|  |
| --- |
| **Student Program & Year: MS: \_\_\_Y1 \_\_\_Y2 PhD: \_\_\_Y1 \_\_\_Y2 \_\_\_Y3 or more** |
| **COMMUNICATION SKILLS** | ***Outstanding*** | ***Excellent*** | ***Very Good*** | ***Good***  | ***Fair*** | ***Poor*** | ***NA*** |
| 1. Were the slides easy to read & interpret?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Did the presentation follow a logical and interesting sequence?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Did graphics reinforce text and add to the presentation?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Did the student clearly explain the research design or methods used?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Was the presentation pace appropriate ?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Did the student answer questions accurately and concisely?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **KNOWLEDGE BASE** |  |
| 1. Was the literature thoroughly reviewed?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Was appropriate historical context & clinical relevance presented?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Did the student demonstrate a thorough understanding of the subject matter?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Did the student demonstrate confidence with the subject matter in the Q&A session?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **RESEARCH METHODS** |  |
| 1. Was the research question clear and well-defined?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Was the study appropriately designed?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Were statistical methods appropriate?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **CRITICAL THINKING** |  |
| 1. Did the student critically evaluate the literature?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Were the conclusions justified by the data presented?
 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  **OVERALL EVALUATION** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |