) 422-2700
Email: dina.proestou@ars.usda.gov

Directory of Aquatic Veterinarians and Disease Diagnostic Laboratories
http://www.aquavetmed.info/

Directory of State Aquaculture Coordinators
http://www.nasac.net
APPENDIX 4.

Aquaculture Extension Professional Contact List

Connecticut

Anoushka Concepcion
Connecticut Sea Grant/UCONN Extension
University of Connecticut
1080 Shennecossett Road
Groton, CT 06340-6048
Tel. (860) 405-9105
Fax (860) 405-9109
Email: anoushka.concepcion@uconn.edu

Tessa Getchis
Connecticut Sea Grant/UCONN Extension
University of Connecticut
1080 Shennecossett Road
Groton, CT 06340-6048
Tel. (860) 405-9104
Fax (860) 405-9109
Email: tessa.getchis@uconn.edu

Dr. Robert Pomeroy
Connecticut Sea Grant
University of Connecticut
1080 Shennecossett Road
Groton, CT 06340-6048
Tel. (860) 405-9215
Fax (860) 405-9109
Email: robert.pomeroy@uconn.edu

Delaware

John W. Ewart
Aquaculture & Fisheries Specialist
Delaware Aquaculture Resource Center/Delaware Sea Grant Marine Advisory Service
College of Earth, Ocean, and Environment (CEOE)
University of Delaware
700 Pilottown Road
Lewes, DE 19958
Tel. (302) 645-4060
Fax (302) 645-4213
Email: ewart@udel.edu

Doris T. Hicks
Seafood Technology Specialist
Delaware Sea Grant Marine Advisory Service
College of Earth, Ocean, and Environment (CEOE)
University of Delaware
700 Pilottown Road
Lewes, DE 19958
Tel. (302) 645-4297
Fax (302) 645-4213
Email: dhicks@udel.edu
Tom Rippen  
Sea Grant Seafood Technology Specialist  
30921 Martin Court  
University of Maryland Eastern Shore  
Princess Anne, MD 21853  
Tel. (410) 651-6636  
Fax (410) 651-7656  
Email: terippen@mail.umes.edu

Dr. Daniel E. Terlizzi  
Extension Specialist, Water Quality  
Institute of Marine and Environmental Technology  
701 E. Pratt Street  
Baltimore, MD 21202  
Tel. (410) 234-8837  
Fax (410) 234-8896  
Email: dterlizz@umd.edu

Donald W. Webster  
Eastern Shore Agent  
University of Maryland  
Wye Research & Education Center  
P.O. Box 169  
124 Wye Narrows Drive  
Queenstown, MD 21658  
Tel. (410) 827-5377 x127  
Fax (410) 827-9039  
Email: dwebster@umd.edu

Massachusetts  
Dr. Joseph K. Buttnor  
Assistant Professor  
Department of Biology  
Salem State College  
352 Lafayette Street  
Salem, MA 01970  
Tel. (978) 542-6703  
Fax (978) 542-6863  
Email: joseph.buttnor@salemstate.edu

Dr. Craig Hollingsworth  
University of Massachusetts Extension Aquaculture Program  
Department of Plant, Soil & Insect Sciences  
Agricultural Engineering Building  
University of Massachusetts  
Amherst, MA 01003  
Tel. (413) 545-1055  
Fax (413) 545-5858  
Email: chollingsworth@umext.umass.edu

Diane C. Murphy  
Fisheries & Aquaculture Specialist  
Cape Cod Cooperative/Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Sea Grant  
Barnstable County Deeds & Probate  
PO Box 367  
3195 Main Street  
Barnstable, MA 02630-0367  
Tel. (508) 375-6953 (office)  
Tel. (508) 274-7065 (mobile)  
Fax (508) 362-4923  
Email: dmurphy@barnstablecounty.org

Josh Reitsma  
Marine Program Specialist  
Cape Cod Cooperative Extension/Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Sea Grant  
Barnstable County Deeds & Probate  
PO Box 367  
3195 Main Street  
Barnstable, MA 02630-0367  
Tel. (508) 375-6950  
Email: jreitsma@barnstablecounty.org

New Hampshire  
Dr. Ken La Valley  
Associate Director/Program Leader  
Commercial Fishing/Aquaculture Technology Specialist  
NH Sea Grant Extension/UNH Cooperative Extension  
201 Taylor Hall  
University of New Hampshire  
Durham, NH 03824  
Tel. (603) 862-4343  
Fax (603) 862-0107  
Email: ken.lavalley@unh.edu

