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## About Your Engagement Indicators Report

Engagement Indicators (EIs) provide a useful summary of the detailed information contained in your students' NSSE responses. By combining responses to related NSSE questions, each EI offers valuable information about a distinct aspect of student engagement. Ten indicators, based on three to eight survey questions each (a total of 47 survey questions), are organized into four broad themes as shown at right.

## Report Sections

Overview (p. 3)

Theme Reports (pp. 4-13)

Comparisons with High-
Performing Institutions (p. 15)

Displays how average EI scores for your students compare with those of students at your comparison group institutions.

Detailed views of EI scores within the four themes for your students and those at comparison group institutions. Three views offer varied insights into your EI scores:

## Mean Comparisons

Straightforward comparisons of average scores between your students and those at comparison group institutions, with tests of significance and effect sizes (see below).

Score Distributions
Box-and-whisker charts show the variation in scores within your institution and comparison groups.
Performance on Indicator Items
Responses to each item in a given EI are summarized for your institution and comparison groups.

Comparisons of your students' average scores on each EI with those of students at institutions whose average scores were in the top $50 \%$ and top $10 \%$ of 2018 and 2019 participating institutions.

Detailed information about EI score means, distributions, and tests of statistical significance.

Detailed Statistics (pp. 16-19)

| Theme | Engagement Indicator |
| :--- | :--- |
| Academic Challenge | Higher-Order Learning <br> Reflective \& Integrative Learning <br> Learning Strategies <br> Quantitative Reasoning |
| Learning with Peers | Collaborative Learning <br> Discussions with Diverse Others |
| Experiences with Faculty | Student-Faculty Interaction <br> Effective Teaching Practices |
| Campus Environment | Quality of Interactions <br> Supportive Environment |

## About This Report
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# NSSE 2019 Engagement Indicators 

## Overview <br> University of Rhode Island

## Engagement Indicators: Overview

Engagement Indicators are summary measures based on sets of NSSE questions examining key dimensions of student engagement. The ten indicators are organized within four broad themes: Academic Challenge, Learning with Peers, Experiences with Faculty, and Campus Environment. The tables below compare average scores for your students with those in your comparison groups.

Use the following key:

- Your students' average was significantly higher ( $p<.05$ ) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.
$\Delta$ Your students' average was significantly higher ( $p<.05$ ) with an effect size less than 3 in magnitude.
-- No significant difference.
$\nabla$ Your students' average was significantly lower ( $p<.05$ ) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- Your students' average was significantly lower ( $p<.05$ ) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

| First-Year Students |  | Your first-year students compared with Major Competitor | Your first-year students compared with Sel N.East Region | Your first-year students compared with Doct Large |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theme | Engagement Indicator |  |  |  |
|  | Higher-Order Learning | -- | -- | -- |
| Academic | Reflective \& Integrative Learning | -- | -- | -- |
| Challenge | Learning Strategies | -- | -- | $\triangle$ |
|  | Quantitative Reasoning | -- | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ |
| Learning with | Collaborative Learning | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ |
| Peers | Discussions with Diverse Others | -- | $\nabla$ | $\nabla$ |
| Experiences | Student-Faculty Interaction | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ |
| with Faculty | Effective Teaching Practices | -- | $\nabla$ | $\nabla$ |
| Campus | Quality of Interactions | -- | -- | -- |
| Environment | Supportive Environment | -- | -- | -- |


| $\begin{array}{r}\text { Seniors } \\ \text { Theme } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Engagement Indicator | Your seniors compared with Major Competitor | Your seniors compared with Sel N.East Region | Your seniors compared with Doct Large |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Higher-Order Learning | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |
| Academic | Reflective \& Integrative Learning | $\Delta$ | -- | -- |
| Challenge | Learning Strategies | $\Delta$ | -- | -- |
|  | Quantitative Reasoning | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ | - |
| Learning with | Collaborative Learning | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ | - |
| Peers | Discussions with Diverse Others | -- | $\nabla$ | $\nabla$ |
| Experiences | Student-Faculty Interaction | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ |
| with Faculty | Effective Teaching Practices | -- | -- | $\nabla$ |
| Campus | Quality of Interactions | -- | -- | $\nabla$ |
| Environment | Supportive Environment | А | - | $\Delta$ |

# Academic Challenge <br> University of Rhode Island 

## Academic Challenge: First-year students

Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning and collegiate quality. Colleges and universities promote student learning by challenging and supporting them to engage in various forms of deep learning. Four Engagement Indicators are part of this theme: Higher-Order Learning, Reflective \& Integrative Learning, Learning Strategies, and Quantitative Reasoning. Below and on the next page are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | URI | Your first-year students compared with |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Major Competitor |  | Sel N.East Region |  | Doct Large |  |
|  |  | Mean | Effect size | Mean | Effect size | Mean | Effect size |
| Higher-Order Learning | 37.2 | 37.8 | -. 05 | 38.0 | -. 06 | 37.4 | -. 02 |
| Reflective \& Integrative Learning | 34.7 | 34.9 | -. 02 | 35.2 | -. 05 | 34.8 | -. 01 |
| Learning Strategies | 38.3 | 37.8 | . 04 | 37.6 | . 05 | 37.3 * | . 07 |
| Quantitative Reasoning | 29.8 | 29.0 | . 06 | 28.2 | . 11 | 27.8 *** | . 13 |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and $p$ before rounding; ${ }^{*} p<.05$, ${ }^{* *} p<.01$, ${ }^{* * *} p<.001$ ( 2 -tailed).

## Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25 th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75 th (top of box), and 95 th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.
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## Academic Challenge <br> University of Rhode Island

## Academic Challenge: First-year students (continued)

## Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| Higher-Order Learning |  | Percentage point difference ${ }^{\text {a }}$ between your FY students and |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | URI | Major Competitor | Sel N.East Region | Doct Large |
| Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much coursework emphasized... | \% |  |  |  |
| 4b. Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical problems or new situations | 71 | \| -2 | +1 | +0 |
| 4c. Analyzing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning in depth by examining its parts | 69 | \| -1 | \| -0 | +0 |
| 4d. Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information source | 65 | \| -3 | - -4 | \| -2 |
| 4 e . Forming a new idea or understanding from various pieces of information | 66 | \| -1 | \| -2 | +0 \| |

Reflective \& Integrative Learning

| 2a. Combined ideas from different courses when completing assignments | 55 | +1 |  | +2 |  | +3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2b. Connected your learning to societal problems or issues | 52 |  | -0 |  | -1 | +1 |  |
| 2c. Included diverse perspectives (political, religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in course discussions or assignments | 46 |  | -4 |  | -7 |  | -4 |
| 2d. Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your own views on a topic or issue | 59 |  | -2 |  | -4 |  | -3 |
| $2 e$. Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue looks from his or her perspective | 67 |  | -1 |  | -3 |  | -2 |
| 2f. Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept | 68 | +3 |  | +2 |  | +4 |  |
| 2 g . Connected ideas from your courses to your prior experiences and knowledge | 75 |  | -2 |  | -2 |  | -2 |
| Learning Strategies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 a . Identified key information from reading assignments | 72 |  | -3 |  | -2 |  | -1 |
| 9b. Reviewed your notes after class | 67 | +2 |  | +3 |  | +4 |  |
| 9c. Summarized what you learned in class or from course materials | 65 | +2 |  | +3 |  | +4 |  |
| Quantitative Reasoning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 a. Reached conclusions based on your own analysis of numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics, etc.) | 56 | +0 |  | +3 |  | +3 |  |
| 6 b. Used numerical information to examine a real-world problem or issue (unemployment, climate change, public health, etc.) | 46 | +3 |  | +5 |  | +7 |  |
| 6 c . Evaluated what others have concluded from numerical information | 43 | +1 |  | +2 |  | +3 |  |
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## Academic Challenge

University of Rhode Island

## Academic Challenge: Seniors

Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning and collegiate quality. Colleges and universities promote student learning by challenging and supporting them to engage in various forms of deep learning. Four Engagement Indicators are part of this theme: Higher-Order Learning, Reflective \& Integrative Learning, Learning Strategies, and Quantitative Reasoning. Below and on the next page are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | URI | Your seniors compared with |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Major Competitor |  | Sel N.East Region |  | Doct Large |  |
|  |  |  | Effect |  | Effect |  | Effect |
| Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | size | Mean | size | Mean | size |
| Higher-Order Learning | 39.8 | 38.1 *** | . 13 | 38.8 * | . 08 | 38.8 * | . 07 |
| Reflective \& Integrative Learning | 37.7 | 36.7 ** | . 09 | 37.4 | . 03 | 37.2 | . 04 |
| Learning Strategies | 37.7 | 36.1 ** | . 11 | 37.1 | . 05 | 37.2 | . 04 |
| Quantitative Reasoning | 32.0 | 30.4 ** | . 10 | 29.6 *** | . 15 | 29.7 *** | . 15 |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and $p$ before rounding; ${ }^{*} p<.05$, ${ }^{* *} p<.01$, ${ }^{* * *} p<.001$ ( 2 -tailed).

## Score Distributions




Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25 th (bottom of box), 50 th (middle line), 75 th (top of box), and 95 th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

NSSE
national survey of student engagement

# NSSE 2019 Engagement Indicators 

## Academic Challenge <br> University of Rhode Island

## Academic Challenge: Seniors (continued)

## Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| Higher-Order Learning | URI | Percentage point difference ${ }^{\text {a }}$ between your seniors and |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Major Competitor | Sel N.Eas Region | Doct Large |
| Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much coursework emphasized... | \% |  |  |  |
| 4b. Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical problems or new situations | 81 | +5 | +6 | +4 |
| 4c. Analyzing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning in depth by examining its parts | 76 | +5 | +4 | +3 |
| 4d. Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information source | 68 | +3 | +0 \| | +2 \| |
| 4e. Forming a new idea or understanding from various pieces of information | 70 | +4 | +2 | +2 |


| 2a. Combined ideas from different courses when completing assignments | 73 | +5 |  | +4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2b. Connected your learning to societal problems or issues | 64 | +6 |  | +4 |  | +6 |  |
| 2c. Included diverse perspectives (political, religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in course discussions or assignments | 47 |  | -2 |  | -5 |  | -2 |
| 2d. Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your own views on a topic or issue | 67 | +5 |  | +3 |  | +3 |  |
| 2 e . <br> Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue looks from his or her perspective | 71 | +2 |  |  | -0 | +0 |  |
| 2f. Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept | 75 | +5 |  | +5 |  | +6 |  |
| 2 g . Connected ideas from your courses to your prior experiences and knowledge | 84 | +3 |  | +3 |  | +2 |  |
| Learning Strategies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 a . Identified key information from reading assignments | 78 | +2 |  | +1 |  | +2 |  |
| 9b. Reviewed your notes after class | 60 | +3 |  | +0 |  | +1 |  |
| 9 c . Summarized what you learned in class or from course materials | 65 | +5 |  | +3 |  | +4 |  |
| Quantitative Reasoning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 a. Reached conclusions based on your own analysis of numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics, etc.) | 60 | +3 |  | +5 |  | +4 |  |
| 6 b. Used numerical information to examine a real-world problem or issue (unemployment, climate change, public health, etc.) | 51 | +4 |  | +6 |  | +7 |  |
| 6 c . Evaluated what others have concluded from numerical information | 51 | +3 |  | +5 |  | +6 |  |
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## Learning with Peers

University of Rhode Island

## Learning with Peers: First-year students

Collaborating with others in mastering difficult material and developing interpersonal and social competence prepare students to deal with complex, unscripted problems they will encounter during and after college. Two Engagement Indicators make up this theme: Collaborative Learning and Discussions with Diverse Others. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | URI | Your first-year students compared with |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Major Competitor |  | Sel N.East Region |  | Doct Large |  |
|  |  | Mean | Effect size | Mean | Effect size | Mean | Effect size |
| Collaborative Learning | 36.5 | 34.8 *** | . 13 | 33.1 *** | . 25 | 33.3 *** | . 23 |
| Discussions with Diverse Others | 38.4 | 39.3 | -. 06 | 40.1 ** | -. 11 | $40.2{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 12 |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard


## Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

## Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| Collaborative Learning | URI | Percentage point difference ${ }^{\text {a }}$ between your FY students and |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Major Competitor | Sel N.East Region | Doct Large |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"... | \% |  |  |  |
| 1e. Asked another student to help you understand course material | 65 | +8 | +13 | +10 |
| 1f. Explained course material to one or more students | 67 | +4 | +8 | +6 |
| 1 g . Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material with other students | 64 | +10 | +14 | +13 |
| 1 h . Worked with other students on course projects or assignments | 65 | +2 \| | +8 | +9 |
| Discussions with Diverse Others |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" had discussions with ... |  |  |  |  |
| 8a. People of a race or ethnicity other than your own | 68 | \| -2 | - 6 | \| -4 |
| 8b. People from an economic background other than your own | 72 | +0 | \| -1 | \| -1 |
| 8 c . People with religious beliefs other than your own | 65 | \| -3 | - 4 | \| -4 |
| 8d. People with political views other than your own | 66 | +3 \| | +4 | +1 |
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## Learning with Peers <br> University of Rhode Island

## Learning with Peers: Seniors

Collaborating with others in mastering difficult material and developing interpersonal and social competence prepare students to deal with complex, unscripted problems they will encounter during and after college. Two Engagement Indicators make up this theme: Collaborative Learning and Discussions with Diverse Others. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | URI | Your seniors compared with |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Major Competitor |  | Sel N.East Region |  | Doct Large |  |
|  |  |  | Effect |  | Effect |  | Effect |
| Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | size | Mean | size | Mean | size |
| Collaborative Learning | 38.6 | 34.5 *** | . 29 | $33.8{ }^{* * *}$ | . 34 | 33.6 *** | . 34 |
| Discussions with Diverse Others | 39.3 | 39.5 | -. 01 | 40.9 ** | -. 10 | 40.6 * | -. 08 |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard


## Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75 th (top of box), and 95 th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

## Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| Collaborative Learning |  | Percentage point difference ${ }^{\text {a }}$ between your seniors and |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | URI | Major Competitor | Sel N.East Region | Doct Large |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"... | \% |  |  |  |
| 1e. Asked another student to help you understand course material | 62 | +14 | +16 | +15 |
| 1f. Explained course material to one or more students | 74 | +10 | +11 | +12 |
| 1g. Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material with other students | 65 | +12 | +15 | +16 |
| 1 h . Worked with other students on course projects or assignments | 76 | +5 | +8 | +10 |
| Discussions with Diverse Others |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" had discussions with... |  |  |  |  |
| 8a. People of a race or ethnicity other than your own | 71 | \| -1 | -5 | \| -2 |
| 8b. People from an economic background other than your own | 73 | +1 | \| -2 | \| -1 |
| 8c. People with religious beliefs other than your own | 65 | \| -3 | - -6 | - 5 |
| 8d. People with political views other than your own | 66 | +5 | +4 | +1 |
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Experiences with Faculty<br>University of Rhode Island

## Experiences with Faculty: First-year students

Students learn firsthand how experts think about and solve problems by interacting with faculty members inside and outside of instructional settings. As a result, faculty become role models, mentors, and guides for lifelong learning. In addition, effective teaching requires that faculty deliver course material and provide feedback in student-centered ways. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: Student-Faculty Interaction and Effective Teaching Practices. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

Mean Comparisons Your first-year students compared with

|  | URI | Major Competitor |  | Sel N.East Region |  | Doct Large |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Effect |  | Effect |  | Effect |
| Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | size | Mean | size | Mean | size |
| Student-Faculty Interaction | 22.4 | 21.0 ** | . 10 | 21.1 ** | . 09 | 20.7 *** | . 11 |
| Effective Teaching Practices | 36.5 | 37.3 | -. 06 | 37.4 * | -. 07 | 37.6 ** | -. 09 |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and $p$ before rounding; ${ }^{*} p<.05$, ${ }^{* *} p<.01$, ${ }^{* * * p<.001 \text { ( } 2 \text {-tailed). }}$

## Score Distributions
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## Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| Student-Faculty Interaction |  | Percentage point difference ${ }^{a}$ between your FY students and |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | URI | Major Competitor | Sel N.East Region | Doct Large |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"... | \% |  |  |  |
| 3a. Talked about career plans with a faculty member | 39 | +4 | +3 | +2 |
| 3b. Worked w/faculty on activities other than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.) | 21 | \| -0 | +0 \|| | +1 |
| 3c. Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of class | 28 | +1 | +2 \| | +3 |
| 3d. Discussed your academic performance with a faculty member | 35 | +6 | +5 | +7 |
| Effective Teaching Practices |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much instructors have... |  |  |  |  |
| 5a. Clearly explained course goals and requirements | 76 | \| -1 | +1 \| | \| -0 |
| 5 b . Taught course sessions in an organized way | 71 | -2 | \| -0 | \| -3 |
| 5c. Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult points | 73 | \| -2 | +1 | \| -1 |
| 5d. Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress | 56 | \| -3 | - -6 | -5 |
| 5e. Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments | 53 | \| -3 | \| -4 | \|-3 |
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Experiences with Faculty<br>University of Rhode Island

## Experiences with Faculty: Seniors

Students learn firsthand how experts think about and solve problems by interacting with faculty members inside and outside of instructional settings. As a result, faculty become role models, mentors, and guides for lifelong learning. In addition, effective teaching requires that faculty deliver course material and provide feedback in student-centered ways. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: Student-Faculty Interaction and Effective Teaching Practices. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

## Mean Comparisons

| Your seniors compared with |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Competitor Effect |  | Sel N.East Region |  | Doct Large |  |
|  |  |  | Effect |  | Effect |
| Mean | size | Mean | size | Mean | size |
| $24.7{ }^{* * *}$ | . 24 | 24.6 *** | . 24 | 23.8 *** | . 29 |
| 38.0 | -. 03 | 38.3 | -. 05 | 38.4 * | -. 06 |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and $p$ before rounding; ${ }^{*} p<.05$, ${ }^{* *} p<.01$, ${ }^{* * * p<.001 \text { ( } 2 \text {-tailed). }}$

## Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25 th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95 th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

## Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| Student-Faculty Interaction | URI | Percentage point difference ${ }^{\text {a }}$ between your seniors and |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Major Competitor | Sel N.East Region | Doct Large |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often"... | \% |  |  |  |
| 3a. Talked about career plans with a faculty member | 52 | +7 | +8 | +9 |
| 3b. Worked w/faculty on activities other than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.) | 37 | +8 | +9 | +10 |
| 3c. Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of class | 43 | +10 | +10 | +11 |
| 3d. Discussed your academic performance with a faculty member | 42 | +10 | +8 | +11 |
| Effective Teaching Practices |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much instructors have... |  |  |  |  |
| 5a. Clearly explained course goals and requirements | 77 | \| -2 | \| -1 | \| -2 |
| 5b. Taught course sessions in an organized way | 73 | \| -4 | \| -2 | \| -3 |
| 5 c . Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult points | 76 | +1 | +2 | +0 |
| 5d. Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress | 57 | +1 | -2 | +0 \| |
| 5e. Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments | 62 | +5 | +3 | +3 |
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## Campus Environment <br> University of Rhode Island

## Campus Environment: First-year students

Students benefit and are more satisfied in supportive settings that cultivate positive relationships among students, faculty, and staff. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: Quality of Interactions and Supportive Environment. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | URI | Your first-year students compared with |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Major Competitor Effect |  | Sel N.East Region |  | Doct Large |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Effect |  | Effect |
| Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | size | Mean | size | Mean | size |
| Quality of Interactions | 41.2 | 41.4 | -. 02 | 40.9 | . 02 | 41.9 | -. 06 |
| Supportive Environment | 36.4 | 35.6 | . 06 | 35.8 | . 04 | 35.8 | . 04 |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and $p$ before rounding; ${ }^{*} p<.05$, ${ }^{* *} p<.01,{ }^{* * *} p<.001$ (2-tailed).

## Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25 th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

## Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

|  |
| :--- |
| Quality of Interactions |
| Percentage rating their interactions a 6 or 7 (on a scale from $1=$ "Poor" to $7=$ "Excellent") with... |
| 13a. Students |
| 13b. Academic advisors |
| 13c. Faculty |

[^7]NSSE
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# NSSE 2019 Engagement Indicators 

## Campus Environment <br> University of Rhode Island

## Campus Environment: Seniors

Students benefit and are more satisfied in supportive settings that cultivate positive relationships among students, faculty, and staff. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: Quality of Interactions and Supportive Environment. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | URI | Your seniors compared with |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Major Competitor Effect |  | Sel N.East Region |  | Doct Large |  |
|  |  |  |  | Mean | Effect size | Mean | Effect size |
| Quality of Interactions | 39.4 | 40.0 | -. 05 | 39.8 | -. 03 | 41.1 *** | -. 14 |
| Supportive Environment | 33.3 | 31.3 *** | . 15 | 31.4 | . 14 | 31.7 *** | . 11 |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and $p$ before rounding; ${ }^{*} p<.05,{ }^{*} * p<.01,{ }^{* * *} p<.001$ (2-tailed).

## Score Distributions

 scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

## Performance on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| Quality of Interactions | URI | Percentage point difference ${ }^{\text {a }}$ between your seniors and |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Major Competitor | Sel N.East Region | Doct Large |
| Percentage rating their interactions a 6 or 7 (on a scale from $1=$ "Poor" to $7=$ "Excellent") with ... | \% |  |  |  |
| 13a. Students | 53 | +1 | +0 | -1 |
| 13b. Academic advisors | 34 | -9 | - -9 | -13 |
| 13c. Faculty | 45 | \| -4 | \| -3 | - 7 |
| 13d. Student services staff (career services, student activities, housing, etc.) | 34 | \| -3 | \| -2 | - -6 |
| 13e. Other administrative staff and offices (registrar, financial aid, etc.) | 28 | - 5 | - 5 | -10 |
| Supportive Environment |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much the institution emphasized... |  |  |  |  |
| 14b. Providing support to help students succeed academically | 72 | +7 | +8 | +4 |
| 14c. Using learning support services (tutoring services, writing center, etc.) | 70 | +11 | +10 | +7 |
| 14d. Encouraging contact among students from diff. backgrounds (soc., racial/eth., relig., etc.) | 56 | +6 | +1 | +3 |
| 14e. Providing opportunities to be involved socially | 66 | +1 | +2 | +2 \| |
| 14f. Providing support for your overall well-being (recreation, health care, counseling, etc.) | 66 | +8 | +9 | +6 |
| 14 g . Helping you manage your non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.) | 33 | +6 | +5 | +5 |
| 14h. Attending campus activities and events (performing arts, athletic events, etc.) | 57 | +0 | +3 | +3 |
| 14i. Attending events that address important social, economic, or political issues | 42 | \| -2 | \| -1 | +2 |
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NSSE
national survey of student engagement

# NSSE 2019 Engagement Indicators 

## Comparisons with High-Performing Institutions University of Rhode Island

## Comparisons with Top 50\% and Top 10\% Institutions

While NSSE's policy is not to rank institutions (see nsse.indiana.edu/links/PNP), the results below are designed to compare the engagement of your students with those attending two groups of institutions identified by NSSE $^{\text {a }}$ for their high average levels of student engagement:
(a) institutions with average scores placing them in the top $50 \%$ of all 2018 and 2019 NSSE institutions, and
(b) institutions with average scores placing them in the top $10 \%$ of all 2018 and 2019 NSSE institutions.

While the average scores for most institutions are below the mean for the top $50 \%$ or top $10 \%$, your institution may show areas of distinction where your average student was as engaged as (or even more engaged than) the typical student at high-performing institutions. A check mark $(\checkmark)$ signifies those comparisons where your average score was at least comparable ${ }^{b}$ to that of the high-performing group. However, the presence of a check mark does not necessarily mean that your institution was a member of that group.

