



**MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURE #1:
PUBLIC EDUCATION AND OUTREACH (Part IV.B.1 General Permit)**

SECTION I. OVERALL EVALUATION:

GENERAL SUMMARY, STATUS, APPROPRIATENESS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF MEASURABLE GOALS:

Include information relevant to the implementation of each measurable goal, such as activities, topics addressed, audiences and pollutants targeted. Discuss activities to be carried out during the next reporting cycle. If addressing TMDL requirements, please indicate rationale for choosing the education activity to address the pollutant of concern.

(Note: Identify parties responsible for achieving the measurable goals and reference any reliance on another entity for achieving measurable goals.)

IV.B.1.b.1	Provide a General Summary of activities implemented to educate your community on how to reduce stormwater pollution. For TMDL affected areas, with stormwater associated pollutants of concern, indicate rationale for choosing the education activity. List materials used for public education and topics addressed. Summarize implementation status and discuss if the activity is appropriate and effective.
------------	--

The University requires all staff employees to attend training sessions annually for the proper handling of contaminants and the proper disposal of contaminants. All employees are reminded that nothing can be disposed into the storm drainage system. These safety sessions and presentations are conducted by the URI Safety and Risk Dept. Messages to educate the community also continued in the school website. The RI NEMO continued to sponsor education programs for all communities of the state. The director and staff are members of the URI community and provide resources for all communities in developing their storm water pollution prevention program and maintaining their program. The parties involved include the URI Utilities Dept., URI Safety and Risk Dept., and the RI NEMO Program.

IV.B.1.b.2	Provide a general summary of how the public education program was used to educate the community on how to become involved in the municipal or statewide stormwater program. Describe partnerships with governmental and non-governmental agencies used to involve your community.
------------	---

The University continued its support with various student groups for campus cleanup activities such as Earth Day events. In what may appear as unrelated to stormwater pollution prevention, the University has entered into a contract for energy savings which includes a behavior change measure. One item discussed with all on-campus students is changing their behavior concerning trash and recycling materials. Any reduction of trash considerably helps the amount of pollution entering the storm water system. URI has constructed the Rhode Island Stormwater Management and treatment Demonstration Facility (RI SDF). This new facility will evaluate BMP structures against manufacturer claims and under environmental conditions prevailing in the state.

Additional Measurable Goals and Activities: Please list all stormwater training attended by your staff during the 2014 calendar year and list the name(s) and municipal position of all staff who attended the training.

Trainings:

Attending name of staff and title: _____
Attending name of staff and title: _____



**MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURE #2:
PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT/PARTICIPATION (Part IV.B.2 General Permit)**

SECTION I. OVERALL EVALUATION:

GENERAL SUMMARY, STATUS, APPROPRIATENESS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF MEASURABLE GOALS:

Include information relevant to the implementation of each measurable goal, such as types of activities and audiences/groups engaged. Discuss activities to be carried out during the next reporting cycle. If addressing TMDL requirements, please indicate rationale for the activities chosen to address the pollutant of concern.

(Note: Identify parties responsible for achieving the measurable goals and reference any reliance on another entity for achieving measurable goals.)

IV.B.2.b.2.ii	Describe audiences targeted for the public involvement minimum measure, include a description of the groups engaged, and activities implemented and if a particular pollutant(s) was targeted. If addressing TMDL requirements indicate how the audience(s) and/or activity address the pollutant(s) of concern. Name of person(s) and/or parties responsible for implementation of activities identified. Assess the effectiveness of BMP and measurable goal.
---------------	---

Audiences targeted include the students living on campus especially the freshman students new to the campus. Others targeted include staff both educational as well as support staff. Activities implemented include the storm drain marking program by the students. Support staff is required to attend annual review sessions on the prohibition of illicit discharges into the storm drainage system and the proper handling and disposal of all materials. Other activities targeted for involvement include the campus wide cleanup to reduce floatables and Earth day activities. Responsible parties include the URI Utilities Dept. Lands and Ground Dept., the Trash and Recycling dept. and the URI Safety and Risk Dept.

Additional Measurable Goals and Activities

SECTION II. Public Notice Information (Parts IV.G.2.h and IV.G.2.i) *Note: attach copy of public notice

Date of Public Notice: 2/19/15	How public was notified: Notice in local newspaper
Was public meeting held? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Date:	Where:
Summary of public comments received: None were received.	
Planned responses or changes to the program: None at this time	



**MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURE #3:
ILLICIT DISCHARGE DETECTION AND ELIMINATION (Part IV.B.3 General Permit)**

SECTION I. OVERALL EVALUATION:

GENERAL SUMMARY, STATUS, APPROPRIATENESS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF MEASURABLE GOALS	
<p>Include information relevant to the implementation of each measurable goal, such as activities implemented (when reporting tracked and eliminated illicit discharges, please explain the rationale for targeting the illicit discharge) to comply with on-going requirements, and illicit discharge public education activities, audiences and pollutants targeted. Discuss activities to be carried out during the next reporting cycle. If addressing TMDL requirements, please indicate rationale for the activities chosen to address the pollutant of concern.</p> <p>(Note: Identify parties responsible for achieving the measurable goals and reference any reliance on another entity for achieving measurable goals.)</p>	
IV.B.3.b.1:	<p>Indicate if the outfall map was not completed, reasons why, proposed schedule for completion of requirement and person(s)/ Department responsible for completion. (The Department recommends electronic submission of updated EXCEL Tables if this information has been amended.)</p> <p>Date of Completion:</p>
<p>The outfall map was completed by the URI Utilities Dept. Outfall Location Tables have been completed and were included with the Year 5 report. The outfall map was updated in 2013 and was submitted to DEM as part of the 2013 report. The updated EXCEL tables will also be submitted (electronically) as part of this report. The Utilities Dept. used the original information from our consultant for the initial outfall map. In 2012 through 2014 the Utility Dept. expanded the list from field observations during inspections and review of plans.</p>	
IV.B.3.b.2	<p>Indicate if your municipality chose to implement the tagging of outfalls activity under the IDDE minimum measure, activities and actions undertaken under the 2014 calendar year.</p>
<p>The University Utilities Dept chose to implement the tagging of outfalls under the IDDE minimum measure and tagged the outfalls in 2008. URI identified one more outfall during 2014.</p>	
IV.B.3.b.3	<p>Provide a summary of the implementation of recording of system additional elements (catch basins, manholes, and/or pipes). Indicate if the activity was implemented as a result of the tracing of illicit discharges, new MS4 construction projects, and inspection of catch basins required under the IDDE and Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping Minimum Measures, and/or as a result of TMDL related requirements and/or investigations. Assess effectiveness of the program minimizing water quality impacts.</p>
<p>The Kingston Campus drainage system and its records were updated during 2014. Some of the updates are a result of new construction work on campus. Areas of new construction included the new Chemistry Building, the Butterfield Dining Hall modifications, the new fraternity house (Sigma Chi). Other catch basins and drainage components were added to control flooding and erosion issues in a number of areas. As noted in previous years more catch basins were discovered as drainage lines are traced and structures are uncovered. As a result of the construction activity and field inspection an additional 33 catch basins and 26 drain manholes were added to our inventory and 11 catch basins and 7 DMH's were removed from the inventory list. The entire drainage system is now recorded in GIS which allows for easier updates in the future. The changes in the quantities were a result of further mapping of the system, inspection of the system and updating changes due to recent construction. In addition to changes found during the field inspections, URI will continue to update the drainage system records as they receive the as-built drawings of the projects completed during the past calendar year. URI's Capital Projects Group provides a status of all projects on campus to the Facilities Dept. and as projects are closed out, the URI Utilities Dept. will then update the drainage records using the as-built drawings as well as any new info discovered during the yearly inspections.</p>	
IV.B.3.b.4	<p>Indicate if the IDDE ordinance was <u>not</u> developed, adopted, and submitted to RIDEM, explain reasons why, submit proposed schedule for completion and identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the completion of this requirement.</p> <p>Date of Adoption:</p> <p>If the Ordinance was amended in 2014, please indicate why changes were necessary.</p>
<p>The University of Rhode Island has not developed this ordinance in the 2014 calendar year. The University owns the entire subject area and controls all activities on their property. The University is a state agency that has policies in place to ensure proper compliance to prohibit and enforce illicit discharges to the MS4. Policy enforcement is through a combination of inspections by Safety and Risk Management and Facilities Services Departments. The SR&M department receives, responds, investigates and files all incidents involving hazmat and other illicit discharge activities that might occur on campus. Investigations, corrective actions and enforcement activities are monitored and implemented through this office. We also conduct annual inspections throughout the campus for potential illicit discharges into the storm and waste water systems. We have developed a Spill Prevention and Containment Plan as required by the EPA that is designed to reduce the potential for illicit discharges into the sanitary and storm water systems.</p>	

ILLCIT DISCHARGE DETECTION AND ELIMINATION cont'd

IV.B.3.b.5.ii, iii, iv, & v	Provide a summary of the implementation of procedures for receipt and consideration of complaints, tracing the source of an illicit discharge, removing the source of the illicit discharge and program evaluation and assessment as a result of removing sources of illicit discharges. Identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement.
All complaints (of any nature) are referred to the URI Control Center. The Control Center will log each call and then notify the appropriate department responsible for the complaint. If the complaint is relative to an illicit discharge to the storm system, the URI Utilities Dept will be responsible to respond to the complaint. The Utilities Dept. will evaluate the complaint, trace the origin of the illicit discharge, ensure that the illicit discharge is stopped immediately and assess if other procedures need to be implemented	
IV.B.3.b.5.vi	Provide summary of implementation of catch basin and manhole inspections for illicit connections and non-stormwater discharges. If the required measurable goal of inspecting all catch basins and manholes for this purpose was not accomplished, please indicate reasons why, the proposed schedule of completion and identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement. Evaluate effectiveness of the implementation of this requirement. The operator must keep records of all inspections and corrective actions required and completed.
During 2014, the URI Utilities Dept. inspected all catch basins that were accessible throughout the Kingston Campus for illicit connections and non-storm water discharges. Approximately 4% (40 catch basins) of the catch basins were not accessible (such as under platforms or large dumpsters) or under construction and were not inspected. The inspections were performed in conjunction with the surveying of the drainage system for inventory of the system and noting condition of the structures. Inspection of the catch basins also help determined which structures were in need of cleaning. URI recorded the inspection results in an Excel database in 2014. Work orders were issued as a result of these inspections. URI will continue to inspect 100% of the accessible catch basins in 2015.	
IV.B.3.b.5.vii	If dry weather surveys including field screening for non-stormwater flows and field tests of selected parameters and bacteria were not completed, indicate reasons why, proposed schedule for the completion of this measurable goal and person(s) / Department and/or parties for the completion of this requirement. Evaluate effectiveness of the implementation of this requirement. The results of the dry weather survey investigations must be submitted to RIDEM electronically, if not already submitted or if revised since 2009, in the RIDEM-provided EXCEL Tables and should include visual observations for all outfalls during both the high and low water table timeframes, as well as sample results for those outfalls with flow. The EXCEL Tables <u>must</u> include a report of <u>all outfalls</u> and indicate the presence or absence of dry weather discharges. Date of Completion:
The University conducted two dry weather surveys in 2013. The University Utilities Dept. performed dry weather surveys on January 31, 2014 and August 8, 2014. In the first survey, flow was noted at twenty of the outfall sites. The origin of the flow in all cases was traced back to ground water or natural flow from wet areas. Flow was observed at five of the outfalls during the August 8, 2014 survey. The results of the surveys are shown in the Year 11 Report. The URI Utilities Dept conducted the surveys.	
IV.B.3.b.7	Provide a description of efforts and actions taken as a result of for coordinating with other physically interconnected MS4s, including State and federal owned or operated MS4s; when illicit discharges were detected or reported. Identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement. Evaluate effectiveness of the implementation of this requirement.
During 2014 the University did not have any issues with illicit discharges associated with other MS4's. The only interconnections with another MS4 are two drainage lines that connect 12 catch basins from the South Kingston MS4 to the URI drainage system.	
IV.B.3.b.8	Provide a description of efforts and actions taken for the referral to RIDEM of non-stormwater discharges not authorized in accordance to Part I.B.3 of this permit or another appropriate RIPDES permit, which the operator has deemed appropriate to continue discharging to the MS4, for consideration of an appropriate permit. Identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement. Evaluate effectiveness of the implementation of this requirement.
The University identified one unauthorized non-storm water discharges during 2014. In the Tootell Gym mechanical room, the sump pump discharges into Ellery Pond. The overflow from the pool can flow to this sump. Plans are being made to re-direct the sump pump discharge into the sanitary sewer system in 2015. In 2014 the University dug test pits and used cameras to determine means to re-route the sump pump from the Tootell pool mechanical room to a sanitary sewer line. The information from these activities is being reviewed by a consulting engineer to formulate plans for this flow diversion.	
IV.B.3.b.9	Provide a description of efforts and actions taken to inform public employees, businesses, and the general public of hazards associated with illegal discharges and improper disposal of waste, as well as allowable non-stormwater discharges identified as significant contributors of pollutants. Include a description on how this activity was coordinated with the public education minimum measure and the pollution prevention/good housekeeping minimum measure programs. Identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement. Evaluate effectiveness of the implementation of this requirement.