Michael Chambers  
Marine Aquaculture Specialist  
NH Sea Grant Extension/UNH Cooperative Extension  
Jere A. Chase Ocean Engineering Lab  
24 Colovos Road  
Durham, NH 03824  
Tel. (603) 862-3394  
Email: michael.chambers@unh.edu
Dr. Dale F. Leavitt  
Aquaculture Extension Specialist  
220 M&NS  
Roger Williams University  
One Old Ferry Road  
Bristol, RI 02809-2921  
Tel. (401) 254-3047 (office)  
Cell (401) 450-2581  
Fax (401) 254-3310  
Email: dleavitt@rwu.edu

Jennifer McCann  
Director of Extension  
Rhode Island Sea Grant  
Graduate School of Oceanography  
University of Rhode Island  
South Ferry Road  
Narragansett, RI 02882  
Tel. (401) 874-6127  
Fax: (401) 874-6920  
E-mail: mccann@crc.uri.edu

Dr. Michael Rice  
Department of Fisheries, Animal & Veterinary Sciences  
University of Rhode Island Fisheries Center  
Woodward Hall, Room 19  
Kingston, RI 02881  
Tel. (401) 874-2943  
Fax (401) 874-7575  
Email: rice@uri.edu

Vermont  
Dr. Jurij Homziak  
Extension Assistant Professor/ Director Lake Champlain Sea Grant  
Lake Champlain Sea Grant Program  
Forest Service Northern Research Station  
705 Spear Street  
University of Vermont  
South Burlington, VT 05403  
Tel. (802) 856-0682  
Email: jurij.homziak@uvm.edu

West Virginia  
Dr. Kenneth Semmens  
West Virginia University  
1052 Agriculture Science Building  
P.O. Box 6108  
Morgantown, WV 26505-6108  
Tel. (304) 293-2657  
Fax (304) 293-6954  
Email: Ken.Semmens@mail.wvu.edu

Regional Leadership  
Dr. Reginal Harrell, Director  
Northeastern Regional Aquaculture Center (NRAC)  
University of Maryland  
2113 Animal Sciences Building  
College Park, MD 20742-2317  
Tel. (301) 405-6511  
Fax. (301) 314-9412  
Email: rharrell@umd.edu

David Alves  
Northeast Region Aquaculture Coordinator  
NOAA Aquaculture Office  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
Northeast Regional Office  
55 Great Republic Drive  
Gloucester, MA 01930  
Tel. (978) 281-9210  
Fax (978) 281-9117  
Email: david.alves@noaa.gov

National Leadership  
Max Mayeaux  
Program Specialist  
Division of Animal Systems  
USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture  
1400 Independence Avenue SW, Stop 2201  
Washington, DC 20250-2201  
Tel. (202) 401-3352  
Fax (202) 401-6156  
Email: mmayeaux@nifa.usda.gov

Dr. Michael Rubino, Director  
NOAA National Aquaculture Program  
1315 East West Highway  
Silver Spring, MD 20910  
Tel. (301) 427-8325  
Email: michael.rubino@noaa.gov
APPENDIX 5.

Educational Resources

General Business and Risk Management (Northeast U.S.)

The following resources may be helpful to the prospective or new aquaculture farmer. Please note that this list is not exhaustive and only includes some of the most common publications. Many of these resources can be borrowed from state or university libraries, or by contacting the local extension office (see Appendix 4 for contact information).


Shellfish Aquaculture (Northeast U.S.)

The following resources may be helpful to the prospective or new aquaculture farmer. Please note that this list is not exhaustive and only includes some of the most common publications. Many of these resources can be borrowed from state or university libraries, or by contacting the local extension office (see Appendix 4 for contact information).

**General Bivalve Shellfish Culture**


**Eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica)**


**Northern quahog (Mercenaria mercenaria)**

Belding, D.L., 1910. A report upon the quahaug and oyster fisheries of Massachusetts including the life history, growth and cultivation of the quahaug (Venus mercenaria), and observations on the set of oyster spat in Wellfleet Bay, Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Conservation, Division of Fish and Game.


**Bay Scallop (Argopecten irradians)**

Belding, D.L., 1910. The scallop fishery of Massachusetts including an account of the natural history of the common scallop. Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Conservation, Division of Fish and Game, Marine Fisheries Service No. 3.


**Softshell clam (Mya arenaria)**


**Blue mussel (Mytilus edulis)**


Finfish Aquaculture (Northeast U.S.)

The following resources may be helpful to the prospective or new aquaculture farmer. Please note that this list is not exhaustive and only includes some of the most common publications. Many of these resources can be borrowed from state or university libraries, or by contacting the local extension office (see Appendix 4 for contact information).


Seaweed Aquaculture (Northeast U.S.)

The following resources may be helpful to the prospective or new aquaculture farmer. Please note that this list is not exhaustive and only includes some of the most common publications. Many of these resources can be borrowed from state or university libraries, or by contacting the local extension office (see Appendix 4 for contact information).


