Members in Attendance:
Don DeHayes (Chair), Christina L. Valentino, Linda Barrett, Thorr Bjorn, Faye Boudreaux-Bartels, Wendy Bucci, Lori Ciccomascalo, Steven D'Hondt, Rachel DiCioccio, Mary Jo Gonzales, John Kirby, Lindsey McLennan, Trish Morokoff, Ann Morrissey, Ellen Reynolds, Naomi Thompson & Kim Washor

Members Absent: Sharon Bell, Ken Kermes, Amanda Rode, Joelle Rollo-Koster, and Gerry Sonnenfeld

Guests: Chris McMahan and Jayne Pelletier

1. Announcements
   - 8th annual Academic Summit, highest turnout; mostly faculty
   - Rolled out the Academic Plan at the summit; Innovation with Impact is the theme
   - Most important thing we have to do in the last five years
   - Goal five was outlined under a SBPC subcommittee chaired by Ellen Reynolds; this will be key to the other goals; efficient business practices will help all areas
   - Plan is well received
   - Ann Morrissey was instrumental in finalizing the document
   - Governor's budget is positive for higher education; we requested $11M in State Appropriation; tuition and mandatory fee rates we held level per the Governor and Board of Education
   - Seeking clarification on some items
   - Governor has Innovation in budget; potential to commercialize ideas and lead to enterprise; Governor sees higher education as part of rebuilding the economy; the money for innovation appears to be in the Commerce budget; new “faculty of practice” in the faculty contract ties into this area
   - CELS is in process of working with companies
   - GO Bond – Phase II Engineering $25.5M
   - GO Bond $20M Innovation
   - Governor put out a max of $200M GO Bonds; $70M is for Quonset
   - Facility about one mile from here owned by Schneider Electric (60,000 sq. ft. could be available to us; potentially a short surge space for Engineering; good place for Innovation Center)
   - Fine Arts Building is not in the Governor’s budget
   - Perhaps this project could be revisited in the spring per Governor’s Office from other funds?

2. Approval of December 18, 2015 Minutes
   - Approved as presented
3. 2015 CIP submission to State & Implications for SBPC review process
   ➢ Should we have a subcommittee of SBPC review the projects?
   ➢ Vice Chair mentioned that the presenting for only one hour may not be enough time.
   ➢ Should we take the projects that are rated low off of the list or do we continue to review?

4. State Funding Issues
   a. Funding formula
   b. Performance based funding
      ➢ In response to this item emerging in January, staff involved
      ➢ RI never had a formula that determined the State Appropriation
      ➢ In last five-to ten years, most states have modified the formula of number of students and
         now look at numbers of degrees granted; goal is to get incentives lined up in the right direction
      ➢ 38 of the 50 states have a performance funding model
      ➢ Discussion today about the two different but related items
      ➢ Trish, Steve and Joelle have participated

a. Funding Formula: variation on enrollment and graduation theme; tie the state
   appropriation to how many students you have, where they are, cost of each discipline;
   tries to break out the students into categories (we can argue about this) and rate the
   categories; need to check if they are using the metrics for all institutions; need to raise
   this issue; research issue is not addressed; Athletics, and Sea and Land Grant are also
   not addressed by a credit completion model; we are absorbing those costs so need to be
   included; Commissioner said he just took the Nevada model; Nevada has not done well;
   Commissioner said 20% of state appropriation is from state (he is dividing the tuition #
   by the state appropriation #); Commissioner seems locked into considering course
   hours; URI access mission is critical; Program Contribution Allocation was done in
   FY2000 that determined the cost of each program, some numbers relate to incentives;
   Legislature may or may not act on it.

b. Performance Based Funding: Senate President champions this; McNamara co-
   sponsored; concept started with sanctioned based model; moved to incentive based
   model; what is the base year? Do we get anything for our progress in the last five years?
   Graduation is a metric; retention is not in there yet; we can suggest one metric; number
   of degree completions; each institution selects one, another from the schools, the board
   and commissioner together. What baseline do you use? URI metrics and baseline
   suggestions will be discussed at the next meeting; all schools have to agree. Each school
   will present additional metrics and Commissioner weighs in. Access in formula – Pell
   Grant recipients used. Important to continue to provide input on this subject; major
   cost to providing service to the state; how do we get performance credit for significant
   portion of outreach, research, etc.

Article 20 – created the two Councils. More authority to schools. Unfortunately we are
moving more towards less authority to schools and more authority to Commissioner’s
Office.
5. Random Selection of Teams for divisions requests:
   ➢ Provost IT – Lori Ciccomascolo and Lindsay McLennan
   ➢ President’s Division – Faye Boudreaux-Bartels and Amanda Rode
   ➢ Administration & Finance – Joelle Rollo-Koster and Naomi Thompson
   ➢ Student Affairs – Trish Morokoff and Wendy Bucci
   ➢ Research & Economic Development – Rachel DiCioccio and Kim Washor
   ➢ Athletics – Ellen Reynolds and Steven D’Hondt
   ➢ Academic Affairs – John Kirby, Sharon Bell and Ken Kermes

6. Other

Reminder:
FY2018 (July 1, 2017-June 30, 2018) Divisional Requests
➢ New Funding Request Proposals
➢ Due to Budget & Financial Planning Office March 25, 2016
➢ Related link to four documents:
   http://web.uri.edu/sbpc/supporting-documents/

Meeting adjourned at 10:30 am

Next meeting March 10, 9:00 - 10:30 am, Ballentine Hall
Minutes by Linda Barrett and Lisa Fiorio
Budget & Financial Planning Office