ILLICIT DISCHARGE DETECTION AND ELIMINATION cont'd

All of the University's Facility Services personnel must attend annual training on identifying the materials that the employees are exposed, spill prevention plans, spill control procedures and the proper means of material disposal. The University's Safety & Risk Dept. conducts numerous trainings throughout the year in proper disposal of wastes and especially hazardous wastes. All employees working with the waste stream are required to attend re-fresher courses. The Safety and Risk Dept. added another module to their training program to reinforce the fact that dumping anything down a storm drain is a violation of the law and employees could face disciplinary action if they ignore this requirement. Staff employees have been trained to comply with spill control procedures and the proper disposal of waste. A campus wide effort to inform students, staff and visitors was implemented. By directing the lawn mower discharge back into vegetated areas where possible, the University's Lands and Grounds personnel have been can limit the amount of lawn waste from being blown on impervious surfaces where it will flow into the storm drainage system.

All contractors working on campus are required per contract to properly dispose of all waste material and are allowed only permitted discharges into the storm drainage system.

The University's Utilities Dept, The Safety and Risk Dept. and the Office of Capital Projects are tasked to monitor this requirement.

Additional Measurable Goals and Activities

SECTION II.A Other Reporting Requirements - Illicit Discharge Investigation and System Mapping (Part IV.G.2.m)

# of Illicit Discharges Identified in 2014: 0	# of Illicit Discharges Tracked in 2014: 1
# of Illicit Discharges Eliminated in 2014: 0	# of Complaints Received: 0
# of Complaints Investigated: 0	# of Violations Issued: 0
# of Violations Resolved: 0	# of Unresolved Violations Referred to RIDEM: 0
Total # of Illicit Discharges Identified to Date (since 2003): 7	Total # of Illicit Discharges remaining unresolved at the end of 2014: 1
<p>Summary of Enforcement Actions: The university will need to re-pipe the sump discharge from the mechanical room of the Tootell Gym. The sump can collect some of the pool overflow. Currently the pool discharges into a storm drainage line that flows into Ellery Pond. The University has procured the services of a consulting engineer to re-design the discharge of this sump to a proper destination.</p>	
<p>Extent to which the MS4 system has been mapped: 99.% The university continued updating drainage data and maps on GIS during 2014. Areas not mapped are either new projects not documented or a few building connections without any accurate records. Due to the constant changes around campus, the mapping effort will never be complete. At the end of 2014, the campus drainage maps were mapped except for new work that occurred during the past six months. A total of 89 outfalls have been identified which is 5 more outfalls from the previous year total. The increase from the 2013 total is due to field investigations and new construction. URI is committed to making yearly updates to the drainage maps.</p>	
Total # of Outfalls Identified and Mapped to date: 89	

SECTION II.B Interconnections (Parts IV.G.2.k and IV.G.2.l)

Interconnection:	Date Found:	Location:	Name of Connectee:	Originating Source:	Planned and Coordinated Efforts and Activities with Connectee:
24" Storm Drain	2-8-11	Briar Lane	South Kingston	Wetlands south of Briar Lane	Agreed to notify SK Engineer of any issues
12" Storm Drain	2-8-11	Fortin Road	South Kingston	2 Catch Basins on Fortin Road	Agreed to notify SK Engineer of any issues
12" Storm Drain	2-8-11	Chapel Road	South Kingston	10 Catch Basins on Chapel Road	Agreed to notify SK Engineer of any issues



**MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURE #4:
CONSTRUCTION SITE STORMWATER RUNOFF CONTROL
(Part IV.B.4 General Permit)**

SECTION I. OVERALL EVALUATION:

GENERAL SUMMARY, STATUS, APPROPRIATENESS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF MEASURABLE GOALS:	
<p>Include information relevant to the implementation of each measurable goal, such as activities implemented to support the review, issuance and tracking of permits, inspections and receipt of complaints. Discuss activities to be carried out during the next reporting cycle. If addressing TMDL requirements, please indicate rationale for the activities chosen to address the pollutant of concern.</p> <p>(Note: Identify parties responsible for achieving the measurable goals and reference any reliance on another entity for achieving measurable goals.)</p>	
IV.B.4.b.1	<p>Indicate if the Sediment and Erosion Control and Control of Other Wastes at Construction Sites ordinance was not developed, adopted, and submitted to RIDEM, explain reasons why, submit proposed schedule for completion and identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the completion of this requirement.</p> <p>Date of Adoption: If the Ordinance was amended in 2014, please indicate why changes were necessary. Please also indicate if amendments have been made based on the 2010 <i>RI Stormwater Design and Installation Standards Manual</i>, and provide references to the amended portions of the local codes/ordinances.</p>
<p>An ordinance for Sediment and Erosion Control and Control of Other Wastes at Construction Sites ordinance was not developed. The University does not have a mechanism to develop ordinances. The University owns all of the subject area and controls all activities on its properties. The mechanism to ensure proper erosion and sediment controls and control of other wastes is our "General Plans and Specifications" developed for and under the direction of the Office of Capital Projects by an A/E firm. Under Division 2, Site Construction, we require erosion and sediment control as well as the control of other wastes. These requirements are site specific and are developed by the A/E firm for each project. The requirements are enforced and managed by the project manager of each construction project. If the requirements are not met, we impose corrective actions in order to bring the project back into compliance. Failure to comply with the contract requirements results in a breach of contract and is dealt with according to contract law.</p>	
IV.B.4.b.6	<p>Describe actions taken as a result of receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public.</p>
<p>Information from the public would be documented and evaluated by the University with a response provided after the evaluation. In 2014 the University did not receive any information or requests for information from the public.</p>	
IV.B.4.b.8	<p>Describe activities and actions taken as a result of referring to the State non-compliant construction site operators. The operator may rely on the Department for assistance in enforcing the provisions of the RIPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity to the MS4 if the operator of the construction site fails to comply with the local and State requirements of the permit and the non-compliance results or has the potential to result in significant adverse environmental impacts.</p>
<p>The University did not have any referrals to the State for assistance in enforcing any part of RIPDES General Permit for Storm Water Discharge Associated with Construction Activity to this MS4 in 2014.</p>	
<p>Additional Measurable Goals and Activities</p>	

CONSTRUCTION SITE STORMWATER RUNOFF CONTROL cont'd

SECTION II. A - Plan and SWPPP/SESC Plan Reviews during Year 11 (2014), Part IV.B.4.b.2: Issuance of permits and/or implementation of policies and procedures for all construction projects resulting in land disturbance of greater than 1 acre. **Part IV.B.4.b.4:** Review 100% of plans and SWPPPs/SESC Plans for construction projects resulting in land disturbance of 1-5 acres must be conducted by adequately trained personnel and incorporate consideration of potential water quality impacts.

of Construction Reviews completed: 1
Summary of Reviews and Findings, include an evaluation of the effectiveness of the program. Identify person(s) /Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement. The proposed renovation to the Fine Arts Parking Lot was the only new project with plans developed in 2014. The plans were formulated by GRA Associates, accepted by URI Capital projects and URI Facilities and approved by DEM. The procedure to use a consulting engineer to develop the plans with the assistance of URI provides an excellent means to plan a project to deal with the long term ramifications of the development. This project incorporated low impact design elements in the plans to limit water quality impacts.

