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Dictyota is a genus of tropical to warm temperate brown algae characterized by parenchymatous, flattened thalli that grow from a single, transversely oriented apical cell. Dictyota is currently distinguished from allied genera of the tribe Dictyoteae (Dilophus, Glossophora, Glossophorella, and Pachydictyon) by the structure of the cortical and medullary layers, as well as the relative abundance of surface proliferations. Even though the traditional classification of the Dictyoteae has repeatedly been criticized in the past, the absence of sound molecular data has so far discouraged any new taxonomic proposals apart from a merger of Dilophus with Dictyota, which has been accepted by only part of the phycological community. Phylogenetic analysis of $r b c L$ gene, partial 26S rDNA sequence, and combined data sets, including four of five generitypes, demonstrates that the traditional classification does not accurately reflect the evolutionary history of the group. None of the genera are resolved as a monophyletic clade. Hence, a merger of Glossophora, Glossophorella, and Pachydictyon in Dictyota is proposed. Two new genera, Canistrocarpus (incorporating D. cervicornis, D. crispata, and D. magneana) and Rugulopteryx (accommodating D. radicans, Dil. suhrii, and Dil. marginata), are proposed. Both genera are supported by molecular indications and a combination of reproductive and vegetative characters. The position of Dil. fastigiatus as a clade sister to Dictyota s.l. and the absence of Dil. gunnianus, the generitype of Dilophus, from the analyses, prevented us from making a more definite statement on the status of the latter genus.
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The Dictyotales represents one of the few brown algal orders whose members can form a conspicuous or even dominant component of tropical and temperate marine algal floras. The success of these macroalgae has often been linked to their ability to deter grazers in environments subject to severe grazing pressure, which makes them an important competitor of corals and other sessile benthic organisms for both space and light in many marine coastal ecosystems. From a systematic point of view, the order Dictyotales is welldefined, all members being characterized by apical growth, flattened parenchymatous thalli, and hairs aggregated in small tufts on the thallus surface. The life cycle is diplohaplontic and isomorphic. Sexual reproduction is always oogamous, and the male gametes are generally uniflagellate, except in some Zonaria species, which are characterized by biflagellate spermatozoids (van den Hoek et al. 1995, Phillips 1997). The diploid sporophyte typically produces unilocular sporangia with four nonflagellate meiospores (tetraspores), but a few genera produce eight aplanospores per sporangium (e.g. Lobophora and Zonaria) or flagellate spores (e.g. Exallosorus, Phillips 1997), features that are considered primitive.

The order Dictyotales consists of the large family Dictyotaceae, in which some 20 genera are currently recognized, plus two little-known monospecific genera,

Table 1. Genera of the Dictyoteae with indication of the respective generitypes, defining characters, and number of species.

|  |  |  | Defining characters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Dictyotopsis and Scoresbyella, that are each provisionally assigned to a separate family, the Dictyotopsidaceae (Allender 1980) and Scoresbyellaceae (Womersley 1987), respectively. The family Dictyotaceae is subdivided into the two tribes Dictyoteae and Zonarieae on the basis of the number of meristematic cells at the frond apices. In contrast the Zonarieae have a row or a small group of such cells, members of the Dictyoteae are characterized by a single, transversely oriented, lenticular apical cell. Recent molecular phylogenies have largely confirmed this traditional tribal classification (Lee and Bae 2002, Hoshina et al. 2004, Kraft et al. 2004). There is much less consensus, however, about genus delineations within the tribe Dictyoteae. J. Agardh (1882, 1894) originally recognized four genera (Dictyota, Dilophus, Glossophora, and Pachydictyon) that were distinguished by the relative number of cortical and medullary layers and by the presence or absence of surface proliferations (Table 1, Fig. 1). In Agardh's system, Dictyota comprised species with a unilayered cortex and medulla, whereas those with a multilayered medulla, in at least some part of the thallus, were assigned to Dilophus. Species with a unilayered medulla but a cortex that is at least locally composed of several
layers were placed in Pachydictyon. Glossophora, a genus comprising only three species restricted to Australia, New Zealand, the Pacific coast of South America, and the Galapagos Archipelago, was chiefly characterized by the presence of multiple surface proliferations that even occasionally may bear reproductive structures. Glossophora is only to a lesser extent defined on the number of cortical and medullary layers, which are reported to be variable even within the respective species (Womersley 1987). Surface proliferations also characterize several Dictyota and Dilophus species, but they are always less abundant than in Glossophora and never serve as sporophylls. Recently a fifth genus, Glossophorella, was added to the Dictyoteae. It is characterized by multiple cortical layers, duplication of medullary cells near the margins, and the presence of surface proliferations (Nizamuddin and Campbell 1995).

The distinction among these four or five genera has been the subject of considerable debate, as some species are particularly hard to assign to one or another genus (Setchell and Gardner 1925, Taylor 1945, Dawson 1950). Hörnig et al. (1992a, b) demonstrated experimentally that the number of medullary layers can be altered in many species depending on the


Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the traditional defining characters of genera in the tribe Dictyoteae.
culture conditions. They concluded that Dilophus did not warrant recognition at the generic level and hence proposed a merger of Dilophus with Dictyota. Although several authors have accepted the merger, others continue to recognize Dilophus as a separate genus (Phillips 1992, Huisman 2000). Moreover, recently published molecular phylogenies (Lee and Bae 2002, Hoshina et al. 2004, Kraft et al. 2004) indicate that the separation of Dilophus and Dictyota is justified, but taxon sampling in these studies was on the low side for drawing sound taxonomic conclusions. The status of Pachydictyon, Glossophora, and Glossophorella has received much less attention. The morphological data presented on Dictyota naevosa (Suhr) Montagne and D. radicans Harvey by De Clerck and Coppejans (2003) show that generic boundaries between Dictyota and the above-mentioned genera are equally fuzzy, indicating that these genera also need to be considered in a global evaluation of generic concepts in the Dictyoteae. Additionally, Hwang et al. (2004) showed that at least one species of Pachydictyon, P. coriaceum (Holmes) Okamura, is resolved within the genus Dictyota using a combination of three different chloroplast-encoded genes ( $r b c \mathrm{~L}, p s a \mathrm{~A}$, and $p s b \mathrm{~A}$ ).

In recent times, authors have attempted to find more stable and pylogenetically informative reproductive characters to separate genera. Phillips (1992) showed that marked differences exist in the arrangement and structure of sporangia among the Australian Dilophus species, several of which (Dil. fastigiatus, Dil. robustus, and Dil. marginatus) are characterized by sporangia subtended by two stalk cells rather than one as is the rule in the other Dilophus species and throughout the Dictyoteae generally. Coppejans et al. (2001) and De Clerck (2003) observed that male reproductive structures (antheridia) in D. crispata Lamouroux and D. magneana De Clerck et Coppejans are not surrounded by hyaline, unicellular paraphyses but by densely pigmented multicellular filaments. De Paula et al. (2001) highlighted the aberrant nature of the D. crisp-ata-cervicornis-magneana group in respect to bioactive secondary metabolites, as these show unique diterpene signatures that are markedly different from those of the remaining Dictyota and Dilophus species examined. In the absence of reliable support from gene-sequence data, the transfer of the D. crispata-cervicornis-magneana group to a separate genus has been suggested but not effected (see De Clerck 2003).