It should be noted that most of the variability in student engagement is within, not between, institutions. Even "high-performing" institutions have students with engagement levels below the average for all institutions.

| First-Year Students |  | URI <br> Mean | Your first-year students compared with |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSSE Top 50\% | NSSE Top 10\% |  |  |
| Theme | Engagement Indicator |  | Mean | Effect size | $\checkmark$ | Mean | Effect size | $\checkmark$ |
|  | Higher-Order Learning |  | 37.2 | 39.3 *** | -. 17 |  | 41.0 *** | -. 29 |  |
| Academic | Reflective and Integrative Learning | 34.7 | 36.8 *** | -. 18 |  | 38.8 *** | -. 35 |  |
| Challenge | Learning Strategies | 38.3 | 39.9 *** | -. 11 |  | 42.5 *** | -. 30 |  |
|  | Quantitative Reasoning | 29.8 | 29.3 | . 04 | $\checkmark$ | 30.8 * | -. 06 |  |
| Learning | Collaborative Learning | 36.5 | 35.4 ** | . 08 | $\checkmark$ | 37.7 ** | -. 08 |  |
| with Peers | Discussions with Diverse Others | 38.4 | 41.3 *** | -. 19 |  | 43.2 *** | -. 33 |  |
| Experiences | Student-Faculty Interaction | 22.4 | 24.9 *** | -. 17 |  | $28.0{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 36 |  |
| with Faculty | Effective Teaching Practices | 36.5 | 40.6 *** | -. 31 |  | $42.7{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 44 |  |
| Campus | Quality of Interactions | 41.2 | 44.9 *** | -. 32 |  | $47.1^{* * *}$ | -. 50 |  |
| Environment | Supportive Environment | 36.4 | $38.1{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 13 |  | $40.1{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 28 |  |
| Seniors |  |  | Your seniors compared with |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | URI | NSSE Top 50\% |  |  | NSSE Top 10\% |  |  |
| Theme | Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | Effect size | $\checkmark$ | Mean | Effect size | $\checkmark$ |
|  | Higher-Order Learning | 39.8 | 41.8 *** | -. 14 |  | 43.0 *** | -. 24 |  |
| Academic | Reflective and Integrative Learning | 37.7 | 39.9 *** | -. 18 |  | 41.6 *** | -. 32 |  |
| Challenge | Learning Strategies | 37.7 | 40.8 *** | -. 21 |  | 42.6 *** | -. 34 |  |
|  | Quantitative Reasoning | 32.0 | 31.3 | . 04 | $\checkmark$ | 32.7 | -. 05 | $\checkmark$ |
| Learning | Collaborative Learning | 38.6 | $36.1{ }^{* * *}$ | . 18 | $\checkmark$ | 38.6 | . 00 | $\checkmark$ |
| with Peers | Discussions with Diverse Others | 39.3 | 42.0 *** | -. 17 |  | $43.5{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 27 |  |
| Experiences | Student-Faculty Interaction | 28.3 | 29.9 ** | -. 10 |  | 33.9 *** | -. 36 |  |
| with Faculty | Effective Teaching Practices | 37.5 | 41.8 *** | -. 31 |  | 43.5 *** | -. 44 |  |
| Campus | Quality of Interactions | 39.4 | 45.2 *** | -. 49 |  | $47.4{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 66 |  |
| Environment | Supportive Environment | 33.3 | $34.8{ }^{* * *}$ | -. 11 |  | 37.0 *** | -. 26 |  |
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Detailed Statistics ${ }^{\text {a }}$
University of Rhode Island

Detailed Statistics: First-Year Students


Academic Challenge
Higher-Order Learning

| URI (N = 1006) | 37.2 | 12.3 | .39 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 60 |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Major Competitor | 37.8 | 12.9 | .15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 60 | 8,312 | -.6 |
| Sel N.East Region | 38.0 | 13.1 | .11 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 60 | 1,173 | -.8 |
| Doct Large | 37.4 | 13.0 | .06 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 60 | -.058 | -.059 |
| Top $50 \%$ | 39.3 | 13.0 | .03 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 1,062 | -.2 |
| Top 10\% | 41.0 | 13.0 | .06 | 20 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 60 | .613 | -.015 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 41,497 | -3.8 | .000 | -.294 |  |

Reflective \& Integrative Learning URI (N = 1046)

Major Competitor
Sel N.East Region
Doct Large
Top 50\%
Top 10\%

| 34.7 | 11.3 | .35 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 34.9 | 11.7 | .13 |
| 35.2 | 11.8 | .10 |
| 34.8 | 11.9 | .06 |
| 36.8 | 11.8 | .03 |
| 38.8 | 11.8 | .06 |


| 17 | 26 | 34 | 40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | 26 | 34 | 43 |
| 17 | 27 | 34 | 43 |
| 17 | 26 | 34 | 43 |
| 17 | 29 | 37 | 46 |
| 20 | 31 | 40 | 46 |


| 8,881 | -.2 | .624 | -.016 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 16,328 | -.6 | .137 | -.047 |
| 44,634 | -.1 | .762 | -.009 |
| 159,109 | -2.1 | .000 | -.179 |
| 33,777 | -4.1 | .000 | -.347 |

Learning Strategies
URI $(\mathrm{N}=971)$
Major Competitor
Sel N.East Region
Doct Large
Top 50\%
Top 10\%

| 38.3 | 13.4 | .43 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 37.8 | 13.5 | .16 |
| 37.6 | 13.7 | .12 |
| 37.3 | 13.7 | .07 |
| 39.9 | 13.7 | .04 |
| 42.5 | 14.0 | .08 |


| 20 | 27 | 40 | 47 | 60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | 27 | 40 | 47 | 60 |
| 13 | 27 | 40 | 47 | 60 |
| 13 | 27 | 40 | 47 | 60 |
| 20 | 33 | 40 | 53 | 60 |
| 20 | 33 | 40 | 53 | 60 |


| 7,819 | .5 | .278 | .037 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 14,344 | .7 | .143 | .049 |
| 39,268 | 1.0 | .025 | .073 |
| 135,680 | -1.6 | .000 | -.115 |
| 1,038 | -4.1 | .000 | -.296 |

Quantitative Reasoning
URI (N = 976)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 29.8 | 14.2 | .45 | 7 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| 29.0 | 15.0 | .18 | 7 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | 1,299 | .9 | .081 | .057 |
| 28.2 | 15.1 | .13 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | 1,141 | 1.6 | .001 | .106 |
| 27.8 | 15.1 | .08 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | 1,031 | 2.0 | .000 | .134 |
| 29.3 | 15.2 | .04 | 7 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | 989 | .5 | .234 | .036 |
| 30.8 | 15.2 | .07 | 7 | 20 | 33 | 40 | 60 | 1,025 | -1.0 | .036 | -.064 |

Learning with Peers
Collaborative Learning

| URI (N = 1072) | 36.5 | 12.6 | .39 | 15 | 30 | 35 | 45 | 60 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Competitor | 34.8 | 13.8 | .15 | 15 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 1,420 | 1.8 |
| Sel N.East Region | 33.1 | 14.0 | .11 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 40 | 60 | 1,249 | 3.4 |
| Doct Large | 33.3 | 14.0 | .06 | 10 | 25 | 35 | 40 | 60 | .000 | .249 |
| Top 50\% | 35.4 | 13.7 | .03 | 15 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 1,132 | 3.2 |
| Top 10\% | 37.7 | 13.6 | .07 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 1,087 | 1.1 |
| 000 | .028 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,145 | -1.1 | .003 | -.084 |

Discussions with Diverse Others
URI (N = 979)
Major Competitor
Sel N.East Region

| Doct Large | 40.2 | 15.1 | .08 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Top $50 \%$ | 41.3 | 14.9 | .04 |
| Top $10 \%$ | 43.2 | 14.4 | .08 |


| 15 | 30 | 40 | 50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | 30 | 40 | 50 |
| 15 | 30 | 40 | 55 |
| 15 | 30 | 40 | 55 |
| 20 | 30 | 40 | 55 |
| 20 | 35 | 40 | 60 |


| 60 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 60 | 7,861 | -.8 | .105 | -.055 |
| 60 | 14,439 | -1.7 | .001 | -.107 |
| 60 | 39,512 | -1.8 | .000 | -.118 |
| 60 | 163,860 | -2.9 | .000 | -.194 |
| 60 | 37,516 | -4.8 | .000 | -.333 |

# Detailed Statistics ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> University of Rhode Island 

Detailed Statistics: First-Year Students

|  | Mean statistics |  |  | Percentile ${ }^{\text {d }}$ scores |  |  |  |  | Comparison results |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | $S D^{b}$ | $S E^{c}$ | 5th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg. of } \\ & \text { freedom }^{e} \end{aligned}$ | Mean diff. | Sig. ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | Effect <br> size ${ }^{g}$ |
| Experiences with Faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student-Faculty Interaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URI ( $\mathrm{N}=1019$ ) | 22.4 | 14.1 | . 44 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| Major Competitor | 21.0 | 14.3 | . 16 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 8,539 | 1.4 | . 003 | . 098 |
| Sel N.East Region | 21.1 | 14.6 | . 12 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 15,676 | 1.3 | . 006 | . 089 |
| Doct Large | 20.7 | 14.3 | . 07 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 42,820 | 1.6 | . 000 | . 115 |
| Top 50\% | 24.9 | 14.8 | . 05 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 55 | 107,775 | -2.5 | . 000 | -. 171 |
| Top 10\% | 28.0 | 15.5 | . 12 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 1,173 | -5.6 | . 000 | -. 361 |
| Effective Teaching Practices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URI ( $\mathrm{N}=1007$ ) | 36.5 | 12.0 | . 38 | 20 | 28 | 36 | 44 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| Major Competitor | 37.3 | 12.4 | . 15 | 20 | 28 | 36 | 44 | 60 | 8,243 | -. 8 | . 067 | -. 062 |
| Sel N.East Region | 37.4 | 12.8 | . 11 | 16 | 28 | 36 | 48 | 60 | 1,176 | -. 9 | . 028 | -. 068 |
| Doct Large | 37.6 | 12.8 | . 06 | 16 | 28 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 1,065 | -1.1 | . 004 | -. 087 |
| Top 50\% | 40.6 | 13.2 | . 04 | 20 | 32 | 40 | 52 | 60 | 1,027 | -4.1 | . 000 | -. 310 |
| Top 10\% | 42.7 | 14.0 | . 08 | 20 | 32 | 44 | 56 | 60 | 1,097 | -6.2 | . 000 | -. 442 |

Campus Environment
Quality of Interactions

| URI ( $\mathrm{N}=924$ ) | 41.2 | 10.9 | . 36 | 22 | 34 | 42 | 48 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Competitor | 41.4 | 11.6 | . 14 | 20 | 35 | 42 | 50 | 60 | 7,419 | -. 3 | . 516 | -. 023 |
| Sel N.East Region | 40.9 | 12.0 | . 11 | 20 | 34 | 42 | 50 | 60 | 1,092 | . 2 | . 541 | . 019 |
| Doct Large | 41.9 | 11.8 | . 06 | 20 | 35 | 43 | 50 | 60 | 979 | -. 7 | . 056 | -. 059 |
| Top 50\% | 44.9 | 11.4 | . 03 | 24 | 38 | 46 | 54 | 60 | 940 | -3.7 | . 000 | -. 323 |
| Top 10\% | 47.1 | 11.8 | . 07 | 24 | 40 | 50 | 58 | 60 | 996 | -5.9 | . 000 | -. 504 |

Supportive Environment
URI ( $\mathrm{N}=958$ )
Major Competitor
Sel N.East Region
Doct Large
Top 50\%
Top 10\%

| 36.4 | 12.4 | .40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35.6 | 13.0 | .16 |
| 35.8 | 13.3 | .12 |
| 35.8 | 13.2 | .07 |
| 38.1 | 13.2 | .04 |
| 40.1 | 13.2 | .08 |


| 15 | 28 | 38 | 45 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | 28 | 35 | 43 |
| 15 | 28 | 38 | 45 |
| 15 | 28 | 37 | 45 |
| 18 | 30 | 40 | 48 |
| 18 | 30 | 40 | 50 |


| 60 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 60 | 1,279 | .8 | .075 | .060 |
| 60 | 1,125 | .5 | .202 | .040 |
| 60 | 1,013 | .5 | .200 | .040 |
| 60 | 973 | -1.8 | .000 | -.134 |
| 60 | 1,034 | -3.7 | .000 | -.282 |

a. Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).
b. Standard deviation is a measure of the amount the individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.
c. Standard error of the mean, used to compute a confidence interval (CI) around the sample mean. For example, the $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ (equal to the sample mean $+/-1.96 \times \mathrm{SE}$ ) is the range that is $95 \%$ likely to contain the true population mean.
d. A percentile is the point in the distribution of student-level EI scores at or below which a given percentage of EI scores fall.
e. Degrees of freedom used to compute the $t$-tests. Values vary from the total Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.
f. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between the mean of your institution and that of the comparison group occurred by chance.
g. Effect size is the mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.
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Detailed Statistics ${ }^{\text {a }}$
University of Rhode Island