SECTION II.B - Erosion and Sediment Control Inspections during Year 11 (2014), Parts IV.G.2.n and IV.B.4.b.7: Inspection of 100% of all construction projects within the regulated area that discharge or have the potential to discharge to the MS4 (the program must include two inspections of all construction sites, first inspection to be conducted during construction for compliance of the Erosion and Sediment controls at the site, the second to be conducted after the final stabilization of the site).

# of Site Inspections: 7	# of Complaints Received: 0
# of Violations Issued: 0	# of Unresolved Violations Referred to RIDEM: 0
Summary of Enforcement Actions, include an evaluation of the effectiveness of the program. Identify person(s) /Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement. Final inspections were conducted by URI Utilities Dept. personnel at the Flagg Road Extension, the Plains Lot Expansion, White Hall Lot Modifications and Greenhouse Lot Modifications, Initial construction inspections were performed at the new Chemistry Building Project, the Butterfield Dining Hall Expansion Project and the new Sigma Chi Fraternity Project. Our records do not indicate any complaints at any of these projects.	



**MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURE #5:
POST CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER MANAGEMENT IN NEW DEVELOPMENT AND
REVELOPMENT
(Part IV.B.5 General Permit)**

SECTION I. OVERALL EVALUATION:

GENERAL SUMMARY, STATUS, APPROPRIATENESS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF MEASURABLE GOALS:

Include information relevant to the implementation of each measurable goal, such as activities implemented to support the review, issuance and tracking of permits, inspections and receipt of complaints, etc. Please indicate if any projects have incorporated the use of Low Impact Development techniques. Discuss activities to be carried out during the next reporting cycle. If addressing TMDL requirements, please indicate rationale for the activities chosen to address the pollutant of concern.

(Note: Identify parties responsible for achieving the measurable goals and reference any reliance on another entity for achieving measurable goals.)

IV.B.5.b.5	Describe activities and actions taken to coordinate with existing State programs requiring post-construction stormwater management.
------------	---

Long term BMP maintenance schedules are required to be included as part of the approval process for new development. Maintenance schedules are developed in accordance to the Rhode Island Stormwater design and Installation Standards Manual.

IV.B.5.b.6	Describe actions taken for the referral to RIDEM of new discharges of stormwater associated with industrial activity as defined in RIPDES Rule 31(b)(15) (the operator must implement procedures to identify new activities that require permitting, notify RIDEM, and refer facilities with new stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity to ensure that facilities will obtain the proper permits).
------------	---

There was not any new industrial activity at this MS4 in 20014. Therefore there were no referrals to the State for any new discharges of storm water associated with industrial activity.

IV.B.5.b.9	Indicate if the Post-Construction Runoff from New Development and Redevelopment Ordinance was <u>not</u> developed, adopted, and submitted to RIDEM, explain reasons why, submit proposed schedule for completion and identify person(s) / Department and/or parties responsible for the completion of this requirement. Date of Adoption: If the Ordinance was amended in 2014, please indicate why changes were necessary. Please also indicate if amendments have been made based on the 2010 <i>RI Stormwater Design and Installation Standards Manual</i> , and provide references to the amended portions of the local codes/ordinances.
------------	---

The Post-Construction Runoff from New Development and Redevelopment Ordinance was not developed. The University does not have a mechanism to develop ordinances. The University owns the subject area and controls all activities on its property. The mechanism to ensure proper post construction erosion and sediment controls and control of other wastes post construction is also our "General Plans and Specifications" developed for and under the direction of the Office of Capital Projects by an A/E firm. Under Division 2, Site Construction, we require erosion and sediment control as well as the control of other wastes. Post construction requirements are included in the storm water prevention plans developed for each project by the A/E firm. The requirements are enforced and managed by the project manager of each construction project in conjunction with our own certified inspector. If the requirements are not met, we impose corrective actions in order to bring the project back into compliance. Failure to comply with the contract requirements results in a breach of contract and is dealt with according to contract law.

IV.B.5.b.12	Describe activities and actions taken to identify existing stormwater structural BMPs discharging to the MS4 with a goal of ensuring long term O&M of the BMPs.
-------------	---

A list of BMPs was formulated in the Drainage Master Plan of 2006. In 2008, the list of BMPs was updated to include new BMPs since the Master Drainage Plan was developed. The procedure to add new BMPs and delete the BMP's removed during new construction is an annual task for the Utilities Dept. The Utilities Dept. updates the maintenance requirements for each new BMP. Each year the University updates this list as new work is completed on campus. In 2014 the number of BMP's increased and the updated list is included with the report. The BMP list increased due to a number of projects completed in the past year. The University uses the BMP list to schedule BMP maintenance.

Additional Measurable Goals and Activities

POST CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER MANAGEMENT IN NEW DEVELOPMENT AND REDEVELOPMENT
cont'd

SECTION II.A. - Plan and SWPPP/SESC Plan Reviews during Year 11 (2014), Part IV.B.5.b.4: Review 100% of post-construction BMPs for the control of stormwater runoff from new development and redevelopment projects that result in discharges to the MS4 which incorporates consideration of potential water quality impacts (the program requires reviewing 100% of plans for development projects greater than 1 acre, not reviewed by other State programs).

of Post-Construction Reviews completed: 1
Summary of Reviews and Finding, include an evaluation of the effectiveness of the program. Identify person(s) /Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement.
The URI Utilities Dept conducted post construction plan review for the proposed renovation of the Fine Arts. The plan was developed by GRA Associates and was reviewed and accepted by DEM. The proposed plan was reviewed by a number of departments at URI including the Office of Capital Projects, URI Utilities Dept. and URI Lands and Grounds Dept. The review by the various departments provided an opportunity for all departments to comment and make suggestions to improve the overall plans prior to any construction.

SECTION II.B. - Post Construction Inspections during Year 11 (2014), Parts IV.G.2.o and IV.B.5.b.10 - Proper Installation of Structural BMPs: Inspection of BMPs, to ensure these are constructed in accordance with the approved plans (the program must include inspection of 100% of all development greater than one acre within the regulated areas that result in discharges to the MS4 regardless of whom performs the review).