This study aims to: (1) assess the status of the genera currently placed in the Dictyoteae based on sequence data of the plastid encoded large subunit RUBISCO gene ( $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ ) and nuclear encoded large subunit rDNA (26S, partial); (2) evaluate the taxonomic utility of traditional morphological characters at the generic level; (3) assess the concordance of recently introduced anatomical characters with the molecular phylogenetic results; and (4) interpret the molecular phylogeny in a morphological context and propose a revised classification of the tribe Dictyoteae. For clarity reasons, names of taxa follow the traditional
classification recognizing all five genera of the Dictyoteae.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Taxon sampling and laboratory protocols. Broad taxon sampling was carried out to ensure as complete a representation as possible of the Dictyoteae (Table 2). This sampling included generitypes of all but one genus, as well as several species that are questionably placed in their present genera based on morphological inconsistencies. Sequences of the Dictyoteae were supplemented by a wide selection of taxa spanning the entire phylogenetic spectrum of the Zonarieae. The latter were defined as out-group. The sole representative of the family Scoresbyellaceae, S. profunda, was also included.

Following Chase and Hillis (1991), DNA was extracted from samples desiccated in the field in silica gel, and vouchers were deposited in GENT. Total genomic DNA was extracted using a standard CTAB-extraction method and subsequent purification with a Wizard ${ }^{\circledR}$ DNA Clean-Up System (Promega Inc., Madison, WI, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. The $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ gene was amplified as a single or as two overlapping products. In order to amplify the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ gene in a single stretch, the $r b c$ L68F primer (Draisma et al. 2001) was combined with the reverse primer S3R (Siemer et al. 1998). If two separate products were needed, $r b c \mathrm{~L} 68 \mathrm{~F}$ was combined with $r b c \mathrm{~L} 1380 \mathrm{R}$ and $r b c$ L496F with S3R (all primer sequences listed in Draisma et al. 2001). The $5^{\prime}$-end of the 26 S rDNA [approximately 1200 base pairs (bp)] was amplified as a single product using primers AB28 (Draisma et al. 2001) and T13N (Harper and Saunders 2001). The PCR conditions of all primer combinations consisted of an initial denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min , followed by $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , annealing at $46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min for 28 cycles, followed by a final extension of 10 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Excess primer and dNTP were removed with ExoSAP-IT ${ }^{\circledR}$ (USB Corp., Cleveland, OH, USA) for 15 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 15 min at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to inactivate the enzymes. The resulting products were used for cycle sequencing with the primers of the initial PCR reactions using an ABI Prism Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit following the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing products were analyzed with an ABI 3100 Prism Genetic Analyzer (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Sequences were edited and assembled with Autoassembler version 1.4.0. The $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ gene, lacking indels, was aligned by eye in BioEdit 7.0.4.1 (Hall 1999). Owing to missing data at the $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ termini of the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ sequences, a 1207 bp fragment was selected for analysis excluding the first 105 and last 155 bp of the 1467 bp gene.

The 26 S sequences were aligned on the basis of secondary structure information with DCSE v. 2.60 (De Rijk and De Wachter 1993). The rationale for using secondary-structure models for aligning rRNA sequences is based on the fact that the conservation of secondary structures exceeds that of nucleotides (Kjer 1995). The 26 S sequence of Scytosiphon lomentaria (Lyngbye) Link, the only representative of the Phaeophyceae incorporated in the European Ribosomal RNA data base (http://www.psb.ugent.be/rRNA/, Wuyts et al. 2004), was used as a model for building our alignment. The alignment of the variable B13-1, B14, B15, D5, and D5-1 helices and the highly variable region enclosed by the C1 helix (see De Rijk et al. 1999 for nomenclature) was aided by folding the sequences of each sample using the Mfold software (http:// www.bioinfo.rpi.edu/, Zuker 2003). Foldings were conducted at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a search within $5 \%$ of thermodynamic suboptimality. The different optimal and suboptimal secondary structures were screened for common motifs. The aligned partial rDNA sequences were 1363 sites in total. The region enclosed
Table 2. Taxa used in this study in the phylogenetic analysis.

| Таха | Collecting data | Accession number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ | 26 S |
| Dictyota acutiloba J. Agardh | Ala Moana, Honolulu, Oahu, Hawaii (O. De Clerck, 25.iv.2003, ODC888) | DQ472056 | DQ472111 |
| Dictyota alternifida J. Agardh | Australia (W. J. Lee et al., unpublished) | AY422662 |  |
| Dictyota bartayresii var. plectens Allender and Kraft | Ned's Beach, Lord Howe Island (G. W. Saunders, 11.iii.2001, GWS1029) | DQ472052 | DQ472106 |
| Dictyota bartayresii var. plectens Allender and Kraft | Keppel Bay, Yeppoon, Queensland, Australia (T. Cowling, 18.viii.2005, TC2) | DQ472085 |  |
| Dictyota canaliculata De Clerck and Coppejans | SW Cabilao Island, Philippines (H. Verbruggen, 29.i.2004, HV678) | DQ472062 | DQ472117 |
| Dictyota cervicornis Kützing | Apale, Isabel, Leyte (D. A. Payo, 17.vii.2003, DAP021) | DQ472073 |  |
| Dictyota cervicornis Kützing | Maribago, Mactan Island, Philippines (H. Verbruggen, 24.i.2004, HV619) | DQ472049 |  |
| Dictyota cervicornis Kützing | SE of Olango Island, Philippines (H. Verbruggen, 25.i.2004, HV631) | DQ472048 | DQ472103 |
| Dictyota cervicornis Kützing | SW Panglao Island, Philippines (H. Verbruggen, 1.ii.2004, HV711) | DQ472047 | DQ472102 |
| Dictyota ceylanica Kützing | Faaa, Tahiti, French Polynesia (H. Verbruggen, 21.v.2002, HV214a) | DQ472067 | DQ472122 |
| Dictyota ciliolata Sonder ex Kützing | SE Olango Island, Philippines (H. Verbruggen, 25.i.2004, HV632) | DQ472053 | DQ472107 |
| Dictyota ciliolata Sonder ex Kützing | Balicasag Island, Bohol, Philippines (D. A. Payo, 26.vii.2003, DAP029) | DQ472071 | DQ472125 |
| Dictyota crispata Lamouroux | Hinakpan, Manicani, Guiuan, Eastern Samar, Philippines (D. A. Payo, 5.viii.2003, DAP048) | DQ472070 | DQ472124 |
| Dictyota crispata Lamouroux | Bantayan Beach, Dumaguete, Philippines (D. A. Payo, 5.viii.2003, DAP039) | DQ472069 |  |
| Dictyota dichotoma (Hudson) Lamouroux | Point du Nid de Corbet, Audresselles, France (O. De Clerck, 16.x.2004, ODC 1027) | DQ472051 | DQ472105 |
| Dictyota dichotoma (Hudson) Lamouroux | Le Troc, Banyuls sur Mer, France (O. De Clerck, 24.v.2005, ODC1055) | DQ472080 |  |
| Dictyota "dichotoma" (Hudson) Lamouroux | Japan (R. Hoshina et al., unpublished) | AB096882 |  |
| Dictyota friabilis Setchell | Arue, Tahiti, French Polynesia (H. Verbruggen \& M. Zubia, 16.v.2002, HV153) | DQ472064 | DQ472119 |
| Dictyota friabilis Setchell | Lanikai, Oahu, Hawaii (O. De Clerck, 25.iv.2003, ODC 898) | DQ472065 | DQ472120 |
| Dictyota hamifera Setchell | Afaahiti, Tahiti, French Polynesia (H. Verbruggen and A. N'Yeurt, 23.v.2002, HV222) | DQ472055 | DQ472110 |
| Dictyota jamaicensis Taylor | Drax Hall, East of St. Ann's Bay, St. Ann Parish, Jamaica (H. Verbruggen, 15.viii.2004, HV926) | DQ472061 | DQ472116 |
| Dictyota "koreana" | Korea (Lee et al., unpublished) | AY422668 |  |
| Dictyota mertensii (Martius) Kützing | Drax Hall, East of St. Ann's Bay, St. Ann Parish, Jamaica (H. Verbruggen, 15.viii.2004, HV923) | DQ472060 | DQ472115 |
| Dictyota naevosa (Suhr) Montagne | Mission Rocks, Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa (O. De Clerck and F. Leliaert, 13.vi.2003, KZN2241) | DQ472084 | DQ472108 |
| Dictyota pulchella Hörnig \& Schnetter | East side of airport causeway, St. George, Bermuda (C. E. Lane, and C. W. Schneider, 2.iv.2003, CL030101) | DQ472058 | DQ472113 |
| Dictyota radicans Harvey | Figure of Eight Island, Esperance Bay, South Australia (N. Goldberg, 2.xi.2002, D98) | DQ472045 | DQ472100 |
| Dictyota sandvicensis Sonder ex Kützing | Lanikai, Oahu, Hawaii (O. De Clerck, 25.iv.2003, ODC896) | DQ472063 | DQ472118 |
| Dictyota sp. | Isipingo, Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa (O. De Clerck and F. Leliaert, 16.vi.2003, KZN2305) | DQ472066 | DQ472121 |
| Dilophus alternans J. Agardh | Priory Bay, St. Ann Parish, Jamaica (H. Verbruggen, 12.viii.2004, HV902) | DQ472059 | DQ472114 |
| Dilophus fasciola (Roth) Howe | Ile de Friouel, Marseille, France (O. De Clerck, 23.ix.2004, ODC1029) | DQ472074 | DQ472126 |
| Dilophus fasciola (Roth) Howe | Les Paulilles, Port Vendres, France (O. De Clerck, 23.v.2005, ODC1049) | DQ472078 |  |
| Dilophus fastigiatus Sonder | Woody Island, Esperance Bay, South Australia (N. Goldberg, 3.iv. 2003, D96) | DQ472068 | DQ472123 |
| Dilophus intermedius (Zanardini) Allender and Kraft | Keppel Bay, Yeppoon, Queensland, Australia (T. Cowling, 18.viii.2005, TC1) | DQ472086 |  |
| Dilophus intermedius (Zanardini) Allender and Kraft | Lord Howe Island, Australia (G. W Saunders, 11.iii.2001, GWS1020) | DQ472075 |  |
| Dilophus marginatus J. Agardh | Victoria, Australia (G. W Saunders, GWS0111) | DQ472043 | DQ472098 |
| Dilophus spiralis (Montagne) Hamel | Le Troc, Banyuls sur Mer, France (O. De Clerck, 24.v.2005, ODC1053) | DQ472079 |  |
| Dilophus spiralis (Montagne) Hamel | Le Troc, Banyuls sur Mer, France (O. De Clerck, 24.v.2005, ODC1057) | DQ472081 |  |

