

CRITERIA & GUIDELINES FOR A WELL-DEVELOPED PROGRAM ASSESSMENT REPORT SECTION I

Section I				
Outcome(s) Examined &	Data/Evidence	Evaluation Process	Results &	Recommendations
Learning Question			Reflection	& Planning
1	 Identify the data/evidence* (student work), other than grades**, used to determine whether students achieved stated learning outcome(s). Describe the type of artifact and sampling procedure, including the population to whom the results are generalized. Use of direct evidence is required and use of indirect evidence is optional. Mixed methods, or using both sources of evidence, may enhance the assessment process. Sampling procedure includes the number of students sampled, during which semesters, where in the curriculum the outcome was assessed (e.g., in the course(s), section(s), or a program requirement), and a description of the population to whom the results are generalized.	Identify the method(s) or process(es) used to evaluate student work, including the evaluation tool/instrument (e.g., rubric), expected level of student achievement, who applied the evaluation tool, who interpreted the results, and who will use the results. Methods selected to evaluate evidence should provide an appropriate and thorough examination of student learning outcomes.	Reflection Examine quantitative results, including comparison of expected levels of achievement to actual levels of achievement, patterns of strengths, weaknesses, and reflections and conclusions. Examine qualitative results as they inform or affect the overall results and include reflections. Results should	& Planning Demonstrate how assessment results will be used to contribute to program improvement and enhance student learning:
Student learning outcome statements (SLO) are clear, concise statements that specify how students will demonstrate what they will know, or will be able to do, upon completion of a program. The statements should reflect the highest levels of skill required by the course(s) in the program. Alignment of critical program outcomes within appropriate courses is essential for a cohesive program. SLO's should include action verbs, which make the outcomes measurable. Examples of action verbs can be found in Bloom's Taxonomy.	Both data-collection sources are important, but indirect evidence is not sufficient alone. The goal is to select methods that provide meaningful information. Direct evidence or indicators of student learning: Reveals what students know in the form of a product; can include student work such as research projects, embedded questions on assignments or exams across sections, presentations, thesis or dissertation proposals and defenses, practicums, capstone projects, portfolio review. Indirect evidence or indicators of student learning: Reveals perception, opinion; can include student/alumni surveys, focus groups, supervisor surveys, employer surveys, focus groups, and self-reflections. *Some sources of evidence can often be found in the curriculum map, which links program requirements to outcomes. **Grades in courses or on exams are not typically sufficient	For example, a detailed scoring rubric with criteria that differentiates levels of achievement can be developed and used to score student work (e.g., essays, portfolios, oral exams, performances, etc.).	thoroughly address the learning outcome(s) assessed and are reported in such a way that they can be understood by persons outside the content area. When quantitative data are part of the evidence, the full results (e.g., in tables) are provided. Appropriate visual aids (e.g., graphs) are used to demonstrate expected levels of achievement to actual	measuring the impact of the change. Results should include what was learned about the effectiveness of the assessment process and how those discussions create recommendations. What do the results mean for teaching and learning practices? The summary should clearly indicate how the program will use what was learned. For ideas from a variety of disciplines, please see the Assessment Showcase. A logical timeframe for

Updated 2.9.21 pg.1

evidence unless all program faculty have agreed on key levels of achievement. implementing the change and The learning/research question features of the syllabus and rubrics to evaluate students, to re-assessing the change should be should frame the goal for the ensure the same standards are applied across courses, provided. assessment project, and inform sections, instructors, and years. Remember, the goal is to the student work examined and determine whether the **program** is meeting its learning goals for students as defined by the faculty, not whether a the focus of recommendations following assessment. student has met a particular instructor's goals. One way to make this work is to use a standardized final assignment in a **Example SLO:** "Students will course, allow for minor variations of content across apply mathematical reasoning instructors, and score with a standardized rubric. based on definitions, axioms, and theorems to read and write mathematical proofs." **Example Learning Question:** "Can students apply mathematical reasoning skills to a current issue in mathematics in upper-level courses?" For additional examples of SLO's and associated learning questions which programs

pursued related to focus their assessment activity, go here.