# of Site Inspections: 19	# of Complaints Received: 0
# of Violations Issued: 2	# of Unresolved Violations Referred to RIDEM: 0
Summary of Enforcement Actions:	
No enforcement actions were required. The URI Utilities Dept. conducted post construction inspections of the new BMP's recently constructed at the Flagg Road Extension Project, the Plains Road Parking Lot Expansion Project, the White Hall Parking Lot Project and the Greenhouse Parking Lot Project. URI noted a swale needing to be re-graded at the White Hall Lot and a detention basin requiring slope stabilization at the Flagg Road Extension. Both items were corrected by the contractor.	

SECTION II.C. - Post Construction Inspections during Year 11 (2014), Parts IV.G.2.p and IV.B.5.b.11 - Proper Operation and Maintenance of Structural BMPs: Describe activities and actions taken to track required Operations and Maintenance (O&M) actions for site inspections and enforcement of the O&M of structural BMPs. Tracking of required O&M actions for site inspections and enforcement of the O&M of structural BMPs.

# of Site Inspections: 56	# of Complaints Received: 0
# of Violations Issued: 0	# of Unresolved Violations Referred to RIDEM: 0
Summary of Activities and Enforcement Actions. Evaluate the effectiveness of the Program in minimizing water quality impacts. Identify person(s) /Department and/or parties responsible for the implementation of this requirement.	
The Utilities Dept. conducted inspections of the BMP's completed during the last ten years. Work orders were issued to the Lands & Grounds Dept. for required maintenance where noted. There was one item noted which required a contractor to provide remedial work to stop erosion in a new settling basin. The inspections provide a good mechanism to identify potential problems (such as flooding risks to buildings) in addition to the environmental concerns. Work orders are generated as a result of these inspections.	



**MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURE #6:
POLLUTION PREVENTION AND GOOD HOUSEKEEPING IN MUNICIPAL OPERATIONS
(Part IV.B.6 General Permit)**

SECTION I. OVERALL EVALUATION:

GENERAL SUMMARY, STATUS, APPROPRIATENESS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF MEASURABLE GOALS:	
<p>Include information relevant to the implementation of each measurable goal, such as activities and practices used to address on-going requirements, and personnel responsible. Discuss activities to be carried out during the next reporting cycle. If addressing TMDL requirements, please indicate rationale for the activities chosen to address the pollutant of concern.</p> <p>(Note: Identify parties responsible for achieving the measurable goals and reference any reliance on another entity for achieving measurable goals.)</p>	
IV.B.6.b.1.i	<p>Describe activities and actions taken to identify structural BMPs owned or operated by the small MS4 operator (the program must include identification and listing of the specific location and a description of all structural BMPs in the SWMPP and update the information in the Annual Report). Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.</p> <p>Initial identification of the structural BMPs was done in the 2006 Master Drainage Plan. In 2008 the Utilities Dept. started updating the list of BMPs. The list of maintenance activities are sent to the URI Control Center for regular scheduling of maintenance and inspection. The University updated the BMP List in 2014 and the latest list is included in this report. The updated list is a result of field inspections of the campus and review of existing drawings and new construction drawings. The list of BMP's is a handy reference for scheduling work activities.</p>
IV.B.6.b.1.ii	<p>Describe activities and actions taken for inspections, cleaning and repair of detention/retention basins, storm sewers and catch basins with appropriate scheduling given intensity and type of use in the catchment area. Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.</p> <p>Catch Basin cleaning is performed each spring and early summer at areas known to require yearly cleanings. In 2014, the University had a total of 461 catch basins cleaned. The University inspected 100% of the accessible catch basins during calendar year 2014. The 40 catch basins not inspected were either inaccessible or under construction. URI will continue to inspect 100% of the accessible catch basins each year until our records can provide the require data to reduce the inspections. URI also removed sediment from the north end of Ellery Pond and a portion of White Horn Brook as allowed in the maintenance permit. URI has noted the added benefit of less flooding in areas where the drainage system has been properly maintained.</p>
IV.B.6.b.1.iii	<p>Describe activities and actions taken to support the requirement of yearly inspection and cleaning of all catch basins (a lesser frequency of inspection based on at least two consecutive years of operational data indicating the system does not require annual cleaning might be acceptable). Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.</p> <p>Total # of CBs within regulated area (including SRPW and TMDL areas): <u>923</u></p> <p>Total # of CBs inspected in 2014: <u>883</u></p> <p>Total # of CBs cleaned in 2014: <u>461</u></p> <p>The University inspected 100% of the accessible catch basins during 2014 . The University cleaned 50% of all catch basins. If the total catch basin quantity was reduced to catch basins with sumps only, the total percentage of catch basins cleaned would be over 64%. Catch Basins that were not inspected included basins that were inaccessible due to equipment placed on them or under construction or modification. The University recorded the inspections on an Excel spreadsheet. The University cleans catch basins in certain areas of the campus known to require annual catch basin cleaning. The University also uses the results of the yearly inspections to identify other areas of the campus where catch basins require cleaning. The University intends to continue using the inspection results as a major factor in determining which catch basins to clean. Inspection of the drainage structures is performed by the URI Utilities Dept. The actual catch basin cleaning is performed by a contractor.</p>
IV.B.6.b.1.iv	<p>Describe activities and actions taken to minimize erosion of road shoulders and roadside ditches by requiring stabilization of those areas. Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.</p>

POLLUTION PREVENTION AND GOOD HOUSEKEEPING IN MUNICIPAL OPERATIONS cont'd

In 2014, the University worked in a number of areas to minimize erosion of road shoulders and sloped areas throughout the campus.

Additional Improvements included the following:

- A new drain line was installed, a catch basin replaced and new curbing was installed on the east side of Davis Road at the NW corner of the main Quad. The curbing was installed to limit erosion from the Quad flowing into Davis Road and the closed drainage system.
- The URI Lands and Grounds Dept. installed new berms along the walkways southwest of the Carothers Library to limit erosion along the walkways. With the new berms installed soil erosion in this area has been significantly reduced.
- The area just east of the College of Pharmacy Medicinal Gardens was stabilized and new plantings were installed. This work was done by mostly by a volunteer group under the direction of University personnel.
- The contractor was required to perform remedial work on the new drainage basins at the Flagg Road Extension. Runoff from the adjacent turf farm was cutting channels in the embankments of the new basin.
- At the main Quad of the University, turf was installed in a significant amount of area where the existing turf was missing.
- Turf was also installed in an area west of Fine Arts to minimize erosion in this area.
- The area east of Bressler Hall was rebuilt, drainage added, swales installed and berms installed to control runoff in this area.
- Areas adjacent to the new Wellness Center were modified to limit erosion in the area.