Table 2 (Continued)

| Таха | Collecting data | Accession number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ | 26 S |
| Dilophus stoloniferus (Dawson) Schnetter \& Bula-Meyer | Dancalan, N of Bulusan, SW Luzon, Philippines (H. Verbruggen, 11.ii.2004, HV819) | DQ472072 |  |
| Dilophus suhrii (Kützing) Papenfuss | Palm Beach, Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa (O. De Clerck et al., 11.xi.2003, KZN-b 2346) | DQ472044 | DQ472099 |
| Glossophora kunthii (C. Agardh) J. Agardh | Pan de Azucar, Chile (S. Faugeron, viii.2004, Chile-M1) | DQ472057 | DQ472112 |
| Glossophora kunthii (C. Agardh) J. Agardh | Cape Palliser, Wairarapa, New Zealand (G. Zuccarello, 12.ii.2005, NZ-D122) | DQ472076 |  |
| Glossophora nigricans (J. Agardh) | South of Pinguin Island, Perth, Western Australia (J. Huismans, 8.ii.2004, D92) | DQ472077 |  |
| Womersley <br> Glossophorella dhofarensis Nizamuddin and Campbell | Sidah, Dhofar, Oman (T. Schils, 26.ix.2003, DHO163) | DQ472083 | DQ472127 |
| Pachydictyon coriaceum (Holmes) Okamura | Japan (Hoshina et al., unpublished) | AB096890 |  |
| Pachydictyon coriaceum (Holmes) Okamura | Dana Point, Orange County, California, USA (S. Murray, 23.xi.2004, CSUF003) | DQ472054 | DQ472109 |
| Pachydictyon paniculatum (J. Agardh) J. Agardh | Frederick Island, Esperance Bay, South Australia (N. Goldberg, 22.x.2002, D97) | DQ472082 |  |
| Pachydictyon polycladum (Kützing) Womersley | Flinders Jetty, Victoria, Australia (G. W. Saunders, 23.iv.1993, GWS0139) | DQ472050 | DQ472104 |
| Scoresbyella profunda Womersley | Geographe Bay, Western Australia (J. M. Huisman, x. 2003, DIC44) | DQ472046 | DQ472101 |
| Distromium didymothrix Allender and Kraft | Roach Is. Admiralty Group, Lord Howe Island (G. W. Saunders, 12.iii.2001, GWS1041) | DQ472035 | DQ472091 |
| Padina boergeseniï Allender and Kraft | Ala Moana, Honolulu, Oahu, Hawaii (O. De Clerck, 25.iv.2003, ODC890) | DQ472037 | DQ472093 |
| Padina crassa Yamada | Ned's Beach, Lord Howe Island, Australia (G. W. Saunders, 11.iii.2001, GWS1033) | DQ472038 |  |
| Padina sanctae-crucis Børgesen | Whale Bone Bay, St. George's Island, Bermuda (C. E. Lane \& C. W. Schneider, 28.iii.2003, CL 030305) | DQ472036 | DQ472092 |
| Homoeostrichus sinclairii (J. D. Hooker and Harvey) J. Agardh | Figure of Eight Island, Esperance Bay, South Australia (N. Goldberg, 2.xi.2002, D95) | DQ472034 | DQ472090 |
| Stypopodium hawaiiensis (Doty and Newhouse) Abbott | Lanikai, Oahu, Hawaii (O. De Clerck, 25.iv.2003, ODC900) | DQ472040 | DQ472095 |
| Stypopodium flabelliforme Weber-van Bosse | NW side of Cabilao Island, Philippines (H. Verbruggen, 28.i.2004, HV661) | DQ472039 | DQ472094 |
| Taonia atomaria (Woodward) J. Agardh | Cap Creus, Spain (H. Verbruggen, v.2004, HV887) | DQ472041 | DQ472096 |
| Zonaria spiralis (J. Agardh) Papenfuss | Black Island, Esperance Bay, South Australia (N. Goldberg, 14.x.2002, D99) | DQ472031 | DQ472087 |
| Zonaria diesingiana J. Agardh | Manley Beach, Sydney, Australia (G. W. Saunders 10.iii.2001, GWS1015) | DQ472033 | DQ472089 |
| Zonaria subarticulata (Lamouroux) | Protea Banks, Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa (O. De Clerck and F. Leliaert, 9.vi.2003, | DQ472032 | DQ472088 |
| Papenfuss | KZN 2221) |  |  |

Table 3. Tree statistics for combined and partitioned data sets using maximum parsimony.

|  | Base pairs (total /inform/ uninform) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | CI/RI | Length shortest tree (steps) | PBS ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Length if constrained to $r b c \mathrm{~L}-26 \mathrm{~S}$ tree (steps) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | No. of shortest trees | No. of resolved nodes ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r b c \mathrm{~L}+26 \mathrm{~S}$ combined | 2419/585/162 | 0.439/0.580 | 2440 | 317.3 | NA | 13 | 38 |
| 26S | 1212/246/79 | 0.680/0.786 | 678 | 196.7 | 694 (2.4\%) | 125 | 33 |
| $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ - all characters | 1207/339/83 | 0.355/0.504 | 1718 | 120.6 | 1734 (0.9\%) | 10 | 21 |
| $r b c \mathrm{~L}-\operatorname{pos} 1,2$ | 805/67/38 | 0.464/0.564 | 248 | 26.1 | 269 (8.5\%) | > 10.000 | 9 |
| $r b c \mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{pos} 3$ | 402/272/45 | 0.343/0.510 | 1440 | 94.5 | 1458 (1.3\%) | 6 | 32 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of base pairs in partitions and number of potentially informative character changes.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Total partitioned Bremer support (PBS) of each partition from combined analysis of all data.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Minimum length of a tree when constrained to the topology of the combined analysis, with the percentage increase in parentheses.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Number of resolved nodes in a strict consensus tree of all shortest trees.
NA, not available.
by the C1 helix could not be aligned between in-group and out-group taxa. A modified block-coding approach was applied to circumvent this difficulty (Geiger 2002, Verbruggen et al. 2006). Additionally, 276 positions with ambivalent alignment, situated in the loop regions of the variable helices, were removed before phylogenetic analysis.

Data exploration, homoplasy, and phylogenetic information. In order to examine the extent to which homoplasy influenced the resulting phylogeny, several approaches were taken. Given the higher mutation rates of third codon positions relative to first and second codon positions in protein-encoding genes, the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data were tested for substitutional saturation by plotting the observed number of substitutions against corrected distances estimated from maximum likelihood (ML) using a GTR $+I+\Gamma$ model with parameters estimated in PAUP. The number of transversions and transitions was also plotted against uncorrected distances for the first and second codon position and the third position, respectively. To examine the contribution of each gene, tree searches were performed under maximum parsimony (MP; settings as below, but without Goloboff fit) using varying subsets of the combined data set ( $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ all positions, $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ non-third codon positions, $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ third codon position, 26S). Partitioned Bremer Support (PBS, Baker and DeSalle 1997) was computed for each data partition after producing constraint tree files in TreeRot (Sorenson 1999). Likewise, the added tree length for each partition was calculated by constraining it against the tree resulting from the complete data set.

Phylogenetic analysis. MP and ML analyses of the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$, containing 60 sequences belonging to 47 different species, were performed using PAUP 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). MrBayes 3.0 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001) was used for Bayesian phylogenetic inference (BI). MP analyses consisted of heuristic searches with 1000 random sequence addition replicates and Tree bisection reconnection (TBR) with the option MULTREES and the Goloboff fit criterion ( $K=2$, Goloboff 1993) in effect. The optimal models of nucleotide substitution for ML were determined in Modeltest 3.6 according to the Akaike Information Criterion (Posada and Crandall 1998, Posada and Buckley 2004). ML analyses were performed under the same heuristic search settings as MP, but with the number of random sequence addition replicates limited to 100 . Bootstrap analyses consisted of 1000 replications of full heuristic searches under MP and 100 replications with the number of rearrangements limited to 10.000 (or 3600 s ) for each replicate under ML using a neighborjoining tree as the starting tree. BI analyses were performed using a GTR $+I+\Gamma$ model in accordance with the recommendations of Huelsenbeck and Ranalla (2004), who demonstrated that the most complex models offer the highest probability of estimating the correct tree topology even if the
matrix can be summarized in a more simple model. Posterior probabilities were calculated using a Metropolis-coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo approach with sampling according to the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm. The analysis used four chains, one cold and three incrementally heated. Each run consisted of 1 million generations and was sampled every 100th generation. In order to determine the burn-in value, the likelihood values were plotted against generation numbers.

A more restricted data set, containing $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ and 26 S sequences of 38 taxa, was also analyzed in combination, following an incongruence length difference test (ILD test, Farris et al. 1995) performed in PAUP 4.0b10 ( 1500 replications of simple sequence addition and TBR), which suggested no significant topological incongruence between 26 S and $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data sets ( $P=0.01$ ), following recommendations of Cunningham (1997) on critical $\alpha$ values. MP and ML analyses were performed as for the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data set. The BI settings allowed for different substitution models between both genes.

The likelihood of alternative topologies was tested against the optimal ML topology using Shimodaira-Hasegawa tests (SH tests) as implemented in PAUP 4.0b10 using RELL optimization and 1000 bootstrap replicates (Shimodaira and Hasegawa 1999, Goldmann et al. 2000). The SH tests were performed on a pruned data set ( $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ and 26 S ) containing only the in-group taxa and Scoresbyella profunda as out-group, which did not affect the topology of the in-group. This data set (ingroup taxa + Scoresbyella) was used to map various morphological and anatomical characters in MacClade 4.0 (Maddison and Maddison 2000).

## RESULTS

Data exploration, homoplasy, and phylogenetic information. Tree searches under MP of the combined $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ and 26 S character matrix resulted in 13 shortest trees of 2440 steps. The trees based on the two gene regions analyzed separately were highly congruent in overall topology (Treebase study accession number S1523). This is also reflected in the low added tree length ( $0.9 \%-2.4 \%$ ) when the partitioned data sets are constrained against the shortest tree resulting from the combined analysis (Table 3). The total number of sites of 26 S and $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ was roughly similar after exclusion of ambiguously aligned regions, but the number of potentially parsimony-informative sites was slightly higher for the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data (28\%) than for the 26 S data ( $20 \%$ ). The number of steps in the


Fig. 2. Analysis of saturation of character variation of the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ gene. Corrected $p$-distances are calculated using a GTR $+I+\Gamma$ model with parameters estimated in PAUP for each specific data partition. Panels at the top (A, B) show corrected $p$-distances versus observed substitutions for the first and second codon position (A) and the third codon position (B). Panels at the bottom (C, D) show the observed transitions and transversions of the same data partitions.
parsimony analysis, however, was lowest in the 26S data, which is also translated in the highest internal consistency and a higher number of resolved nodes compared with the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data set (Table 3). From the PBS values, it is also clear that the 26 S data have a higher contribution to branch support in the combined analysis than do the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data. Despite a higher internal consistency, 26S data produced more mostparsimonious trees ( 125 MPTs vs. 10 MPTs for the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data). Possible indications of substitutional saturation of the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ gene in the Dictyotales are confirmed when the corrected pairwise distances are plotted against the number of observed substitutions (Fig. 2). Whereas the first and second codon positions show a near-linear correlation (Fig. 2A), the plot for the third codon position levels off with increasing genetic distance (Fig. 2B). When subdivided into transitions and transversions it is evident that third codon saturation can largely be ascribed to transitions (Fig. 2, C and D), which are known to occur more often than transversions. Additional MP analyses of the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data partitioned according to codon position resulted in trees that differed greatly in topology and resolution, but more importantly showed that the vast majority of the phylogenetic information is situ-
ated in the third codon position ( 272 potentially informative characters vs. 67 for the first and second positions combined). An MP analysis based on the non-third codon position only, being apparently unmarred by saturation, resulted in over 10,000 trees, the strict consensus tree of which had few resolved nodes. MP analysis of third codon positions yielded higher resolution, with 32 nodes resolved.

Phylogenetic analyses. The $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data set ( 60 sequences, 47 different taxa) consists of 1207 characters, 364 of them being potentially parsimony informative. Parsimony analysis with Goloboff's implied weighting resulted in a single MPT (CI $=0.336$, $\mathrm{RI}=0.664$, Goloboff fit $=-200.55$ ). Modeltest found the general time reversible model with substitution rates across sites following a gamma distribution (shape $\alpha=0.5603$ ) and a proportion of invariable sites of 0.8116 to yield the best fit to the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ character matrix $(G T R+I+\Gamma)$ according to the AIC. The substitution rate matrix estimated by PAUP was $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}=1.5920, \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{G}=3.7321, \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{T}$ $=1.7970, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}=0.7122, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{T}=7.4388$. The various phylogenetic analyses of the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data matrix were highly congruent, differing only in the relative placement of clades that received little to no support
(Fig. 3). The relationships between the Padina clade; the clade containing Zonaria, Distromium, and Homoeostrichus; and the remaining taxa were unresolved under ML and BI. Padina was resolved to be sister to Dictyopteris, Stypopodium, and Taonia under MP. Zonaria, Homoeostrichus, and Distromium formed a monophyletic yet unsupported clade under ML and MP. Bayesian inference resolved Homoeostrichus and Distromium as sister to Dictyopteris, Taonia, Stypopodium, Scoresbyella, and the Dictyoteae. Results also indicate a sister-group relationship of Stypopodium, Taonia, and Dictyopteris to Scoresbyella and the rest of Dictyoteae. In general, support for relationships among genera belonging to the Zonarieae was very low, regardless of the type of analysis. The Dictyoteae was consistently resolved as a monophyletic clade, but support again was lacking. S. profunda, which is currently assigned to its own family, was interestingly resolved as the nearest relative of the monophyletic Dictyoteae. The latter was composed of four major, well-supported clades: (1) a lineage composed of D. radicans, Dil. suhrii, and Dil. marginatus; (2) a lineage containing $D$. cervicornis and D. crispata; (3) a lineage consisting of Dil. fastigiatus; and (4) a large clade containing the remaining representatives of the Dictyota, Dilophus, Pachydictyon, Glossophora, and Glossophorella (Fig. 3). The relationships among these four clades were generally only supported by posterior probabilities and lack bootstrap support. Within the fourth lineage, most of the currently recognized genera are polyphyletic (Dilophus, Pachydictyon) or paraphyletic (Dictyota). Glossophorella dhofarensis forms a wellsupported sister species to D. canaliculata. Only Glossophora, represented by three specimens attributed to two different species, forms a monophyletic clade without BP or PP support. Although some terminal and a few subterminal clades usually receive moderate to good support, relationships between the various species are generally poorly resolved.

The combined $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ and 26 S data set ( 41 sequences, 38 different species) includes 2419 characters, of which 585 were potentially parsimony informative. MP, with Goloboff weighting implied, resulted in a single tree (CI $=0.326, \mathrm{RI}=0.581$, Goloboff fit $=-192.91)$. The Akaike information criterion implemented in Modeltest suggested a GTR $+I+\Gamma$ model with shape parameter $\alpha=0.7767$ and a proportion of invariable sites of 0.5603 fit the data best. The substitution rate matrix was defined as $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}=1.0845, \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{G}=3.2515$, $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{T}=3.3118, \quad \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}=1.2115, \quad \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{T}=7.2703 . \quad \mathrm{A}$ single ML tree was recovered with log-likelihood $=-15,526.35$. The log-likelihood of trees hit in the MCMCMC chains reached stationarity after 7000 generations. The three inference methods resulted in wellresolved trees that differed very little in overall topology. Only the ML tree is shown (Fig. 4); MP and BI trees are available from Treebase (accession number: S1523). The topologies of the respective trees did not differ substantially from the analyses based only on the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data. The major difference is an overall enhance-
ment of the resolution at the generic level. Although the position of Padina relative to the other taxa remains unresolved, there is good support for most relationships especially under ML or BI. Dictyopteris, Taonia, and Stypopodium form a well-supported clade sister to Scoresbyella and the Dictyoteae. Relationships in the larger Dictyota-Dilophus-Glossophora-Glossophorel-la-Pachydictyon clade remain rather poorly supported, especially by bootstrap percentages. SH tests conducted on a subset of the data, the Dictyoteae as in-group and Scoresbyella as out-group, unequivocally rejected topologies enforcing monophyly of Dictyota, Dilophus, and Pachydictyon, the genera represented by more than a one species in the combined data set (Fig. 5). Similarly, sister relationships of Glossophora and Glossophorella, both represented by a single sequence, with respect to the remaining Dictyoteae were likewise rejected.

## DISCUSSION

Homoplasy and phylogenetic information. Evolutionary biologists are united in their opinion that homoplasy is a confounding factor in recovering phylogenies. In its most common sense, homoplasy equates to an error in homology assessment, a factor that needs to be identified and understood before interpretation of the outcome of a phylogenetic analysis. As a direct consequence of this problem, numerous measures or indices of homoplasy have been developed over the past 25 years to effectively analyze the phenomenon and to predict its bearing on the inference of natural relationships (Sanderson and Hufford 1996). Fast-evolving sites are commonly regarded as a likely source of homoplasy and become particularly problematic when inferences about deeper relationships are needed. As a consequence, the use of fast-evolving sites in phylogenetic analysis has been extensively debated, with calls made for down-weighting or excluding potentially homoplastic variation (Swofford et al. 1996, Pisani 2004, Vogler et al. 2005) or, on the other hand, serious pleas made to appreciate the phylogenetic signal emanating from those sites (Börklund 1999, Källersjö et al. 1999). A particular case of fast-evolving sites is represented by third codon positions in protein-coding genes. As opposed to first and second codon positions, mutations at the third position are often silent, leading to increased variability and rapid saturation (Swofford et al. 1996). The data in our study confirm the widely held belief that third codon positions are often saturated. This is obvious from the scatterplots of the corrected pairwise distances against the number of observed substitutions (Fig. 2). Non-third codon positions show a near-linear correlation, whereas the curve of the third codon position levels off considerably, indicating saturation. In depth exploration reveals that these indications of saturation are primarily the result of transitions rather than transversions. The homoplastic variation in the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data set is mirrored in lower consistency and retention indices


Fig. 3. Phylogram of the single maximum likelihood (ML) tree ( $-\ln \mathrm{L}=10,992.93$ ) based on $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ gene sequences ( 1207 bp ) using a GTR $+I+\Gamma$ model. The bootstrap values of both ML and maximum parsimony (MP) analyses supporting the corresponding branches are indicated if higher than $50(\mathrm{MP} / \mathrm{ML})$. Thick branches represent nodes receiving Bayesian posterior probabilities higher than 0.95 .
of the obtained topologies as compared to the values obtained from the 26 S data. Although the more rapidly evolving sites are highly homoplastic, the third
codon position contains the bulk of the phylogenetic data. Removal of this position from the data set does not result in any improvement of phylogenetic reso-


Fig. 4. Phylogram of the single maximum likelihood (ML) tree ( $-\ln \mathrm{L}=15,526.35$ ) based on combined $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ and 26 S sequences using a GTR $+I+\Gamma$ model. The bootstrap values of both ML and maximum parsimony (MP) analyses supporting the corresponding branches are indicated if higher than $50(\mathrm{MP} / \mathrm{ML})$. Thick branches represent nodes receiving Bayesian posterior probabilities higher than 0.95 .
lution. On the contrary, analyses limited to the first and second position of the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data, comprising a meager 67 potentially parsimony-informative characters, resulted in thousands of equally most parsimonious trees, the strict consensus tree of which had only nine resolved nodes (Table 3). Surprisingly, a data set including just the third codon position
resulted in only six trees that, if constrained against the definite tree resulting from the combined 265 $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ data set, was only $1.3 \%$ longer. Furthermore, the topology of those trees was in relatively good agreement with the final tree. These results support the inclusion of fast-evolving sites in the Dictyotales data set, even though they are homoplastic. Earlier,


Fig. 5. Results of the Shimodaira-Hasegawa tests for assessing the likelihood of alternative topologies using various constraint trees.

Siemer et al. (1998) and Draisma et al. (2001) arrived at similar conclusions regarding saturation of the third codon position of the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ gene in brown algal phylogenies. The analyses and the conclusions presented in this study should, however, not form a safeguard toward any future study, and we would advocate the necessity to analyze the signal-to-noise ratio in data sets carefully case by case.