Detailed Statistics: Seniors


Academic Challenge
Higher-Order Learning

URI ( $\mathrm{N}=929$ )
Major Competitor
Sel N.East Region
Doct Large
Top 50\%
Top 10\%

| 39.8 | 12.5 | .41 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 38.1 | 13.3 | .14 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 60 |
| 38.8 | 13.6 | .10 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |
| 38.8 | 13.6 | .06 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |
| 41.8 | 13.5 | .03 | 20 | 35 | 40 | 55 | 60 |
| 43.0 | 13.5 | .06 | 20 | 35 | 40 | 55 | 60 |


| 1,143 | 1.8 | .000 | .133 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,040 | 1.0 | .014 | .077 |
| 963 | 1.0 | .016 | .073 |
| 940 | -1.9 | .000 | -.143 |
| 972 | -3.2 | .000 | -.236 |

Reflective \& Integrative Learning
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { URI ( } \mathrm{N}=951 \text { ) } & 37.7 & 11.4 & .37 & 20 & 29 & 37 & 46 & 57\end{array}$

| URI ( $\mathrm{N}=951$ ) | 37.7 | 11.4 | . 37 | 20 | 29 | 37 | 46 | 57 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Competitor | 36.7 | 12.3 | . 12 | 17 | 29 | 37 | 46 | 60 | 1,167 | 1.0 | . 008 | . 085 |
| Sel N.East Region | 37.4 | 12.5 | . 09 | 17 | 29 | 37 | 46 | 60 | 1,060 | . 4 | . 351 | . 029 |
| Doct Large | 37.2 | 12.5 | . 05 | 17 | 29 | 37 | 46 | 60 | 984 | . 5 | . 155 | . 043 |
| Top 50\% | 39.9 | 12.2 | . 03 | 20 | 31 | 40 | 49 | 60 | 963 | -2.1 | . 000 | -. 176 |
| Top 10\% | 41.6 | 12.2 | . 07 | 20 | 34 | 40 | 51 | 60 | 1,015 | -3.8 | . 000 | -. 315 |

Learning Strategies
URI $(\mathrm{N}=910)$
Major Competitor
Sel N.East Region
Doct Large
Top 50\%
Top 10\%

| 37.7 | 13.7 | .45 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 36.1 | 14.1 | .15 |
| 37.1 | 14.2 | .11 |
| 37.2 | 14.6 | .06 |
| 40.8 | 14.4 | .03 |
| 42.6 | 14.3 | .06 |


| 13 | 27 | 40 | 47 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | 27 | 33 | 47 |
| 13 | 27 | 40 | 47 |
| 13 | 27 | 40 | 47 |
| 20 | 33 | 40 | 53 |
| 20 | 33 | 40 | 60 |


| 9,960 | 1.6 | .001 | .111 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 18,921 | .7 | .171 | .046 |
| 942 | .6 | .226 | .038 |
| 182,048 | -3.1 | .000 | -.214 |
| 941 | -4.9 | .000 | -.341 |

Quantitative Reasoning
URI (N = 915)

Major Competitor
Sel N.East Region
Doct Large
Top 50\%
Top 10\%

| 32.0 | 15.3 | .50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30.4 | 15.8 | .16 |
| 29.6 | 16.1 | .12 |
| 29.7 | 16.0 | .07 |
| 31.3 | 16.0 | .03 |
| 32.7 | 15.8 | .06 |


| 7 | 20 | 33 | 40 | 60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 20 | 33 | 40 | 60 |
| 0 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 |
| 0 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 |
| 7 | 20 | 33 | 40 | 60 |
| 7 | 20 | 33 | 40 | 60 |


| 10,125 | 1.6 | .003 | .104 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,018 | 2.4 | .000 | .150 |
| 945 | 2.3 | .000 | .145 |
| 922 | .7 | .157 | .045 |
| 62,700 | -.7 | .155 | -.047 |

Learning with Peers
Collaborative Learning

| URI ( $\mathrm{N}=961$ ) | 38.6 | 13.2 | . 43 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Competitor | 34.5 | 14.0 | . 14 | 10 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 1,163 | 4.1 | . 000 | . 295 |
| Sel N.East Region | 33.8 | 13.9 | . 10 | 10 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 1,059 | 4.8 | . 000 | . 343 |
| Doct Large | 33.6 | 14.7 | . 06 | 10 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 994 | 5.0 | . 000 | . 341 |
| Top 50\% | 36.1 | 14.0 | . 03 | 15 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 971 | 2.5 | . 000 | . 176 |
| Top 10\% | 38.6 | 13.5 | . 08 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 31,728 | . 0 | . 920 | -. 003 |

Discussions with Diverse Others

| URI $(\mathrm{N}=914)$ | 39.3 | 15.2 | .50 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major Competitor | 39.5 | 15.3 | .16 |
| Sel N.East Region | 40.9 | 15.3 | .11 |
| Doct Large | 40.6 | 15.5 | .06 |
| Top $50 \%$ | 42.0 | 15.6 | .03 |
| Top $10 \%$ | 43.5 | 15.4 | .06 |


| 15 | 30 | 40 | 55 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 10,043 | -.2 | .748 | -.011 |
| 15 | 30 | 40 | 55 | 60 | 19,056 | -1.6 | .002 | -.104 |
| 15 | 30 | 40 | 55 | 60 | 59,232 | -1.3 | .012 | -.084 |
| 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 921 | -2.7 | .000 | -.174 |
| 20 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 942 | -4.2 | .000 | -.274 |

NSSE
national survey of student engagement

# Detailed Statistics ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> University of Rhode Island 

Detailed Statistics: Seniors

|  | Mean statistics |  |  | Percentile ${ }^{\text {d }}$ scores |  |  |  |  | Comparison results |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | $S D^{b}$ | $S E^{\text {c }}$ | 5th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th | Deg. of freedom ${ }^{e}$ | Mean diff. | Sig. ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | Effect <br> size ${ }^{g}$ |
| Experiences with Faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student-Faculty Interaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URI ( $\mathrm{N}=931$ ) | 28.3 | 15.3 | . 50 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 55 |  |  |  |  |
| Major Competitor | 24.7 | 15.2 | . 15 | 0 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 55 | 10,668 | 3.6 | . 000 | . 238 |
| Sel N.East Region | 24.6 | 15.5 | . 11 | 0 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 55 | 20,256 | 3.7 | . 000 | . 242 |
| Doct Large | 23.8 | 15.6 | . 06 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 55 | 63,008 | 4.5 | . 000 | . 290 |
| Top 50\% | 29.9 | 15.9 | . 05 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 89,764 | -1.6 | . 003 | -. 099 |
| Top 10\% | 33.9 | 15.8 | . 14 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 14,539 | -5.6 | . 000 | -. 356 |
| Effective Teaching Practices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URI ( $\mathrm{N}=929$ ) | 37.5 | 11.9 | . 39 | 20 | 28 | 36 | 44 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| Major Competitor | 38.0 | 13.1 | . 13 | 16 | 28 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 1,156 | -. 4 | . 301 | -. 033 |
| Sel N.East Region | 38.3 | 13.5 | . 10 | 16 | 28 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 1,049 | -. 7 | . 070 | -. 054 |
| Doct Large | 38.4 | 13.5 | . 05 | 16 | 28 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 965 | -. 8 | . 036 | -. 061 |
| Top 50\% | 41.8 | 13.6 | . 04 | 20 | 32 | 40 | 52 | 60 | 943 | -4.2 | . 000 | -. 310 |
| Top 10\% | 43.5 | 13.5 | . 07 | 20 | 36 | 44 | 56 | 60 | 985 | -6.0 | . 000 | -. 444 |

Campus Environment
Quality of Interactions

| URI (N = 886) | 39.4 | 11.3 | .38 | 20 | 32 | 40 | 46 | 58 |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Major Competitor | 40.0 | 11.8 | .13 | 18 | 32 | 42 | 48 | 60 | 1,092 | -.6 |
| Sel N.East Region | 39.8 | 12.4 | .09 | 18 | 32 | 40 | 50 | 60 | .150 | -.049 |
| Doct Large | 41.1 | 12.1 | .05 | 20 | 34 | 42 | 50 | 60 | -.4 | .327 |
| Top $50 \%$ | 45.2 | 11.8 | .03 | 23 | 38 | 48 | 54 | 60 | 918 | -.031 |
| Top 10\% | 47.4 | 12.0 | .05 | 24 | 40 | 50 | 58 | 60 | 895 | -5.7 |

Supportive Environment
URI ( $\mathrm{N}=898$ )
Major Competito

| 33.3 | 12.8 | .43 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31.3 | 13.4 | .14 |
| 31.4 | 13.8 | .10 |
| 31.7 | 13.8 | .06 |
| 34.8 | 14.0 | .04 |
| 37.0 | 14.0 | .08 |


| 13 | 25 | 33 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 23 | 30 | 4 |
| 10 | 20 | 30 | 4 |
| 10 | 23 | 33 | 4 |
| 13 | 25 | 35 | 4 |
| 13 | 28 | 38 | 4 |


| 1,105 | 2.0 | .000 | .152 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,006 | 1.9 | .000 | .137 |
| 930 | 1.6 | .000 | .113 |
| 909 | -1.5 | .000 | -.108 |
| 964 | -3.7 | .000 | -.264 |

a. Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).
b. Standard deviation is a measure of the amount the individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.
c. Standard error of the mean, used to compute a confidence interval (CI) around the sample mean. For example, the $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ (equal to the sample mean $+/-1.96 \times \mathrm{SE}$ ) is the range that is $95 \%$ likely to contain the true population mean.
d. A percentile is the point in the distribution of student-level EI scores at or below which a given percentage of EI scores fall.
e. Degrees of freedom used to compute the $t$-tests. Values vary from the total Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.
f. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between the mean of your institution and that of the comparison group occurred by chance.
g. Effect size is the mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.


[^0]:    Notes: Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your Institutional Report and available on the NSSE website.
    a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage - Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0 .

[^1]:    Notes: Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your Institutional Report and available on the NSSE website.
    a. Percentage point difference $=$ Institution percentage - Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0 .

[^2]:    Notes: Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your Institutional Report and available on the NSSE website.
    a. Percentage point difference $=$ Institution percentage - Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0 .

[^3]:    Notes: Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your Institutional Report and available on the NSSE website.
    a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage - Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0 .

[^4]:    Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

[^5]:    Notes: Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your Institutional Report and available on the NSSE website.
    a. Percentage point difference $=$ Institution percentage - Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0 .

[^6]:    Notes: Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your Institutional Report and available on the NSSE website.
    a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage - Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0 .

[^7]:    Notes: Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your Institutional Report and available on the NSSE website.
    a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage - Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0 .

[^8]:    Notes: Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your Institutional Report and available on the NSSE website.
    a. Percentage point difference = Institution percentage - Comparison group percentage. Because results are rounded to whole numbers, differences of less than 1 point may or may not display a bar. Small, but nonzero differences may be represented as +0 or -0 .

[^9]:    Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation; *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < . 001 (2-tailed).
    a. Precision-weighted means (produced by Hierarchical Linear Modeling) were used to determine the top 50\% and top 10\% institutions for each Engagement Indicator from all NSSE 2018 and 2019 institutions, separately by class. Using this method, Engagement Indicator scores of institutions with relatively large standard errors were adjusted toward the mean of all students, while those with smaller standard errors received smaller corrections. As a result, schools with less stable data-even those with high average scores-may not be among the top scorers. NSSE does not publish the names of the top $50 \%$ and top $10 \%$ institutions because of our commitment not to release institutional results and our policy against ranking institutions.
    b. Check marks are assigned to comparisons that are either significant and positive, or non-significant with an effect size >-. 10 .