Most roadways throughout campus have curbs to minimize erosion. Swales and ditches are also used to limit erosion of road side shoulders. Areas that have been disturbed by winter activities are repaired and seeded in the spring. The University has been making a concerted effort to funnel pedestrian traffic to remain on walkways and have been trying to restrict pedestrian traffic from shortcuts across landscaped areas. Other areas that could be subject to erosion are being identified to be addressed in 2015. The effects of repaired areas are readily apparent with less eroded material accumulating in the roads and drainage system. The University also reviewed areas modified over the past six years and noted that the past shoulder modifications have been functioning well.

IV.B.6.b.1.v	Describe activities and actions taken to identify and report known discharges causing scouring at outfall pipes or outfalls with excessive sedimentation, for the Department to determine on a case-by-case basis if the scouring or sedimentation is a significant and continuous source of sediments. Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.
--------------	---

During the annual inspection of outfalls, the outfalls are inspected for scouring and excessive sedimentation. Areas that are in need of repair are reported to the URI Control Center and a work order is generated. There were six outfalls identified in 2014 where there was extensive sedimentation. These outfalls were cleaned up by the URI Lands & Grounds Dept. The inspections of the outfalls are not only a requirement but provide a tremendous tool to identify potential storm water flow issues prior to a significant rain event.

IV.B.6.b.1.vi	Indicate if all streets and roads within the urbanized area were swept annually and if not indicate reason(s). Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement. Total roadway miles within regulated area (including SRPW and TMDL areas): <u> 4 </u> Total roadway miles that were swept in 2014: <u> 4 </u>
---------------	--

All roadways and most parking lots are swept each spring to remove sand and sediment as a result of winter storms. Parking lots not swept such as porous pavement parking lots are vacuumed. Additional sweeping of roads also occurs just prior to commencement activities in May as well as needed throughout the year. The work is required not only for runoff concerns but as well as safety issues with bicycles and other modes of transport across campus and for general aesthetics. The sweeping of the roads is performed by outside contractors under the direction of the Lands and Ground Dept. The University uses only a limited amount of sand during the winter months.

IV.B.6.b.1.vii	Describe activities and actions taken for controls to reduce floatables and other pollutants from the MS4. Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.
----------------	--

The vast majority of the floatables encountered was trash. During 2014 the University has increased the number of trash receptacles and recycling containers throughout the campus to reduce floatables and trash from reaching White Horn Brook. Trash and recycle containers were also re-located in some areas to place the containers close to known problem areas. The University also monitors the location and the use of the trash receptacles on campus. Receptacles are re-located if it appears the present location is not readily convenient to the pedestrians. The recycling crew of employees is tasked to check all trash and recycling containers, cleanup spillage around the units, empty the small containers as well as pickup litter throughout the campus. Areas known for having litter problems such as the parking lots and areas adjacent to White Horn Brook have improved dramatically since the recycling crew have been hired. Trash and recycled material are removed at least twice per week and as needed for special events. In the spring a cleanup event is scheduled to cleanup areas throughout the campus and especially along White Horn Brook and the nearby parking lots. In addition cleanup of areas is done by students doing community service volunteer work. The department has publicized the reduced litter on campus and hope that the message will convince all members of the community to be more responsible with their trash. The part time workers in the trash and recycling crews provide coverage seven days per week.

The added efforts to increase recycling has had a noticeable effect on the campus, as recycle tonnage has increased, trash tonnage has decreased and the campus appearance has significantly improved .

POLLUTION PREVENTION AND GOOD HOUSEKEEPING IN MUNICIPAL OPERATIONS cont'd

IV.B.6.b.1.viii	Describe the method for disposal of waste removed from MS4s and waste from other municipal operations, including accumulated sediments, floatables and other debris and methods for record-keeping and tracking of this information.
Sediments removed from drainage structures and ponds (if tests indicate that they are acceptable) are re-used for fill projects throughout the campus. Trash and recyclable materials are trucked off campus. The URI Lands and Grounds Dept. and Utilities Dept. are responsible for this activity. Presently the amount of waste has not been estimated. Sediment waste is estimated by the quantity of full truckloads of sediment removed. URI has not developed a means to track the sediment removed from each drainage structure. Floatables are removed on a regular basis from waterways and adjacent areas, but quantities are not kept. Efforts to limit the litter on campus have shown significant improvement over the past five years. Our outfall inspections noted fewer areas with trash present.	
IV.B.6.b.4 and IV.B.6.b.5	Describe and indicate activities and corrective actions for the evaluation of compliance. This evaluation must include visual quarterly monitoring; routine visual inspections of designated equipment, processes, and material handling areas for evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system or point source discharges to a waters of the State; and inspection of the entire facility at least once a year for evidence of pollution, evaluation of BMPs that have been implemented, and inspection of equipment. A Compliance Evaluation report summarizing the scope of the inspection, personnel making the inspection, major observations related to the implementation of the Stormwater Management Plan (formerly known as a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan), and any actions taken to amend the Plan must be kept for record-keeping purposes.
Quarterly monitoring and routing inspections of the URI Facilities Areas were conducted in 2014. A full inspection of the Facilities Services area of the campus was also performed and is documented in the evaluation report. URI has a SPCC Plan in place. This Facilities Area is monitored on a regular basis and routine walkthroughs occur at least once a month.	
IV.B.6.b.6	Describe all employee training programs used to prevent and reduce stormwater pollution from activities such as park and open space maintenance, fleet and building maintenance, new construction and land disturbances, and stormwater system maintenance for the past calendar year, including staff municipal participation in the URI NEMO stormwater public education and outreach program and all in-house training conducted by municipality or other parties. Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.
The University requires the Facilities Dept staff to attend refresher courses on material handling and proper disposal annually. These courses are conducted by the URI Safety and Risk Dept. The annual refresher courses for the staff, is needed not only per regulations, but it is a useful tool to reinforce the reasons why the regulations are required. Attendees of the material handling safety course have noted some potential issues with disposal of some of their cleaning products. The custodial staff had noted the difficulty emptying their waxing machines in the proper manner. As a result of the safety sessions the University's Safety and Risk Dept is working with the custodial staff to ensure the waste products are not discharged into the storm water system. The training program has also eliminated potential illicit discharges into the storm water system. Inquiries have been made prior to the work starting on acceptable methods of cleaning equipment and acceptable means of disposing the waste water.	
IV.B.6.b.7	Describe actions taken to ensure that new flow management projects undertaken by the operator are assessed for potential water quality impacts and existing projects are assessed for incorporation of additional water quality protection devices or practices. Evaluate appropriateness and effectiveness of this requirement.
RIDEM permitting will be required for all new flow management projects to assess water quality impacts. The University encourages infiltration and groundwater recharge utilization in new projects and re-developments. The new College of Pharmacy, the Hillside Residence Hall, the Wellness & Fitness Center, and the Flagg Road Extension are examples of recent completed projects where multiple water quality practices have been utilized. The new Chemistry Building is an example of a project currently under construction following this requirement. In addition, the University is considering other small detainage/infiltration areas in small scale projects to decrease storm water impacts. The planned renovation of the Fine Arts Parking Lot in the summer of 2015 will also incorporate a variety of flow management practices as well. All of these projects will improve not only water quality but also decrease the severity of flood events.	
Additional Measurable Goals and Activities	

SECTION II.A - Structural BMPs (Part IV.B.6.b.1.i)

POLLUTION PREVENTION AND GOOD HOUSEKEEPING IN MUNICIPAL OPERATIONS cont'd

BMP ID:	Location:	Name of BMP Owner/Operator:	Description of BMP:
BMP-01	Northwest of Independence Square and south of the	URI	Level Spreader
BMP-02	Ballentine Hall Detention Pond, north of Ballentine Hall	URI	Detention Pond
BMP-03	Butterfield Rd Sedimentation box; North of Hope Dining	URI	Sedimentation Box
BMP-04	CBLs Rain Garden	URI	Rain Garden
BMP-05	North of CHI PHI Fraternity House, NW of Weldin Hall	URI	Detention structure, Stormceptor
BMP-06	BMP removed	URI	Detention Area removed as part of College of Pharmacy Project
BMP-07	Culvert at Route 138 Crossing White Horn Brook	URI	Culvert
BMP-08	White Horn Brook Culvert at Fraternity Circle Footpath	URI	Culvert
BMP-09	White Horn Brook Culvert at Fraternity Circle	URI	Culvert
BMP-10	White Horn Brook Culvert East of Mackal Gym	URI	Culvert
BMP-11	White Horn Brook Culvert at Elephant Walk	URI	Culvert
BMP-01	White Horn Brook Culvert West of Dorr Hall	URI	Culvert
BMP-02	White Horn Brook Culvert West Alumni Avenue	URI	Culvert
BMP-14	White Horn Brook Culvert at Flagg Road	URI	Culvert
BMP-15	Culvert Crossing Plains Road just South of Central	URI	Culvert
BMP-16	Dairy Barn Parking Lot; North of Meade Stadium	URI	Pervious Parking Surface
BMP-17	Eddy Hall Infiltration System	URI	Infiltration System for Roof Drainage
BMP-18	Ellery Pond	URI	Detention Pond
BMP-19	Flagg Road Parking Lot West detention Basin	URI	Detention Pond
BMP-20	Flagg Road Parking Lot East Detention Basin	URI	Detention Pond
BMP-21	Swale East of Heathman Road	URI	Swale
BMP-22	Marrow Hall Detention Area West of Marrow Hall	URI	Detention Pond
BMP-23	Plains Road Parking Lot	URI	Swales, Infiltration System
BMP-24	Plains Road Parking Lot	URI	Pervious Parking Surface
BMP-25	Ryan Center/Tootell Vortechincs Units	URI	Vortechincs
BMP-26	Swale North of Sherman Building	URI	Swale
BMP-27	Fraternity Circle Swale – North of Sigma Chi	URI	Swale
BMP-28	White Horn Brook	URI	Stream/drainage Conduit
BMP-29	Infiltration Systems at Wiley/Garrahy Halls	URI	Infiltration Systems

POLLUTION PREVENTION AND GOOD HOUSEKEEPING IN MUNICIPAL OPERATIONS cont'd

BMP-30	Hope Dining Hall Drainage	URI	CB/DMH & Piping Drainage system
BMP-31	Freshman Dorms Drainage System	URI	CB/DMH & Piping Drainage System
BMP-32	Wiley/Garrahy Drainage System	URI	CB/DMH & Piping Drainage System
BMP-33	Eddy Hall Drainage System	URI	CB/DMH & Piping Drainage System
BMP-34	Flagg Road Swale (North of Flagg Road)	URI	Swale
BMP-35	Plains Road Parking Lot drainage	URI	Drainage System
BMP-36	Campus Wide Catch Basins	URI	Drainage System
BMP-37	Campus Wide DMH's	URI	Drainage System
BMP-38	Campus Wide Street Sweeping	URI	Street Sweeping
BMP-39	Campus Wide Parking Lots Sweeping	URI	Parking Lot Sweeping
BMP-40	Flagg Road/Plains Road Catch Basins	URI	Drainage System
BMP-41	Coastal Institute Catch Basins	URI	Drainage System
BMP-42	Campus Wide Streets and Walkways	URI	Inspect on a regular basis for potential erosion issues
BMP-43	Campus Wide Outfalls	URI	Outfalls
BMP-44	Outfall Map	URI	Outfall Map
BMP-45	Independence Square Infiltration System	URI	Infiltration System
BMP-46	Roger Williams Detention Pond	URI	Detention Pond
BMP-47	Open Channel North of Hope Dining Hall	URI	Waterway
BMP-48	Open Channel South of Hutchinson Hall	URI	Waterway
BMP-49	Retaining Wall South of CBLS	URI	Erosion control measure
BMP-50	CBLS Green Roof	URI	Green roof
BMP-51	CBLS Stormceptor	URI	Sedimentation unit
BMP-52	Hillside Dorm Water Quality Structures	URI	Sedimentation Unit
BMP-53	Hillside Dorms Bio-retention Areas	URI	Bio-retention area
BMP-54	Infiltration Basin south of Baird Hill Road and West of	URI	Infiltration Basin
BMP-55	Bio-Retention Area North of College of Pharmacy	URI	Bio-Retention Area
BMP-56	Swale south of Parking Services Building	URI	Swale
BMP-57	Swale East of Hillside East Access Road	URI	Swale
BMP-58	Paved swales at Keaney Parking Lot	URI	Swale
BMP-59	Sherman East Lot infiltration System	URI	Infiltration System
BMP-60	Wellness Center Infiltration System	URI	Infiltration System
BMP-61	Culverts Crossing Plains Road North of Flagg Road	URI	Culverts

POLLUTION PREVENTION AND GOOD HOUSEKEEPING IN MUNICIPAL OPERATIONS cont'd

BMP-62	Culverts Crossing Flagg Road West of Plains Road	URI	Culverts
BMP-63	Flagg Road Extension Detention/Infiltration Basin "A"	URI	Infiltration Systems
BMP-64	Flagg Road Extension Porous Paving Lot	URI	Pervious Parking Surface
BMP-65	Central Receiving Infiltration	URI	Infiltration System
BMP-66	Storm Water Test Station	URI	Sampling Station
BMP-67	Infiltration/Detention Basin South of Sherman Building	URI	Infiltration System
BMP-68	Swale East of Butterfield Hall	URI	Swale
BMP-69	COP Medicinal Garden	URI	Rain Garden
BMP-70	Swale West of Davis Hall	URI	Swale
BMP-71	Swale East of Rodman Hall	URI	Swale
BMP-72	Swale East of White Hall (BMP Removed 2/14)	URI	Swale – Removed in 2014 as part of new Chemistry Building
BMP-73	Swale South of Fayerweather Hall	URI	Swale
BMP-74	Paved Swales at Gateway Apartments	URI	Swale
BMP-75	Paved Swale at Well House No. 2	URI	Swale
BMP-76	Plains Lot Addition (2013) – Infiltration Channels	URI	Infiltration System
BMP-77	Flagg Road Extension Swales Parallel to Road	URI	Swale
BMP-78	Plains Lot Addition (2013) – New Culverts into Basin "E"	URI	Culverts
BMP-79	Flagg Road Extension – Paved Waterways	URI	Swale
BMP-80	Flagg Road Extension Basin "H" Discharge Structure	URI	Infiltration system
BMP-81	White Hall Lot – Swale at NW Corner of Lot	URI	Swale
BMP-82	Greenhouse Lot – Dry Swales	URI	Swale
BMP-83	Greenhouse Lot – Grass Channel	URI	Swale
BMP-84	Greenhouse Lot – Paved Waterways	URI	Swale
BMP-85	Greenhouse Lot – Forebay/Infiltration System	URI	Infiltration System
BMP-86	Greenhouse Roof Drain infiltration System	URI	Infiltration System
BMP-87	Hillside Dorm Green Roof	URI	Infiltration System
BMP-88	Flagg Road Detention Basin "D"	URI	Infiltration System
BMP-89	Flagg Road Detention Basin "E"	URI	Infiltration System
BMP-90	Flagg Road Detention Basin "H"	URI	Infiltration System

SECTION II.B – Discharges Causing Scouring or Excessive Sedimentation (Part IV.B.6.b.1.v)

Outfall ID:	Location:	Description of Problem:	Description of Remediation Taken, include dates:	Receiving Water Body Name/Description:
URI-002	West of Heathman Hall SE Corner	Sedimentation	Sediment removed by backhoe in August 2014	White Horn Brook
URI -003	Facilities Area into White Horn Brook	Sedimentation	Sediment removed by Bobcat in August 2014 by URI Lands & Grounds Dept.	White Horn Brook
URI-016	Southwest of Fayerweather Hall	Sedimentation	Sedimentation removed by backhoe in August 2014 by URI Lands & Grounds Dept.	Ellery Pond
URI-026	North of Sorority AXD (West Side of Fraternity Circle Westerly Road)	Sedimentation	Sedimentation removed by backhoe in August 2014 by the URI Lands & Grounds Dept.	White Horn Brook
URI-028	East of Keaney east lot & south of Fraternity Circle	Sedimentation	Sedimentation removed by backhoe in August 2014 by the URI Lands & Grounds Dept.	White Horn Brook
URI-029	Keaney Lot at SE Corner	Sedimentation	Sedimentation removed by backhoe in August 2014 by URI Lands & Grounds Dept.	White Horn Brook
URI -041	West of Aldrich Hall	Sedimentation	Sedimentation removed by backhoe in August 2014 by URI Lands & Grounds Dept.	White Horn Brook

SECTION II.C - Note any planned municipal construction projects/opportunities to incorporate water quality BMPs, low impact development, or activities to promote infiltration and recharge (Part IV.G.2.j).

The University continued to incorporate water quality BMPs and promote infiltration and groundwater recharge activities in new projects as applicable.
 The new Chemistry Building under construction incorporates a variety of features including rain gardens, tree filters, bioretention basins and infiltration basins.
 The new Fine Arts Parking Lot scheduled for Summer 2015 construction will also incorporate a number water quality features such as storage systems with metered outflow, bioretention basins and bioretention swales.

SECTION II.D - Please include a summary of results of any other information that has been collected and analyzed. This includes any type of data (Part IV.G.2.e).

Dry weather survey data is attached to this report and has also been sent to DEM electronically.



TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL) or other Water Quality Determination REQUIREMENTS

SECTION I. If you have been notified that discharges from your MS4 require non-structural or structural stormwater controls based on an approved TMDL or other water quality determination, please provide an assessment of the progress towards meeting the requirements for the control of stormwater identified in the approved TMDL (Part IV.G.2.d). Please indicate rationale for the activities chosen to address the pollutant of concern.



SPECIAL RESOURCE PROTECTION WATERS (SRPWs)

SECTION I. In accordance with Rule 31(a)(5)(i)G of the *Regulations for the Rhode Island Pollutant Discharge Elimination System* (RIPDES Regs), on or after March 10, 2008, any discharge from a small municipal separate storm sewer system to any Special Resource Protection Waters (SRPWs) or impaired water bodies within its jurisdiction must obtain permits if a waiver has not been granted in accordance to Rule 31(g)(5)(iii). A list of SRPWs can be found in Appendix D of the *RIDEM Water Quality Regulations* at this link:

<http://www.dem.ri.gov/pubs/regs/regs/water/h20q09a.pdf>

The 2008 303(d) Impaired Waters list can be found in Appendix G of the *2008 Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report* at this link: <http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/quality/pdf/iwqmon08.pdf>

If you have discharges from your MS4 (regardless of its location) to any of the listed SRPWs or impaired waters (including impaired waters when a TMDL has not been approved), please provide an assessment of the progress towards expanding the MS4 Phase II Stormwater Program to include the discharges to the aforementioned waters and adapting the Six Minimum Control Measures to include the control of stormwater in these areas. Please indicate a rationale for the activities chosen to protect these waters. Please note that all of the measurable goals and BMPs required by the 2003 MS4 General Permit may not be applicable to these discharges.

The University of Rhode Island

Public Notice

Draft RIPDES Phase II Stormwater Annual Report

RIPDES Permit No. RIR040 019

A draft of the 2014 Phase II Storm Water Annual Report prepared in accordance with the Rhode Island Pollution Discharge Elimination System (RIPDES) program general permit for storm water discharges from small municipal separate storm systems (MS4s) is available for review on the URI website. Copies of the 2014 Phase II Storm Water Annual Report may be obtained by visiting the URI website at www.uri.edu and follow the links to the Facilities home page and selecting the Utilities Department. For any questions or comments, please contact:

Andy Alcusky, URI Utilities Dept., 523 Plains Road, Kingston, RI 02881
401-874-2448; aalcusky@uri.edu