Classification of the Dictyotales. Members of the Dictyoteae, all of which are characterized by a single, horizontally oriented apical cell, are derived from a paraphyletic Zonarieae in our analyses. These observations, despite meager support, are in agreement with Lee and Bae (2002) and Hoshina et al. (2004), who resolved Spatoglossum, Dictyopteris, and Stypopodium as being sister taxa to the Dictyoteae. It should be pointed out, however, that tribal relationships in the Dictyotales can only be fully understood if nondictyotalean out-group taxa are incorporated in the analyses.

Interestingly, S. profunda, a species not included in previous studies and the sole representative of the Scoresbyellaceae, is resolved as being the closest relative of the Dictyoteae. Womersley (1987) placed S. profunda in its own family because of the lengthwise orientation of the apical cell, although he did comment on the similarities Scoresbyella otherwise seemed to have with many Dictyoteae. The male reproductive structures, the only reproductive structures known to date, are essentially identical to those encountered in the Dictyoteae in which the antheridia form scattered to confluent sori surrounded by three to four rows of elongate, unicellular paraphyses. This would indicate that the presence of a group or row of apical cells is ancestral in this order and that the transition toward a single apical cell has occurred only once. The orienta-
tion and mode of cell division in Scoresbyella is highly reminiscent of Dictyotopsis propagulifera, an enigmatic monostromatic alga of mangrove habitats in the tropical western Pacific Ocean, which is likewise placed in its own family within the Dictyotales (Allender 1980). In both species, the apical cell is wedge-shaped or lenticular and cuts off cells laterally from two faces rather than a single convex surface. Further segmentation of the derivative cells in Scoresbyella is similar to that process throughout the Dictyoteae, resulting in differentiation between a cortex and a medulla. Such tangential cell divisions do not occur in Dictyotopsis, making it the only monostromatic representative of the Dictyotales. The phylogenetic position of Dictyotopsis could not be assessed during the present study due to a lack of specimens suitable for DNA analysis.

At present, generic delineation within the Dictyoteae, although repeatedly questioned in the past, is still almost exclusively based on vegetative anatomical characters involving medullary and cortical structures. This traditional classification, however, is completely irreconcilable with our molecular phylogenies. Previous studies (Lee and Bae 2002, Hoshina et al. 2004, Kraft et al. 2004, Hwang et al. 2005) initially lent support to the traditional classification, but this was clearly the result of limited taxon sampling. These studies invariably included between three and five different species, covering only three of five genera. Glossophora and Glossophorella were not included in these analyses. Increased inter- and intrageneric taxon sampling in the Dictyoteae leads to the completely different insight that none of the current genera are monophyletic. SH tests, likelihood-based topology tests, were used to check whether or not topologies in which monophyly of the current genera was enforced fitted the data equally well as the tree obtained from ML analysis. All
topologies with enforced monophyly of one of the genera turned out to be significantly worse than the obtained ML tree (Fig. 5). The highly homoplastic nature of vegetative characters becomes even more evident when mapped on the molecular phylogeny that incorporates only the Dictyoteae with Scoresbyella as out-group (Fig. 6). A multilayered medullary structure, the defining character of the genus Dilophus, is seen to have evolved independently on several occasions. Nevertheless, a broad tendency for members of the tribe to display a multilayered medulla in the basal clades and to move toward a unilayered medulla in the more derived taxa can be observed. Medullary thickness is shown to be heterogeneous and thus not to be useful as a diagnostic character of genera delineation. Some species are characterized by a medulla comprised of several layers over the entire width in the middle and proximal parts of the thallus but with a unilayered or only marginally multilayered medulla above (e.g. Dil. fastigiatus, Dil. gunnianus, Dil. robustus, Dil. spiralis, Dil. suhrii; Hamel 1939, Phillips 1992, De Clerck 2003). In other species, duplications of the medullary layer are effectively restricted to the margins over the entire length of the thallus, except perhaps at the extreme basal parts (e.g. Dil. alternans, Hörnig et al. 1992a, b). In Dil. fasciola and Dil. crenulatus the medulla is uniformly unilayered except for the lowermost basal portion, where it becomes two to three layers thick (Feldmann 1937, Nizamuddin and Gerloff 1979).

Duplications of medullary cells often coincide with the means of attachment, as several of such species are attached by terete stoloniferous holdfasts, whereas those with monostromatic medullas are anchored by basal discs or scattered rhizoids. Transverse sections of stoloniferous holdfasts always seem to reveal a multilayered medulla (De Clerck 2003, p. 137, Fig. 51E). A closer look at the structure of the transition zone between tendrils and the expanded fronds above, however, reveals that the traditional distinction between medullary and cortical cells is not as obvious as has been assumed. The parenchymatous nature of the stoloniferous holdfast is the result of divisions in both the cortical and medullary layers (De Clerck and Coppejans 2003). Apart from those structural duplications, an occasional two-layered medulla is commonly observed in many species. Actually, just about any species seems capable of locally producing a two-layered medulla in the expanded fronds. Moreover, the number of medullary layers seems at least to some degree influenced by external conditions, as culture experiments have shown that this feature depends on the culture medium in several species (Hörnig et al. 1992a).

Similarly, the structure of the cortex in members of the Dictyoteae is highly homoplasious. Even within the long-standing genus Pachydictyon, the term "multilayered cortex" encompasses a wide variety of cortical structures, ranging from species characterized by a cortex that is essentially unilayered but with the odd
cortical cell duplication in the basal parts of the thallus (e.g. P. aegerrime, Allender and Kraft 1983) to species characterized by a cortex 10-12 cells thick (P. coriaceum, Okamura 1899). Occasional duplication of cortical cells, especially along the thallus margins, is a fairly common phenomenon among the more robust Dictyota or Dilophus species and has generally been given little or no attention, with the noteworthy exception of Dawson's (1950) study of Mexican Pacific Dictyoteae. Phillips (1992) illustrated duplicated cortex cells in several Dilophus species, but did not further remark on the character. An interesting example of cortical variability is $D$. naevosa, in which the cortex may become up to two to six cells thick in the proximal parts (Womersley 1987). Why it should have remained in Dictyota in light of this classically determinative feature, however, has never been discussed.

Surface proliferations are restricted to a few species, but they are distributed among all of the established genera of the Dictyoteae. However, the high density of surface proliferations observed in Glossophora and Glossophorella is usually not encountered in the other genera. Comparably high densities have, however, been observed in Dil. intermedius (Allender and Kraft 1983), but in this species these proliferations never bear reproductive structures as is occasionally observed in Glossophora (Womersley 1987). In D. crispata, D. cervicornis, and D. mertensii, surface proliferation density varies greatly. One should differentiate between surface proliferations as outgrowths from the vegetative tissue and those that are the result of the in situ germination of sporangia. The latter condition has been discussed in detail for Dil. fasciola by Feldmann (1937), who ascribed it to and apomeiotic development of spore mother cells. In fact, in situ germination of sporangia is a widespread phenomenon recorded for many species, among them D. dichotoma, the generitype of Dictyota (Hwang et al. 2005).

As opposed to the apparent limited taxonomic utility of the aforementioned vegetative characters, mapping of additional characters on the molecular tree (Fig. 6) does result in clear-cut patterns that can form the basis of a new classification. The presence of aberrant antheridial paraphyses was first reported for D. magneana (Coppejans et al. 2001) and later for D. crispata (De Clerck 2003). Paraphyses associated with antheridia are a common feature in the Dictyotales, and the different types are considered to be important diagnostic characters at the genus level (Phillips 1997, Phillips and Clayton 1997). In all Dictyoteae for which male reproductive structures are known, the antheridia are surrounded by three to six rows of unicellular, elongated cortical cells devoid of chloroplasts. The inner row of paraphyses (i.e. the paraphyses bordering the antheridia) is of the same height as the antheridia. The paraphyses observed in D. crispata and D. magneana differ not only in being multicellular and pigmented, but also in overtopping the peripheral antheridia. Other differences include the fact that the antheridial sori in Dictyota are gener-


Fig. 6. Mapping of eight vegetative and reproductive characters onto a maximum likelihood tree, containing only the in-group taxa (Dictyoteae) and Scoresbyella as out-group.
ally larger than $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter and often spread to become confluent with neighboring sori, whereas they are smaller than $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and remain discrete in $D$. crispata and D. magneana (De Clerck 2003).

