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5.76.10 Administrator Evaluation Committees shall be established within each administrative unit to conduct administrator evaluations as described in sections 10.90.10 - 10.90.17. #13-14-26

5.76.11 Each administrator evaluation committee shall normally consist of 5 members. Three members shall be selected from a slate of nominees or volunteers generated from the administrator’s constituent group (defined in section 5.76.12) by the Faculty Senate Executive Committee. The administrator shall choose one member of the committee. In addition, the President or Provost as appropriate shall choose one member of the committee. All members of the committee shall come from the constituent group. #07-08-4 #13-14-26

5.76.12 The constituent groups shall be defined as, the following: a) for academic deans with college faculties: all tenure-track college faculty; b) for the Dean of the Alan Shawn Feinstein College of Education and Professional Studies: all tenure-track faculty. For the School of Professional Studies within the College of Education and Professional Studies all tenure-track faculty who have taught at the School of Professional Studies during the preceding five years; and academic department chairpersons who participate in programs at the School of Professional Studies; c) for the Dean of University College for Academic Success: all tenure-track faculty who are currently teaching URI 101 or who have served as advisors to University College during the five years immediately preceding the evaluation; and all academic department chairpersons; d) for the Dean of the Graduate School: all tenure-track graduate faculty; e) for the President, Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs, Vice President for Research and Economic Development, and all Vice Provosts: all tenure-track faculty. #07-08-4 #13-14-26

10.90.9 The Administrator Evaluation Coordinator (see Section 4.4, By-Laws of the Faculty Senate), or AE Coordinator, shall contact the Provost or the President, as appropriate, each March to plan for administrator evaluations for the following academic year. Administrator evaluations are conducted as described in sections 10.90.10 - 10.90.17. After identifying the administrators to be reviewed for the following academic year, the AE Coordinator schedules a meeting with the administrators to be reviewed. The initial meeting shall include the administrator’s supervisor. The purpose of the initial meeting is to provide an orientation to the review process. The AE Coordinator will act as a facilitator for the process. #13-14-26
10.90.10 Faculty Evaluation of Administrators. The purpose of Administrator Evaluation is to conduct a thorough performance review of administrators, using a well-defined procedure as outlined in the University Manual. The performance review includes input obtained through use of an electronic survey completed by the administrator's constituency group. The electronic survey results are summarized, documented, and shared with the administrator and the administrator's supervisor. The review results provide administrators with critical feedback from their constituency to help them improve and excel in their positions and/or identify problem areas that must be addressed. The review results provide important information to the administrator's supervisor within the timeframe for a decision on the reappointment of the administrator and establishment of goals and objectives for the new contract period. #07-08-4, #13-14—26

10.90.11 The President, the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs, the Vice President for Research and Economic Development, all Vice Provosts, and all academic deans including the Dean of the University College for Academic Success, the Graduate School, the Graduate School of Oceanography, and of the Library are subject to faculty evaluation. The evaluation shall be scheduled during the academic year immediately preceding the consideration of reappointment of each administrator. Administrators must be reviewed through this process prior to their reappointment. #07-08-4, #13-14—26

10.90.12 Electronic Survey. The administrator evaluation process is based in part on peer reviews, which are a fundamental practice in academia. Therefore, objective and balanced evaluations are necessary for an effective review process. Each member of an administrator's constituent group shall be invited to participate through an electronic survey which will include an open-ended comment section allowing for submission of written comments. The AE Coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing and coordinating the entire evaluation process for all administrators. The AE Coordinator shall request and receive a copy of the administrator's job description, curriculum vita, and statement of accomplishments since her/his initial appointment or last review date. The documents provided by the administrators under review shall be distributed by the AE Coordinator to their constituents. The survey responses shall be handled confidentially by the AE Coordinator. Participation in the review of administrators including the electronic survey is an optional activity. #07-08-4, #13-14—26

10.90.13 Administrator Evaluation Committees (see 5.76.10) shall be established within each administrative unit to prepare survey questions, collaborate with the AE Coordinator and the Faculty Senate Office to conduct the electronic survey, review survey results and faculty comments, and determine how the survey results and any conclusions are to be summarized and presented. This work will be supported by the Office of Institutional Research through the Administrative Evaluation Coordinator. The work of these committees shall be completed before March 30 or by the reappointment decision deadline, whichever is earlier. See sections 5.76.10 - 5.76.12 for descriptions of Administrator Evaluation Committees. #07-08-4; #09-10-1, #13-14—26

10.90.14 The Administrator Evaluation Committee shall provide its written report to the administrator under review and meet with her/him to review the major findings, conclusions, and recommendations. The administrator has the option of providing a written response to the Administrator Evaluation Committee within 5 days of meeting with the Administrator Evaluation Committee. The Administrator Evaluation Committee
shall subsequently provide its written report and any written response from the administrator to the administrator’s supervisor. Allowing the supervisor a review time not to exceed 14 days, the Administrator Evaluation Committee shall meet with the supervisor and verbally review its major findings, conclusions, and recommendations. All members of the constituent unit shall be notified by the Administrator Evaluation Committee that this meeting has taken place. When the review is complete, a copy of the written report shall be provided to the AE Coordinator who will seal, mark confidential, and file the report in the Faculty Senate Office. #07-08-4, #13-14-26

10.90.15 Verbal Reporting to Constituency. The supervisor of the administrator being reviewed, in accordance with the organizational chart for the institution, shall deliver to the constituency for each administrator a verbal report on the evaluation results. If the constituency is not affiliated with a single administrative unit but represents a university-wide constituency (all continuing faculty), or a group that crosses units (graduate faculty, faculty affiliated with University College, or faculty affiliated with ASFCCE), the verbal report will be presented at a meeting of the Faculty Senate immediately following the receipt of the written report of the Administrator Evaluation Committee. #13-14-26

10.90.16 Evaluation of the President. The evaluation of the President is similar to that of all other administrators. However, the President’s supervisor is the Rhode Island Board of Education. The president’s evaluation report shall be provided only to the President. #13-14-26

10.90.17 The respective administrator evaluation committees shall ensure the confidentiality of the process for the faculty participating in the process as well as for the administrator being evaluated. #07-08-4, #13-14-26

By-Laws 4.4 The Executive Committee of the Senate shall appoint an Administrator Evaluation Coordinator from the tenured faculty for a two-year term. The Administrator Evaluation Coordinator shall be responsible for the following: designating which administrators are to be evaluated in a given year; facilitating the election/selection of administrator evaluation committees within each administrator’s constituent group as defined in section 5.76.12 of the University Manual; providing guidance and suggestions to these administrator evaluation committees; and, monitoring the committees’ progress in conducting the evaluations. The Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate shall review and evaluate the process as outlined here and as it evolves in the respective Evaluation Committees after the first three-year round and at least every six years after that. #07-08-8