Student Ratings of Instruction System Guiding Questions for Interpreting Reports



These guiding questions will help you interpret your IDEA Diagnostic Report. Below, you will find the broad questions each page is focused on. Pages two through six contain more indepth questions for interpreting your results.

C	n other		of Diature	COMM 330 (2): Mass Comm Thry/Rsrch	
Sumn	native	e view: Bi	g Picture	Spring 2015	
• Ho	ow dio	d I do?		Sample Instructor Sudents Enrolled In In	
				🛓 Summative 🚯 Formative 🔹 Quantitative 🔳 Qualitative 🗮 Segment Comparison	
				Summary Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness View: Raw Averages v Compare to: DEA Discipline v	% Rate
			Formative @ Quantit	Summary Progress on Relevant Objectives Ratings of Summative Questions	
	 Summary Evaluation of Teaching Effective Overall Ratings 			Your Average: Your Average: Your Average*:	
				4 4.1 4.1 3.8 3.8 4.1 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8	
	Progr	ess on Relevant O	bjectives	Converted Average Comparison: Converted Average Comparison: Converted Average Comparison:	
	E	Learning fundamen	tal principles, generalizations, or t Your Average Compariso		
		4.4		Description of Course and Students	
	I	Learning to apply co	ourse material (to improve thinking		
		Your Average	Your Average Compariso		
		4.2		48 0% 1 or 2 0% 3 80% 4 or 5	
	I			f view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course	
		Your Average	Your Average Comparison	n Percent of Students Rating 48 0% 20% 80%	
Databliab		-		1 or 2 3 4 or 5	
Establish:	I	Developing skill in e Your Average	expressing myself orally or in writin Your Average Comparison		
Displayed		3.8	41	20% 60% 1 or 2 3	
Your Ave			_ 4.6	1 of 4 Relevant Objectives	
Students	-	200		o apply course material (to improve thinking, problem d decisions)	
0% 1 or	0% 100% 1 or 2 4 or 5 Found ways to help students answer their own questions			Progress on Relevant Objectives:	
Found way				Student Learning Details	
Your Aver	rage			• What did students learn?	
Students	Rating		_ 3.6 Learning fu	undamental principles, generalizations, or theories	
0% 1 or	Students Rating Learning for 0% 40% 1 or 2 40%			Formative Page:	
Explained t	the reasons f	or criticisms of stud	ents' academic performance		
Encourageo	d student-fao	ulty interaction out	side of class (office visits, ph	What can I do differently?	

Sample Instructor		Image: Students Enrolled Image: Students Responded Image: Students Responded
Summary Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness Summary You Greage: 4	Qualitative Segment Comparison Vie gress an Data /art Objectives r Average: 4.1 erted Average Comparison: 49	w: Raw Averages Compare to: IDEA Discipline Compare to: ID
Course Description	Your Raw Average Scores	Compared to Group Averages
Amount of reading	42	68
Amount of work in other (non-reading) assignments	3.8	57
Difficulty of subject matter	3.8	62
Student Description	Your Raw Average Scores	Compared to Group Averages
3 Livorked harder on this course than on most courses I have taken.	38	54
I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	26	36
As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work.	3.4	34

- 1. What percent of the class responded? (60% or higher response rate is desirable)
- 2. What was the average progress on relevant objectives? (those selected as Essential or Important)
- 3. Based on items for student motivation (I really wanted to take this class regardless of who taught it) and student work habits (As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work), what predictions would you make about adjusted scores? (Would they go up or down?)
- 4. How do the below scores compare to others? (IDEA database, discipline, & institution): a. Progress on Relevant Objectives
 - b. Course description
 - c. Student description
- 5. What was the average score on the overall ratings (excellent teacher & excellent course)?
- 6. Would you say this course was effectively taught? Why/why not? (Summary of all ratings)

Guiding Questions for Interpreting Reports: Student Progress on Relevant Objectives

_				4	
Sun	imary Evaluatio	n of Teaching Effectiveness		View: Raw Averages Compare to: IDEA Disci	Jline
Overa	III Ratings	1			4
rogre	ess on Relevant O	bjectives			
Е	Learning fundament	tal principles, generalizations, or theories			
ا '	Your Average	Your Average Comparison	Percent of Students Rating		
	4.4	55	0%		
	•		1 or 2	4 or 5	
I	Learning to <i>apply</i> co	ourse material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisio	ns) 3		
	Your Average	Your Average Comparison	Percent of Students Rating		
	4.2		0% 1 or 2 20 %	8 80% 4 or 5	
I		skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals		ourse	
	Your Average	Your Average Comparison	Percent of Students Rating		
	4.2		0% 1 or 2 20 9	80% 4 or 5	
I	Develoring skill in e	expressing myself orally or in writing			
-	Your Average	Your Average Comparison	Percent of Students Rating		
			20%	\$ 60%	
	3.8		1 or 2 3	4 or 5	
I	Acquiring an interes	st in learning more by asking my own questions and seeking ans	vers		
	Your Avera ze	Your Average Comparison	Percent of Students Rating		
	3.8		20%	\$ 60%	

- 1. What is the average progress on each of the selected objectives?
- 2. How many objectives were selected as essential or important?
- 3. What percent of students reported substantial or exceptional progress (4 or 5) on those objectives?
- 4. How do these results compare to group averages?
- 5. Identify which objectives need the most attention.

Guiding Questions for Interpreting Reports: Formative Page (expanded view)

L Summative D Formative Quantitation	ve 🗉 Qualitative 😂 Segment Comparison		
aching Methods and Styles			
timulating Student Interest	Suggested Action		
Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject n	natter	Strength to retain	
timulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required	by most courses	Strength to retain	
ntroduced stimulating ideas about the subject		Strength to retain	
nspired students to set and achieve goals which really challen	ged them	Strength to retain	
ostering Student Collaboration		Suggested Action	
Formed "teams" or "discussion groups" to facilitate learning			
Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others who	ose backgrounds and viewpoints differ from their own	Strength to retain	
Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concept	'S	Strength to retain	
stablishing Rapport		Suggested Action	
Displayed a personal interest in students and their learning		Strength to retain	
	arning to <i>apply</i> course material (to improve thinking, problem living, and decisions)	Suggested Action You employed the method more frequently than those teaching classes of similar size and level of student motivation. • POD IDEA Note	
Found ways to help students answer their own questions		Consider increasing use	
3.6 Students Rating 0% 1 or 2 40% 4 or 5	I 1 of 5 Relevant Objectives	Suggested Action You employed the method Joss frequently than those teaching classes of similar size and level of student motivation. • POD IDEA Note	
Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic perf	Retain current use or consider increasing		
ncouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (office	visits, phone calls, e-mail, etc.)		
Encouraging Student Involvement		Suggested Action	

This page shows details for each of the teaching methods associated with the objectives identified on the Faculty Information Form. Ask yourself:

- 1. Which teaching method has the greatest number of relevant learning objectives?
- According to students, how frequently were these teaching methods employed by the instructor? (1=Hardly ever, 2=Occasionally, 4=Frequently, 5=Almost always)
- 3. View the POD/IDEA note for a description of the teaching method, ways to employ the method, and additional references and resources about the teaching method and the learning objectives associated with the method.
- 4. Based on the information in the POD-IDEA Notes, what is one change that might better student learning?