Male reproductive structures have not been observed with certainty in D. cervicornis. Abbott and Huisman (2004) reported multicellular paraphyses for $D$. acutiloba J. Agardh from the Hawaiian Islands and commented on the similarity of that species with $D$. cervicornis, stating that both species "share all major characteristics." Conspecificity of these taxa is, however, negated by our molecular phylogeny in which both species are positioned in completely different clades. A possible explanation could be that the male plant depicted by Abbott and Huisman is in fact representative of $D$. cervicornis, the presence of which has gone unnoticed in Hawaii due to its striking habit resemblance to $D$. acutiloba. The $D$. cervicornis-crispata clade is further characterized by the presence of a conspicuous involucrum surrounding the sporangia. Such an involucrum consists of a collar of inflated and radially elongated cortical cells and was first noticed by Jaasund (1970). In transverse section, this results in a well-developed cup surrounding the basal part of the sporangium. Although the involucrum may go unnoticed in mature sporangia when viewed from the thallus surface, once the spores have been released a clear collar of involucral cells becomes evident (Coppejans et al. 2001: pl. $1 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{H}$ ). In D. radicans and $D$. suhrii (De Clerck 2003), as well as in some species of Dilophus studied by Phillips (1992, e.g. Dil. fastigiatus and Dil. robustus), the cortical cells in the immediate vicinity of the sporangium may elongate somewhat, but the resulting involucrum always remains inconspicuous.

The species possessing inconspicuous involucres are perhaps better characterized by the number of stalk cells subtending their sporangia. A sporangial stalk cell is formed in most Dictyotales apart from Lobophora and Zonaria (Phillips and Clayton 1997). Ontogenetically, a stalk cell is formed in the early stages of sporogenesis by a mitotic division of an enlarged cortical cell that results in a basal stalk cell and a distal tetraspore mother cell (Williams 1904, Kumagae et al. 1960). Phillips (1992) was the first to appreciate the taxonomic significance of sporangia subtended by multicellular stalks, which were initially reported for Dil. fastigiatus, Dil. marginatus, and Dil. robustus. Later, the presence of two-celled stalks was also reported for D. radicans and Dil. suhrii (De Clerck 2003). Based on the molecular phylogeny, it seems most likely that this character evolved independently on two occasions in the Dictyoteae, once in Dil. fastigiatus and once in the D. radicans, Dil. marginatus, and Dil. suhrii clade. In combination with involucral morphology, however, this points to a clear anatomical discrimination of the $D$. radicans-Dil. marginatus-Dil. suhrii clade on the one hand versus Dil. fastigiatus on the other. In the first clade, all species are also morphologically characterized by undulating thalli, whereas the fronds of Dil. fastigiatus are comp-
lanate and smooth. The rugose thallus surface of Dil. robustus, also characterized by two sporangial stalk cells but due to lack of suitable material not included in the analyses, could possibly be interpreted as derived from an undulating thallus.

Taxonomic conclusions. The molecular and morphological results presented leave little doubt that the current generic classification of the Dictyoteae does not reflect the evolutionary history of the tribe. This incongruence between the molecular phylogeny and the current classification could form the impetus for a very broad interpretation of the genus Dictyota that would include all species characterized by a single transversely oriented apical cell regardless of differences related to vegetative or reproductive structures. On the other hand, one may argue that three or four separate genera are warranted. Based on the facts that the molecular phylogeny is well resolved (and alternative topologies strongly rejected) and that diagnostic vegetative and reproductive criteria are available, we opt for a somewhat less than totally inclusive definition of Dictyota and the description of two new genera (described below) based on molecular and morphological data. The taxonomic position of the genus Dilophus is left undecided at this stage, because material of Dil. gunnianus (the generitype of Dilophus) suitable for DNA extraction was not available and also because of the phylogenetic position of Dil. fastigiatus. The latter occupies a position sister to the large Dictyota-Dilophus-Glossophora-GlossophorellaPachydictyon clade and is differentiated from the larger clade by the presence of two rather than one stalk cells. Dil. gunnianus and Dil. fastigiatus are morphologically similar to such an extent that less typical growth forms are hard to assign to one species or the other. The number of sporangial stalk cells does, however, differentiate both species unequivocally, Dil. gunnianus being characterized by a single stalk cell (Phillips 1992). Extrapolating from the morphological observations, one would expect Dil. gunnianus to be resolved within the Dictyota-Dilophus-Glossopho-ra-Glossophorella-Pachydictyon clade. In the absence of sequence data for the type species however, one cannot rule out a close relationship between Dil. fastigiatus, Dil. gunnianus, and Dil. robustus, and hence the taxonomic conservatism that we adopt for the moment still regards Dilophus as a valid genus.

The emended description of Dictyota and diagnoses of the new genera Canistrocarpus and Rugulopteryx are as follows:

Dictyota Lamouroux, J. Bot. (Desvaux) 2: 38 (1809) nom. cons.

Thallus flattened, ribbon-like, erect or prostrate, with smooth, dentate, crenulate or ciliate margins; attachment by basal rhizoids or marginal rhizoidal processes scattered along the edges of the thallus or restricted to the base, stoloniferous holdfasts present or absent; branching dichotomous, anisotomous or alternate, rarely falcate; apices obtuse, rounded, apiculate or acute; phaeophycean hairs and superficial
proliferations present or absent; thallus differentiated into a cortex and a medulla, the relative number of layers variable. Sporangia isolated, grouped in sori or surrounding a central hair tuft, lacking a conspicuous involucrum of sterile cells, subtended by a single stalk cell. Antheridia subtended by a single stalk cell, arranged in sori, surrounded by hyaline, unicellular paraphyses. Oogonia subtended by a stalk cell, arranged in sori.

Type species: Dictyota dichotoma (Hudson) Lamouroux.
Synonyms: Bicrista Kuntze, 1898, Dichophyllium, Rev. Gen. pl. 3(3): 397; Kützing, Phycol. Gen. 337:14-16 (1843); Glossophora J. Agardh, Lunds Univ. Årsskr. 17, Afd. Math. Naturvetensk. 4:108 (1882); Glossophorella Nizamuddin et Campbell, Pak. J. Bot. 27:258 (1995); Pachydictyon J. Agardh, Lunds Univ. Årsskr. 29, Afd. Kongl. Fysiogr. Sällsk. Lund Handl. 9:81 (1894).

The nomenclatural history of Dictyota Lamouroux and the reasons for its conservation against Padina Adanson are explained in detail by Silva (1952). Nomenclatural changes resulting from the merger of Glossophora, Glossophorella, and Pachydictyon with Dictyota are listed here:

Dictyota aegerrime (Allender et Kraft) De Clerck, comb. nov.

Basionym: Pachydictyon aegerrime Allender et Kraft, Brunonia 6:116, figs. 116D, E and 124C, E (1983).

Dictyota coriacea (Holmes) I. K. Hwang, H. S. Kim W. J. Lee, 2004: 189.

Basionym: Glossophora coriacea Holmes (1896): 251.
Synonyms: Pachydictyon coriaceum (Holmes) Okamura, 1899: 39; Glossophorella coriacea (Holmes) Nizamuddin in Nizamuddin and Campbell (1995): 259.

Dictyota dhofarensis (Nizamuddin et Campbell) De Clerck, comb. nov.

Basionym: Glossophorella dhofarensis Nizamuddin et A.C. Campbell, Pak. J. Bot. 27:258, Figs. 1 and 2 (1995).

Dictyota paniculata J. Agardh (1841): 5.
Synonyms: Pachydictyon paniculatum (J. Agardh) J. Agardh (1894): 84; Dictyota minor Sonder (1845): 50; Pachydictyon minus (Sonder) J. Agardh (1894): 84.

Dictyota polyclada Sonder ex Kützing (1859): 10, pl. 23, Fig. 2.

Synonyms: Pachydictyon polycladum (Sonder ex Kützing) Womersley (1967): 216; Dictyota furcellata Sonder ex Kützing (1859): 11, pl. 24, Fig. 1, nom. illeg.; Pachydictyon furcellatum J. Agardh (1894): 83-84.

Dictyota kunthii (C. Agardh) Greville (1830): xliii. Basionym: Zonaria kunthii C. Agardh (1821): pl. xv. Synonyms: Glossophora kunthii (C. Agardh) J. Agardh (1882): 110; Dichophyllium kunthii (C. Agardh) Kützing (1843): 338; Glossophora harveyi J. Agardh (1882): 111.

Dictyota nigricans J. Agardh (1882): 94.
Synonyms: Glossophora nigricans (J. Agardh) Womersley (1967): 214; Dictyota latifolia J. Agardh (1894): 65, nom. illeg.; Dictyota vittarioides J. Agardh (1894): 65; Spatoglossum grandifolium J. Agardh (1894): 37.

Dictyota galapagensis (Farlow) De Clerck, comb. nov.

Basionym: Glossophora galapagensis Farlow, Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts Sci. 38: 90 (1902).

Canistrocarpus De Paula et De Clerck, gen. nov.
Thallus complanatus, fasciatus, erectus vel prostratus, margine laevigato, affixus rhizoideis basalibus aut terminalibus secus thallum distributis; dichotome et anisotome divisus, ramificationibus alternis vel cervicorneis, interdum ramulis recurvatis; apicibus rotundatis, apiculatis vel acutis; pili et prolificationes superficiales adsunt; cortex et medulla cellulis uno strato dispositis pro parte maxima, aliquando duplicatis basim; sporangia solitaria vel in soris aggregata, involucro cellulis sterilibus circumcincta, cellula basali singulari; antheridia pedicellata, in soris aggregata, paraphysibus multicellularibus et pigmentosis circumdatis; oogonia pedicellata, in soris aggregata.

Type species: Canistrocarpus crispatus (Lamouroux) De Paula et De Clerck, comb. nov. [Basionym: Dictyota crispata Lamouroux, J. Bot. (Desvaux) 2:44 (1809).]

Thallus flattened, ribbon-like, erect or prostrate, with smooth margins, attached by basal rhizoids or by marginal rhizoids scattered along the thallus; branching dichotomous to anisotomous or alternate or cervicorn or recurved; apices rounded, apiculate to acute; hairs and superficial proliferations present; cortex and medulla predominantly formed by a single layer of cells, one or both "tissues" occasionally duplicated in the basal proliferations; sporangia isolated or grouped in sori, borne on a one-celled stalk and surrounded by a well-developed involucrum of sterile cells; antheridia subtended by a stalk cell, in sori surrounded by pigmented multicellular paraphyses; oogonia subtended by a stalk cell, grouped in sori.

Type species: Canistrocarpus crispatus (Lamouroux) De Paula et De Clerck, comb. nov. [Basionym: Dictyota crispata Lamouroux, J. Bot. (Desvaux) 2:44 (1809).]

Etymology: From the Greek word canistros, meaning "basket," and carpus, or "fruit" or "seed," in reference to the involucrate sporangia.

Additional species: Canistrocarpus cervicornis (Kützing) De Paula et De Clerck, comb. nov.

Basionym: Dictyota cervicornis Kützing, Tab. Phycol. 9:11, pl. 24, Fig. 2 (1859).

Canistrocarpus magneanus (De Clerck et Coppejans) De Paula et De Clerck, comb. nov.

Note: See De Clerck (2003) for a complete list of synonyms.

Basionym: Dictyota magneana De Clerck et Coppejans in Coppejans et al., Crypt. Algol. 22:23 pl. 1A-L (2001).

Note: Although C. magneanus was not included in the molecular phylogenetic analysis, its relationship to C. crispatus and D. cervicornis is strongly indicated. The species differs only from C. crispatus by its completely prostrate habit, the distinctive blue-gray iridescence, and surface proliferations that are restricted to the marginal portions of the thallus.

Rugulopteryx De Clerck et Coppejans, gen. nov.
Thallus complanatus, fasciatus, erectus, margine laevigato, affixus rhizoideis basalibus; haptera stolonifera adsunt, restricta basim, emergentia pagina thalli vel apicibus deformatis; ramificationibus dichotome et anisotome; apicibus rotundatis; cellula apicali protrusa vel aliquantum emarginata; pili superficiales adsunt; prolificationes superficiales adsunt vel carentes; pagina undulata vel rugosa thallis adultis; cortex cellulis uno strato dispositis pro parte maxima, aliquando duplicatis basim; medulla cellulis uno strato dispositis praeter hapteris vel marginibus multistratosis; sporangia et gametangia limitata ad concavita paginarum; sporangia in pagina dispersa vel aggregata in soris, cellulis involucralibus inconspicuis circumcincta, cellula basali duplicata; antheridia pedicellata, aggregata in soris, paraphysibus unicellularibus et nonpigmentosis circumcinctis; oogonia pedicellata, aggregata in soris vel soris parvis dispersus.

Type species: Rugulopteryx radicans (Harvey) De Clerck et Coppejans, comb. nov. [Basionym: Dictyota radicans Harvey, Trans. Roy. Irish Acad. 22:536 (1855)].

Thallus flattened, ribbon-like, erect, with smooth margins; attached by rhizoids restricted to the basal parts of the thallus; stoloniferous holdfasts present, restricted to the base or emerging from the surface as well as from deformed apices; branching dichotomous to anisotomous; apices rounded; apical cell protruding to somewhat emarginate; phaeophycean hairs present; surface proliferations present or absent; surface undulating or rugose in mature thalli; cortex predominantly unilayered, with occasional duplications in the basal parts; medulla uniformly unilayered except for the stoloniferous holdfasts or multilayered near the margins; reproductive structures confined to concavities of the thallus surface; sporangia in small sori or in blocklike patches, surrounded by an inconspicuous involucrum, with two stalk cells; antheridia subtended by a stalk cell, in sori surrounded by pigmented multicellular paraphyses; oogonia subtended by a stalk cell, grouped in sori or small-scattered groups.

Type species: Rugulopteryx radicans (Harvey) De Clerck et Coppejans, comb. nov. [Basionym: Dictyota radicans Harvey, Trans. Roy. Irish Acad. 22:536 (1855)].

Etymology: From the Latin word rugulosus, meaning "somewhat wrinkled," and pteryx, or "wing," in reference to the rugose surfaces of the thalli.

Additional species: Rugulopteryx suhrii (Kützing) De Clerck et Coppejans, comb. nov.

Basionym: Stoechospermum suhrii Kützing, Tab. Phycol. 9:17, pl. 41, Fig. 2 (1859).

Note: The basionym S. suhrii Kützing is a substitute name for Zonaria marginata Suhr (1834), the latter being a later homonym of Z. marginata C. Agardh (1824). See Silva et al. (1996) for a detailed account on the complicated nomenclatural history of this species and its taxonomic synonym Dictyota suhrii Murray.

Rugulopteryx marginata (J. Agardh) De Clerck et Coppejans, comb. nov.

Basionym: Dilophus marginatus J. Agardh, Lunds. Univ. Årsskr. 29, Afd. Kongl. Fysiogr. Sällsk. Lund Handl. 9:91 (1894).

Note: Dictyota rugulosa Lucas (1935) would be the correct name of this taxon were it to be referred to Dictyota.
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